ε -form for non-planar triangles with elliptic curves at two loops

Xing Wang Technische Universität München

based on 2305.13951 with Xuhang Jiang, Li Lin Yang, and Jingbang Zhao

RADCOR 2023, 28 May 2023 @ Crieff



Setup





$$D = 4 - 2\varepsilon,$$

$$\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_7,$$

$$y = -\frac{m^2}{s} \in \mathbb{R} + i0,$$

$$x = -\frac{1}{y}.$$

 $D_1 = (l_1 - p_1)$ $D_4 = (l_1 - l_2)$

$$e^{2\varepsilon\gamma_E}(m^2)^{\nu-D} \int \frac{d^D l_1}{i\pi^{D/2}} \int \frac{d^D l_2}{i\pi^{D/2}} \frac{D_7^{-\nu_7}}{D_1^{\nu_1}D_2^{\nu_2}D_3^{\nu_3}D_4^{\nu_4}D_5^{\nu_5}D_6^{\nu_6}}$$

a) Top: **2** MIs:
$$I_1^{(a)} = I_{1111110}^{(a)}$$
, $I_2^{(a)} = I_{111120}^{(a)}$
Sub: 9 MIs;
[IBP via Litered]

+ *i*0, b) Top: **3** MIs: $I_1^{(b)} = I_{1111110}^{(b)}$, $I_2^{(b)} = I_{1112110}^{(b)}$, $I_3^{(b)} = I_{111120}^{(b)}$ Sub: 15 MIs. [IBP via Litered]

$$(1)^2, D_2 = (l_2 - p_1)^2 - m^2, D_3 = (l_1 + p_2)^2,$$

 $(1 + p_2)^2 - m^2, D_5 = (l_1 - l_2)^2 - \kappa m^2, D_6 = l_2^2 - \kappa m^2.$

Canonical basis for sub-sectors



$$\begin{split} M_{3}^{(a)} &= \varepsilon^{4} x \, I_{0111110}^{(a)}, \quad M_{4}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{4} x \, I_{1011110}^{(a)}, \quad M_{5}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \sqrt{x(4+x)} \left(x \, I_{1122000}^{(a)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+2\varepsilon)} I_{0202000}^{(a)} \right) \\ M_{6}^{(a)} &= \varepsilon^{3} x \, I_{1010210}^{(a)}, \quad M_{7}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{3} x \, I_{0211100}^{(a)}, \quad M_{8}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} x \, I_{0210200}^{(a)}, \\ M_{9}^{(a)} &= \varepsilon^{2} \sqrt{x(x-4)} \left(\frac{1}{2} I_{0210200}^{(a)} + I_{0220100}^{(a)} \right), \quad M_{10}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} x \, I_{1020020}^{(a)}, \quad M_{11}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} I_{0000220}^{(a)}. \end{split}$$

Adopt the basis in [von Alphabet: $\begin{cases} y, 1 + 4y, 1 \end{cases}$



$$\begin{split} &M_{4}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{4} x \, I_{111100}^{(b)}, \quad M_{5}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{3} x^{2} \, I_{1120110}^{(b)}, \quad M_{6}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{4} x \, I_{1110110}^{(b)}, \quad M_{7}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{3} x \, I_{1102110}^{(b)}, \quad M_{8}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{4} x \, I_{1101110}^{(b)}, \\ &M_{9}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \sqrt{x(4+x)} \left(-x \, I_{1122000}^{(b)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+2\varepsilon)} I_{0202000}^{(b)} \right), \quad M_{10}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{3} x \, I_{1112000}^{(b)}, \\ &M_{11}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \frac{x}{2} \left((1+x) I_{0211200}^{(b)} - (1+x) I_{0121200}^{(b)} - 2 I_{0120200}^{(b)} + \frac{2-x}{x} I_{0202000}^{(b)} \right), \\ &M_{12}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \frac{x}{4} \left((3+x) I_{0121200}^{(b)} + (1-x) I_{0211200}^{(b)} + 2 I_{0220100}^{(b)} + 4 I_{0120200}^{(b)} + I_{0220200}^{(b)} \right), \quad M_{13}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{3} x \, I_{1010120}^{(b)}, \quad M_{14}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} x \, I_{1220000}^{(b)}, \\ &M_{15}^{(b)} = \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon-1)}{2} I_{1200200}^{(b)}, \quad M_{16}^{(b)} = -\varepsilon^{2} \frac{x}{2} I_{0220100}^{(b)}, \quad M_{17}^{(b)} = -\varepsilon^{2} \frac{1-x}{4} \left(I_{0120200}^{(b)} + 2 I_{0220100}^{(b)} \right), \quad M_{18}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} I_{0202000}^{(b)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} M_4^{(b)} &= \varepsilon^4 x \, I_{111100}^{(b)}, \quad M_5^{(b)} = \varepsilon^3 x^2 \, I_{1120110}^{(b)}, \quad M_6^{(b)} = \varepsilon^4 x \, I_{1110110}^{(b)}, \quad M_7^{(b)} = \varepsilon^3 x \, I_{1102110}^{(b)}, \quad M_8^{(b)} = \varepsilon^4 x \, I_{1101110}^{(b)}, \\ M_{9}^{(b)} &= \varepsilon^2 \sqrt{x(4+x)} \left(-x \, I_{1122000}^{(b)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+2\varepsilon)} \, I_{0202000}^{(b)} \right), \quad M_{10}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^3 x \, I_{1112000}^{(b)}, \\ M_{11}^{(b)} &= \varepsilon^2 \frac{x}{2} \left((1+x) I_{0211200}^{(b)} - (1+x) I_{0121200}^{(b)} - 2 I_{0120200}^{(b)} + \frac{2-x}{x} I_{0202000}^{(b)} \right), \\ M_{12}^{(b)} &= \varepsilon^2 \frac{x}{4} \left((3+x) I_{0121200}^{(b)} + (1-x) I_{0211200}^{(b)} + 2 I_{0220100}^{(b)} + 4 I_{0120200}^{(b)} + I_{0220200}^{(b)} \right), \quad M_{13}^{(b)} &= \varepsilon^3 x \, I_{1010120}^{(b)}, \quad M_{14}^{(b)} &= \varepsilon^2 x \, I_{122000}^{(b)}, \\ M_{15}^{(b)} &= \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon-1)}{2} \, I_{1200200}^{(b)}, \quad M_{16}^{(b)} &= -\varepsilon^2 \frac{x}{2} \, I_{0220100}^{(b)}, \quad M_{17}^{(b)} &= -\varepsilon^2 \frac{1-x}{4} \left(I_{0120200}^{(b)} + 2 I_{0220100}^{(b)} \right), \quad M_{18}^{(b)} &= \varepsilon^2 I_{0202000}^{(b)}, \end{split}$$

▶ Via the method in [Chen, Jiang, Xu, Yang, '20] Alphabet: $\left\{ y, y - 1, y + 1, 4y + 1, \sqrt{1 - 4y} \right\}$

Manteuffel and Tancredi, '17]
-
$$4y, \sqrt{1-4y}, \sqrt{1+4y}$$

Canonical basis for sub-sectors

The next step is to deal with top sectors, which are elliptic.

inspired by Sunrise/Banana integrals

[Weinzierl et al, '15-'17; Pögel, XW, Weinzierl, '22-'23]

Derivative Ansatz based on Picard-Fuchs operators,

Elliptic curves in top sectors



$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$
$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$
$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

Maximal cut erases inhomogeneous terms;

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

Maximal cut erases inhomogeneous terms;

 $\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(0,y)$ and $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(0,y)$ encode geometric information related to the two elliptic curves;

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{1111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

Maximal cut erases inhomogeneous terms;

 $\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(0,y)$ and $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(0,y)$ encode geometric information related to the two elliptic curves;

Solutions: periods, which are d.o.f's.

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{1111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

Maximal cut erases inhomogeneous terms;

 $\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(0,y)$ and $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(0,y)$ encode geometric information related to the two elliptic curves;

Solutions: periods, which are d.o.f's.

 \mathbf{M} 2 periods v.s. 3 solutions of $\hat{L}_3^{(b)}(0,y)$? $\leftrightarrow \to$ no contradiction since $\hat{L}_3^{(b)}(0,y)$ factorises!

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

Maximal cut erases inhomogeneous terms; $\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(0,y)$ and $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(0,y)$ encode geometric information related to the two elliptic curves; Solutions: periods, which are d.o.f's. \mathbf{M} 2 periods v.s. 3 solutions of $\hat{L}_3^{(b)}(0,y)$? $\leftrightarrow \to$ no contradiction since $\hat{L}_3^{(b)}(0,y)$ factorises! Periods (solutions of PF) as d.o.f's to construct the derivative basis in top sectors;

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

Maximal cut erases inhomogeneous terms; $\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(0,y)$ and $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(0,y)$ encode geometric information related to the two elliptic curves; Solutions: periods, which are d.o.f's. \mathbf{M} 2 periods v.s. 3 solutions of $\hat{L}_3^{(b)}(0,y)$? $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ no contradiction since $\hat{L}_3^{(b)}(0,y)$ factorises! Periods (solutions of PF) as d.o.f's to construct the derivative basis in top sectors; Undo maximal cut to include mixing with sub sectors: non-trivial in general!

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0) = \frac{d^{3}}{dy^{3}} + \frac{3(1-2y)}{y(y+1)(8y-1)}\frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} + \frac{8y^{3}+30y^{2}+6y-7}{y^{2}(y+1)^{2}(8y-1)}\frac{d}{dy} - \frac{2\left(4y^{3}+16y^{2}+2y-4\right)}{y^{3}(y+1)^{2}(8y-1)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\left[\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{8}{8y-1}\right]}_{\hat{L}_{1}^{(b)}(y)}\underbrace{\left[\frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{y+1} + \frac{8}{8y-1} - \frac{3}{y}\right)\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{8y(y+2)-4}{y^{2}(y+1)(8y-1)}\right]}_{\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y)}$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0) = \frac{d^{3}}{dy^{3}} + \frac{3(1-2y)}{y(y+1)(8y-1)}\frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} + \frac{8y^{3}+30y^{2}+6y-7}{y^{2}(y+1)^{2}(8y-1)}\frac{d}{dy} - \frac{2\left(4y^{3}+16y^{2}+2y-4\right)}{y^{3}(y+1)^{2}(8y-1)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\left[\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{8}{8y-1}\right]}_{\hat{L}_{1}^{(b)}(y)}\underbrace{\left[\frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{y+1} + \frac{8}{8y-1} - \frac{3}{y}\right)\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{8y(y+2)-4}{y^{2}(y+1)(8y-1)}\right]}_{\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y)}$$

Ø

It has four regular singularities: $\{-1, 0, 1/8, \infty\}$, and y = 0 is the so-called maximal unipotent monodromy point, where there exists the Frobenius basis with maximal logarithmic hierarchy:

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0) = \frac{d^{3}}{dy^{3}} + \frac{3(1-2y)}{y(y+1)(8y-1)} \frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} + \frac{8y^{3}+30y^{2}+6y-7}{y^{2}(y+1)^{2}(8y-1)} \frac{d}{dy} - \frac{2(4y^{3}+16y^{2}+2y-4)}{y^{3}(y+1)^{2}(8y-1)}$$

$$= \underbrace{\left[\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{8}{8y-1}\right]}_{\hat{L}_{1}^{(b)}(y)} \underbrace{\left[\frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{y+1} + \frac{8}{8y-1} - \frac{3}{y}\right)\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{8y(y+2)-4}{y^{2}(y+1)(8y-1)}\right]}_{\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y)}$$

It has four regular singularities: $\{-1, 0, 1/8, \infty\}$, and y = 0 is the so-called maximal unipotent monodromy point, where there exists the Frobenius basis with maximal logarithmic hierarchy:

$$\psi_k(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\ln^j y}{j!} \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_{k-j,n} y^{n+2}, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2)$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0) = \frac{d^{3}}{dy^{3}} + \frac{3(1-2y)}{y(y+1)(8y-1)} \frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} + \frac{8y^{3}+30y^{2}+6y-7}{y^{2}(y+1)^{2}(8y-1)} \frac{d}{dy} - \frac{2(4y^{3}+16y^{2}+2y-4)}{y^{3}(y+1)^{2}(8y-1)}$$
$$= \underbrace{\left[\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{8}{8y-1}\right]}_{\hat{L}_{1}^{(b)}(y)} \underbrace{\left[\frac{d^{2}}{dy^{2}} + \left(\frac{1}{y+1} + \frac{8}{8y-1} - \frac{3}{y}\right)\frac{d}{dy} + \frac{8y(y+2)-4}{y^{2}(y+1)(8y-1)}\right]}_{\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y)}$$

It has four regular singularities: $\{-1, 0, 1/8, \infty\}$, and y = 0 is the so-called maximal unipotent Ø monodromy point, where there exists the Frobenius basis with maximal logarithmic hierarchy:

$$\psi_k(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^k} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\ln^j y}{j!} \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_{k-j,n} y^{n+2}, \quad (k = 0, 1, 2)$$

) $\psi_1 = 0$ and $\hat{L}_2^{(b)}(y)\psi_2 = \frac{1}{8y-1}.$

 $\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y)\psi_{0} = \hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y)$





lt is natural to trade (ψ_0, ψ_1) to (ψ_0, τ), w/

$\tau \equiv \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_0}, \quad q \equiv \exp[2\pi i\tau]$

Modular map

lt is natural to trade (ψ_0, ψ_1) to (ψ_0, τ) , w/

$$\tau \equiv \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_0},$$

 \triangleright y and q are nicely related under this modular map:

$$y(q) = q - 3q^{2} + 3q^{3} + 5q^{4} - 18q^{5} + 15q^{6} + 24q^{7} + \mathcal{O}(q^{8}),$$

$$\leftarrow y(q) = \frac{\eta(\tau)^{3} \eta(6\tau)^{9}}{\eta(2\tau)^{3} \eta(3\tau)^{9}}.$$

$$q(y) = y + 3y^{2} + 15y^{3} + 85y^{4} + 522y^{5} + 3366y^{6} + 22450y^{7} + \mathcal{O}(y^{8}).$$
OEIS:A123633

$$q \equiv \exp[2\pi i\tau]$$

Modular map

lt is natural to trade (ψ_0, ψ_1) to (ψ_0, τ) , w/

$$\tau \equiv \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_0},$$

 \triangleright y and q are nicely related under this modular map:

$$y(q) = q - 3q^{2} + 3q^{3} + 5q^{4} - 18q^{5} + 15q^{6} + 24q^{7} + \mathcal{O}(q^{8}),$$

$$\leftarrow y(q) = \frac{\eta(\tau)^{3} \eta(6\tau)^{9}}{\eta(2\tau)^{3} \eta(3\tau)^{9}}.$$

$$q(y) = y + 3y^{2} + 15y^{3} + 85y^{4} + 522y^{5} + 3366y^{6} + 22450y^{7} + \mathcal{O}(y^{8}).$$
OEIS:A123633

The Jacobian from y to τ or equivalently q is related to the Wronskian:

$$J(y) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{dy}{d\tau} = \frac{\psi_0^2(y)}{W(y)}, \text{ w/ } W(y) \equiv 2\pi i \left[\psi_0 \frac{d}{dy} \psi_1 - \psi_1 \frac{d}{dy} \psi_0 \right] = \frac{y^3}{(1 - 8y)(1 + y)}.$$

$$q \equiv \exp[2\pi i\tau]$$

8





The irreducible PF operator factorises furthermore in terms of modular variable:

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y) = \frac{\psi_{0}}{J^{2}}\Theta_{q}^{2}\frac{1}{\psi_{0}},$$

w/
$$\Theta_q = q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau}$$

The irreducible PF operator factorises furthermore in terms of modular variable:

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y) = \frac{\psi_{0}}{J^{2}}\Theta_{q}^{2}\frac{1}{\psi_{0}},$$

 $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0)$ is reducible (factorises in y space), such that ψ_{2} can be represented by (ψ_{0}, τ) :

$$\psi_2(\tau) = \psi_0(\tau) \int_{i\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \int_{i\infty}^{\tau_2} d\tau_1 \frac{(1 - 8y_1(\tau_1))(1 + y_1(\tau_1))^2}{y_1(\tau_1)^6} \psi_0(\tau_1)^3$$

w/
$$\Theta_q = q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau}$$

The irreducible PF operator factorises furthermore in terms of modular variable:

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y) = \frac{\psi_{0}}{J^{2}}\Theta_{q}^{2}\frac{1}{\psi_{0}},$$

 $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0)$ is reducible (factorises in y space), such that ψ_{2} can be represented by (ψ_{0}, τ) :

Nevertheless, we can use it to construct a Y-invariant (Stefan's talk):

$$Y(\tau) \equiv \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_0} = \frac{\psi_0(y)^3}{(1 - 8y)W(y)^2}$$

w/
$$\Theta_q = q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau}$$

$$\frac{(1 - 8y_1(\tau_1))(1 + y_1(\tau_1))^2}{y_1(\tau_1)^6}\psi_0(\tau_1)^3$$

The irreducible PF operator factorises furthermore in terms of modular variable:

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y) = \frac{\psi_{0}}{J^{2}}\Theta_{q}^{2}\frac{1}{\psi_{0}},$$

 $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0)$ is reducible (factorises in y space), such that ψ_{2} can be represented by (ψ_{0}, τ) :

$$\psi_2(\tau) = \psi_0(\tau) \int_{i\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \int_{i\infty}^{\tau_2} d\tau_1$$

Nevertheless, we can use it to construct a Y-invariant (Stefan's talk):

$$Y(\tau) \equiv \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_0} = \frac{\psi_0(y)^3}{(1 - 8y)W(y)^2}$$

Then the reducible $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0)$ has the following pattern:

$$L_3^{(0)}(y) =$$

w/
$$\Theta_q = q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau}$$

$$\frac{(1 - 8y_1(\tau_1))(1 + y_1(\tau_1))^2}{y_1(\tau_1)^6} \psi_0(\tau_1)^3$$

$$= \frac{\psi_0 Y}{J^3} \Theta_q \frac{1}{Y} \Theta_q^2 \frac{1}{\psi_0}$$

The irreducible PF operator factorises furthermore in terms of modular variable:

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(b)}(y) = \frac{\psi_{0}}{J^{2}}\Theta_{q}^{2}\frac{1}{\psi_{0}},$$

 $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0)$ is reducible (factorises in y space), such that ψ_{2} can be represented by (ψ_{0}, τ) :

$$\psi_2(\tau) = \psi_0(\tau) \int_{i\infty}^{\tau} d\tau_2 \int_{i\infty}^{\tau_2} d\tau_1$$

Nevertheless, we can use it to construct a Y-invariant (Stefan's talk):

$$Y(\tau) \equiv \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} = \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} \frac{\psi_2}{\psi_0} = \frac{\psi_0(y)^3}{(1 - 8y)W(y)^2}$$

Then the reducible $\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,0)$ has the following pattern:

w/
$$\Theta_q = q \frac{d}{dq} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau}$$

$$\frac{(1 - 8y_1(\tau_1))(1 + y_1(\tau_1))^2}{y_1(\tau_1)^6}\psi_0(\tau_1)^3$$



indicates ansatz in top sector!





 $L_3^{(b)}(y,0) \propto \Theta_q \frac{1}{Y} \Theta_q^2$

$$P_q^2 \frac{1}{\psi_0} = J \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{Y} J \frac{d}{dy} J \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{\psi_0}$$



$$L_3^{(b)}(y,0) \propto \Theta_q \frac{1}{Y} \Theta_q^2 \frac{1}{\psi_0} = J \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{Y} J \frac{d}{dy} J \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{\psi_0}$$

$$\begin{split} M_{1} &= \varepsilon^{4} \frac{I_{111110}^{(b)}}{\psi_{0}}, \\ M_{2} &= \frac{J(y)}{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dy} M_{1} - F_{11} M_{1}, \\ M_{3} &= \frac{1}{Y(y)} \left[\frac{J(y)}{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dy} M_{2} - F_{21} M_{1} - F_{22} M_{2} \right] + \text{sub-sector integrals}. \end{split}$$



$$L_3^{(b)}(y,0) \propto \Theta_q \frac{1}{Y} \Theta_q^2 \frac{1}{\psi_0} = J \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{Y} J \frac{d}{dy} J \frac{d}{dy} \frac{1}{\psi_0}$$

$$\begin{split} M_1 &= \varepsilon^4 \frac{I_{111110}^{(b)}}{\psi_0} \,, \\ M_2 &= \frac{J(y)}{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dy} M_1 - F_{11} M_1 \,, \\ M_3 &= \frac{1}{Y(y)} \left[\frac{J(y)}{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dy} M_2 - F_{21} M_1 - F_{22} M_2 \right] + \text{sub-sector integrals} \,. \end{split}$$

Rotation coeffs F_{ij} are determined by requiring this ansatz is ε -form under maximal cut: $F_{22}(y) = F_{11}(y) = \frac{28y^2 + 2y + 1}{3y^4} \psi_0(y)^2,$ $F_{21}(y) = \frac{(2y-1)(88y)}{}$

$$\frac{y^{2} + 2y + 1}{3y^{4}} \psi_{0}(y)^{2},$$

$$\frac{y^{3} + 84y^{2} + 66y - 11}{3y^{8}} \psi_{0}(y)^{4}.$$



$$J(y)\frac{d}{dy}\begin{pmatrix}M_1\\M_2\\M_3\end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix}\eta_{1,2} & 1 & 0\\\eta_4 & \eta_{1,2} & \eta_{1,3}\\-\frac{64}{27}\eta_{1,3} & 0 & \eta_{2,2}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}M_1\\M_2\\M_3\end{pmatrix} + \text{subs}$$

$$\begin{split} \eta_{1,2} &= \frac{28y^2 + 2y + 1}{3y^4} \psi_0(y)^2, \\ \eta_{2,2} &= \frac{2(y+1)(8y-1)}{3y^4} \psi_0(y)^2, \\ \eta_{1,3} &= \frac{(y+1)^2(8y-1)\psi_0(y)^3}{y^6}, \\ \eta_4 &= \frac{(2y-1)\left(88y^3 + 84y^2 + 66y - 11\right)\psi_0(y)^4}{3y^8}. \end{split}$$



$$J(y)\frac{d}{dy} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ M_3 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1,2} & 1 & 0 \\ \eta_4 & \eta_{1,2} & \eta_{1,3} \\ -\frac{64}{27}\eta_{1,3} & 0 & \eta_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ M_3 \end{pmatrix} + \text{subs}$$

$$= 28b_{1,1}^2 + 2b_{1,1}b_{2,1} + b_{2,1}^2,$$

$$= 16b_{1,1}^2 + 14b_{1,1}b_{2,1} - 2b_{2,1}^2,$$

$$= -3\sqrt{3} \left[8b_{1,1}^3 + 15b_{1,1}^2b_{2,1} + 6b_{1,1}b_{2,1}^2 - b_{2,1}^3 \right],$$

$$= 3 \left[176b_{1,1}^4 + 80b_{1,1}^3b_{2,1} + 48b_{1,1}^2b_{2,1}^2 - 88b_{1,1}b_{2,1}^3 + 11b_{2,1}^4 \right]$$

$$J(y)\frac{d}{dy} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ M_3 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1,2} & 1 & 0 \\ \eta_4 & \eta_{1,2} & \eta_{1,3} \\ -\frac{64}{27}\eta_{1,3} & 0 & \eta_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ M_3 \end{pmatrix} + \text{subs}$$

$$\eta_{1,2} = 28b_{1,1}^2 + 2b_{1,1}b_{2,1} + b_{2,1}^2,$$

$$\eta_{2,2} = 16b_{1,1}^2 + 14b_{1,1}b_{2,1} - 2b_{2,1}^2,$$

$$\eta_{1,3} = -3\sqrt{3} \begin{bmatrix} 8b_{1,1}^3 + 15b_{1,1}^2b_{2,1} + 6b_{1,1}b_{2,1}^2 - b_{2,1}^3 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\eta_4 = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 176b_{1,1}^4 + 80b_{1,1}^3b_{2,1} + 48b_{1,1}^2b_{2,1}^2 - 88b_{1,1}b_{2,1}^3 + 11b_2^4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$b_{1,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\psi_0}{y}, \quad b_{2,1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\psi_0}{y^2}$$

Nodular map defined via the Frobenius basis only works in the vicinity of y = 0. We want to analytically continue it, such that

Modular map defined via the Frobenius basis only continue it, such that

 $y \in \mathbb{R} + i0 \xrightarrow{y(\tau)} \tau \in \mathbb{H} \cup \{\text{cusps}\} \xrightarrow{\tau(y)} y \in \mathbb{R} + i0.$

Nodular map defined via the Frobenius basis only works in the vicinity of y = 0. We want to analytically

continue it, such that

$$y \in \mathbb{R} + i0 \xrightarrow{y(\tau)} \tau \in \mathbb{R}$$

Nodular map defined via the Frobenius basis only works in the vicinity of y = 0. We want to analytically

 $\mathbb{H} \cup \{\text{cusps}\} \xrightarrow{\tau(y)} y \in \mathbb{R} + i0.$

We turn to the elliptic curve, and represent the periods with complete elliptic fns: $(K(k^2), iK(1 - k^2))$

continue it, such that

$$y \in \mathbb{R} + i0 \xrightarrow{y(\tau)} \tau \in \mathbb{H} \cup \{\text{cusps}\} \xrightarrow{\tau(y)} y \in \mathbb{R} + i0.$$

discontinuities by rotation:

Nodular map defined via the Frobenius basis only works in the vicinity of y = 0. We want to analytically

We turn to the elliptic curve, and represent the periods with complete elliptic fns: $(K(k^2), iK(1 - k^2))$

Complete elliptic function $K(k^2)$ has discontinuities when k^2 crossing the branch cut: compensate the

continue it, such that

$$y \in \mathbb{R} + i0 \xrightarrow{y(\tau)} \tau \in \mathbb{H} \cup \{\text{cusps}\} \xrightarrow{\tau(y)} y \in \mathbb{R} + i0.$$

discontinuities by rotation:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{\psi}_1(y) \\ \bar{\psi}_0(y) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{y^2}{\sqrt{(u_3 - u_1)(u_4 - u_2)}} \gamma(y) \begin{pmatrix} i K(1 - k^2) \\ K(k^2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma(y) = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 12 \\ 01 \end{pmatrix}, & y < 0 \text{ or } y \ge \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{4}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 10 \\ 01 \end{pmatrix}, & 0 \le y < \frac{\sqrt{3} - 1}{4}. \end{cases}$$

Nodular map defined via the Frobenius basis only works in the vicinity of y = 0. We want to analytically

We turn to the elliptic curve, and represent the periods with complete elliptic fns: $(K(k^2), iK(1 - k^2))$

Complete elliptic function $K(k^2)$ has discontinuities when k^2 crossing the branch cut: compensate the

Series expand around y = 0, and we can identify: $\psi_0 = \bar{\psi}_0$, $\psi_1 = \bar{\psi}_1/6$;

 $\tau(y) = \frac{\bar{\psi}_1(y)}{6\bar{\psi}_0(y)}$



Series expand around y = 0, and we can identify: $\psi_0 = \bar{\psi}_0$, $\psi_1 = \bar{\psi}_1/6$;



Series expand around y = 0, and we can identify: $\psi_0 = \bar{\psi}_0$, $\psi_1 = \bar{\psi}_1/6$;



Series expand around y = 0, and we can identify: $\psi_0 = \bar{\psi}_0$, $\psi_1 = \bar{\psi}_1/6$;

 \hookrightarrow cusps of $\Gamma_1(6)$



 $y(\tau) =$

Series expand around y = 0, and we can identify: $\psi_0 = \bar{\psi}_0$, $\psi_1 = \bar{\psi}_1/6$;

 \hookrightarrow cusps of $\Gamma_1(6)$

$$\frac{\eta(\tau)^3 \eta(6\tau)^9}{\eta(2\tau)^3 \eta(3\tau)^9} \,.$$

15

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$
$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$
$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

 \Box When using (M_1, M_2, M_3) defined previously, $\vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}$ makes its dependence on sub-sector MIs not ε -factorized.

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{1111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

 \Box When using (M_1, M_2, M_3) defined previously, $\vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}$ makes its dependence on sub-sector MIs not ε -factorized.

If This can be cured by a "minimal" subtraction for the last MI in top sector:

$$M_3 = \frac{1}{Y(y)} \left[\frac{J(y)}{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dy} M_2 - F_{21}(y) M_1 - F_{22}(y) M_2 \right] - \vec{g}_{\mathrm{sub},2}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{\mathrm{sub}}.$$

$$\hat{L}_{2}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(a)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{2} r_{n}^{(a)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(a)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(a)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(a)}(y),$$

$$\hat{L}_{3}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) I_{111110}^{(b)} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{3} r_{n}^{(b)}(y,\varepsilon) \left(\frac{d}{dy}\right)^{n} I_{111110}^{(b)} = \varepsilon^{2} \vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y) + \varepsilon^{3} \vec{f}_{sub,3}^{(b)}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{sub}^{(b)}(y).$$

 \Box When using (M_1, M_2, M_3) defined previously, $\vec{f}_{sub,2}^{(b)}$ makes its dependence on sub-sector MIs not ε -factorized.

If This can be cured by a "minimal" subtraction for the last MI in top sector:

$$M_3 = \frac{1}{Y(y)} \left[\frac{J(y)}{\varepsilon} \frac{d}{dy} M_2 - F_{21}(y) M_1 - F_{22}(y) M_2 \right] - \vec{g}_{\text{sub},2}(y) \cdot \vec{M}_{\text{sub}}.$$

And it is sufficient that

$$\frac{d}{dy}\vec{g}_{\mathrm{sub},2}(y)$$

$$= (1 - 8y)\vec{f}_{sub,2}(y).$$



ɛ-form

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d}{d\tau} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ M_3 \end{pmatrix} = \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1,2} & 1 & 0 \\ \eta_4 & \eta_{1,2} & \eta_{1,3} \\ -\frac{64}{27} \eta_{1,3} & 0 & \eta_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} M_1 \\ M_2 \\ M_3 \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ g_{\text{sub},2} \\ g_{\text{sub},3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} g_{\text{sub},2} &= 10\eta_{2,3}M_5 - 10\eta_{2,3}M_6 - 8\eta_{2,3}M_7 - 8\eta_{2,3}M_8 + \varrho M_9 - 9\eta_{2,3}M_{10} + 10\eta_{2,3}M_{11} \\ &\quad + 12\eta_{2,3}M_{12} + 8\eta_{2,3}M_{13} - 4\eta_{2,3}M_{14} \\ w/ \\ g_{\text{sub},3} &= -4\eta_{3,2}M_5 + 4\eta_{3,2}M_6 + 2\eta_{3,2}M_7 + 2\eta_{3,2}M_8 - 4\vartheta M_9 - (4\eta_{3,2} + 6\varpi)M_{11} + 4\eta_{3,2}M_{13} \\ &\quad -2\eta_{3,2}M_{14} + \eta_{3,2}M_{15} - (8\eta_{3,2} + 24\varpi)M_{16} - (6\eta_{3,2} + 12\varpi)M_{17} + (5\eta_{3,2} + 9\varpi)M_{18} \\ \end{split}$$





$$\varrho = \frac{7 - 8y}{\sqrt{1 - 4y}} \eta_{2,3}, \quad \vartheta = \frac{1 + y}{\sqrt{1 - 4y}} \eta_{3,2}, \quad \varpi = \frac{\eta_{3,2}}{y - 1}$$
odular weight 2 are particular $\left(y = \frac{t}{(1 + t)^2}, \quad t = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4y}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4y}} \right)$:
$$d\tau = \frac{1}{3} d \log y - d \log(y + 1) - \frac{1}{2} d \log(1 - 8y),$$

Letters with me

$$\begin{split} \eta_{1,2} \cdot 2\pi i d\tau &= \frac{1}{3} d \log y - d \log(y+1) - \frac{1}{2} d \log(1-8y), \\ \eta_{2,2} \cdot 2\pi i d\tau &= -\frac{2}{3} d \log y, \quad \eta_{2,2} \cdot 2\pi i d\tau = \frac{16}{3} \left(d \log y - d \log(1+y) \right), \\ \vartheta \cdot 2\pi i d\tau &= \frac{16}{3} d \log \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4y}}{1 + \sqrt{1-4y}} = \frac{16}{3} d \log t, \\ \varpi \cdot 2\pi i d\tau &= \frac{8}{3} \left[d \log(1-y) + d \log(1+y) - 2 d \log y \right]. \end{split}$$



Boundary

$$\begin{split} M_1 \Big|_{y \to 0}^{\text{boundary}} &= \varepsilon^4 \left[\frac{7}{12} L_q^4 - \zeta_2 L_q^2 - 20\zeta_3 L_q - \frac{31\zeta_4}{2} \right] + \varepsilon^5 \left[\frac{3}{20} L_q^5 - 9\zeta_2 L_q^3 - 34\zeta_3 L_q^2 \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{125\zeta_4}{2} L_q + 40\zeta_2 \zeta_3 + 8\zeta_5 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^6) \,, \\ M_2 \Big|_{y \to 0}^{\text{boundary}} &= \varepsilon^3 \left[\frac{7L_q^3}{3} - 2\zeta_2 L_q - 20\zeta_3 \right] + \varepsilon^4 \left[\frac{5}{9} L_q^4 - \frac{80}{3} \zeta_2 L_q^2 - \frac{184\zeta_3 L_q}{3} - \frac{172\zeta_4}{3} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^5) \,, \\ M_3 \Big|_{y \to 0}^{\text{boundary}} &= \varepsilon^2 \left[0 \right] + \varepsilon^3 \left[\frac{112}{3} \zeta_3 + \frac{16}{3} \zeta_2 L_q - \frac{8}{9} L_q^3 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4) \,, \end{split}$$

Mellin-Barnes technique:

Numerics



The convergence of q-expansion is determined by nearest singularity in sub-sectors (y = 1)!

Curves: evaluate via *q*-expansion, convergent very fast (seconds); points via AMFLOW [Liu, Ma, Wang, '20]

20

- We put more evidence that FIs have ε -forms;

- We put more evidence that FIs have ε -forms;
- The letters in the top sectors are modular forms of $\Gamma_1(4)$ and $\Gamma_1(6)$ respectively;

- We put more evidence that FIs have ε -forms;
- The letters in the top sectors are modular forms of $\Gamma_1(4)$ and $\Gamma_1(6)$ respectively;
- Poles from sub-sectors set the convergent radius of q expansion;

- We put more evidence that FIs have ε -forms;
- The letters in the top sectors are modular forms of $\Gamma_1(4)$ and $\Gamma_1(6)$ respectively;
- Poles from sub-sectors set the convergent radius of q expansion;
- Extend to FIs with more scales?

- We put more evidence that FIs have ε -forms;
- The letters in the top sectors are modular forms of $\Gamma_1(4)$ and $\Gamma_1(6)$ respectively;
- Poles from sub-sectors set the convergent radius of q expansion;
- Extend to FIs with more scales?

We demonstrate that the method develops for Bananas also applies to more general FIs;

Analytically continue the mixing part to cover the whole regime, expand around other points?

- We put more evidence that FIs have ε -forms;
- The letters in the top sectors are modular forms of $\Gamma_1(4)$ and $\Gamma_1(6)$ respectively;
- Poles from sub-sectors set the convergent radius of q expansion;
- Extend to FIs with more scales?

Thank you for your attention!

We demonstrate that the method develops for Bananas also applies to more general FIs;

Analytically continue the mixing part to cover the whole regime, expand around other points?



Convergent radius



 $\operatorname{Re} q$

Periods of family (a)



23

τ and q-path of family (a)



