Funded by

DFG Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft

$t\bar{t}jj$ – NLO QCD corrections to top-quark pair production and decays at the LHC

Daniel Stremmer

In collaboration with:
Giuseppe Bevilacqua, Michele Lupattelli, Malgorzata Worek

Based on arXiv:2212.04722

Institute for Theoretical Particle Physics and Cosmology | RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY

RADCOR 2023, Crieff, Scotland, 30 May 2023

Motivation

- Observation of $pp \to t\bar{t}H$ in 2018 by ATLAS and CMS
  Phys.Lett.B 784 (2018) 173-191
  Phys.Rev.Lett. 120 (2018) 23, 231801
- Direct probe of Y_t at tree level
- $H \to b\bar{b}$ largest branching ratio with ~58%
- Large irreducible background from $pp \to t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$
  & reducible one from $pp \to t\bar{t}jj$

LHC HIGGS XS WG 2016



$pp \to t\bar{t}H(H \to b\bar{b})$ $\qquad$ $pp \to t\bar{t}b\bar{b}$ $\qquad$ $pp \to t\bar{t}jj$







Feynman diagrams created with FeynGame *Harlander, Klein, Lipp '20*

Motivation



Phys.Lett.B 820 (2021) 136565

Cross section ratios:

- $R_b = \sigma_{t\bar{t}b\bar{b}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}LL}$
- $R_c = \sigma_{t\bar{t}c\bar{c}}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}LL}$
- Playground for c and b jet tagging
- Better understanding of separation in different processes
- Differences between theoretical predictions and measurements up to 2.5σ for R_b

LL=light flavours and gluon jets

Theory status ($t\bar{t}jj$)

State of the art: **NLO QCD**

- Stable top quarks
  - $pp \to t\bar{t}jj$ *Bevilacqua, Czakon, Papadopoulos, Worek '10'11*
  - $pp \to t\bar{t}jjj$ (NLO & MINLO) *Höche, Maierhöfer, Moretti, Pozzorini, Siegert '17*
- Parton Shower (Multi-jet merging with MEPS@NLO in Sherpa)
  - $t\bar{t} + 0,1,2$ jets *Höche, Krauss, Maierhöfer, Pozzorini, Schönherr, Siegert '15*
  - $t\bar{t} + 0,1$ jet (NLO QCD + $\mathrm{EW_{virt}}$), $t\bar{t} + 2,3,4$ jets (LO) *Gütschow, Lindert, Schönherr '18*

Setup

$$pp \to t\bar{t}(jj) \to W^+W^-b\bar{b}jj \to \ell^+\ell^- \nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell\, b\bar{b}\, jj$$

- LHC with $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV
- Calculation performed in Narrow Width Approximation preserving spin correlations
- Jet radiation and NLO QCD corrections included in $t\bar{t}$ production and decay
- Diagonal CKM matrix
- 5 flavour scheme ($m_b = 0$)
- Top-quark width treated as fixed parameter



Process definition

$$d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}jj} = \Gamma_t^{-2}\Big(\underbrace{d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}jj}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}}}_{\text{Prod.}} + \underbrace{d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}j}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}j}}_{\text{Mix}} + \underbrace{d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}jj}}_{\text{Decay}}\Big)$$

LO

NLO



Process definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\sigma^{\mathrm{NLO}}_{t\bar{t}jj} = \Gamma_t^{-2}\Big(&\overbrace{\left(d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}jj} + d\sigma^{\mathrm{virt}}_{t\bar{t}jj} + d\sigma^{\mathrm{real}}_{t\bar{t}jjj}\right)\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}}}^{\text{Prod.}} + \overbrace{d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}}\,\left(d\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}jj} + d\Gamma^{\mathrm{virt}}_{t\bar{t}jj} + d\Gamma^{\mathrm{real}}_{t\bar{t}jjj}\right)}^{\text{Decay}} \\
&\underbrace{+\, d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}j}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}j} + d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}jj}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{virt}}_{t\bar{t}} + d\sigma^{\mathrm{virt}}_{t\bar{t}}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}jj} + d\sigma^{\mathrm{virt}}_{t\bar{t}j}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}j} + d\sigma^{\mathrm{LO}}_{t\bar{t}j}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{virt}}_{t\bar{t}j} + d\sigma^{\mathrm{real}}_{t\bar{t}jj}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{real}}_{t\bar{t}j} + d\sigma^{\mathrm{real}}_{t\bar{t}j}\, d\Gamma^{\mathrm{real}}_{t\bar{t}jj}}_{\text{Mix}}\Big)
\end{aligned}
$$

LO

NLO



Computational framework



- Theoretical prediction are stored in modified Les Houches Event Files (LHEFs) and ROOT Ntuples
- Reweighting to different renormalisation/factorisation scales and PDF sets

Bern, Dixon, Febres Cordero, Hoeche, Ita, Kosower, Maitre '14

Validation

Virtual Corrections

- Recomputed with Recola *(Actis, Denner, Hofer, Lang, Scharf, Uccirati '17)* + Collier *(Denner, Hofer, Dittmaier, Hofer '17)*
- Improved performance by random polarisation method: $\sum_{\lambda} |\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}|^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \, |\mathcal{M}_{\phi}|^2$

Real Corrections in Helac-Dipoles

- Catani-Seymour subtraction *Catani, Seymour '97*

Catani, Dittmaier, Seymour, Trocsanyi '02

$$\mathcal{A}^D_{\mathrm{CS}}(\{p\}_{m+1}) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m+1} \mathcal{A}^B(\{\tilde{p}\}_m^{(ijk)}) \otimes \mathcal{D}^{(ijk)}_{\mathrm{CS}}(\{\tilde{p}\}_m^{(ijk)}, \{p\}_{m+1})$$

- Number of subtraction terms $\sim m^3$
- Additional (polarised) subtraction terms
- $\mathrm{t} \to W^+ bg$ *Campbell, Ellis, Tramontano '04*
- $\mathrm{t} \to W^+ bgg$ *Melnikov, Scharf, Schulze '12*
- $\mathrm{t} \to W^+ bq\bar{q}$

- Nagy-Soper subtraction *Bevilacqua, Czakon, Kubocz, Worek '13*

$$\mathcal{A}^D_{\mathrm{NS}}(\{p\}_{m+1}) = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{m+1} \mathcal{A}^B(\{\tilde{p}\}_m^{(ij)}) \otimes \mathcal{D}^{(ijk)}_{\mathrm{NS}}(\{\tilde{p}\}_m^{(ij)}, \{p\}_{m+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{A}^B(\{\tilde{p}\}_m^{(ij)}) \otimes \left(\sum_k \mathcal{D}^{(ijk)}_{\mathrm{NS}}(\{\tilde{p}\}_m^{(ij)}, \{p\}_{m+1}) \right)$$

- Number of subtraction terms $\sim m^2$
- Extended to radiative decays

Setup of the calculation

- Exclusive in $n_b = 2$, inclusive in $n_j \geq 2$
- Anti-k_T jet algorithm ($R = 0.4$) *Cacciari, Salam, Soyez '08*
- Event selection: *CMS-PAS-TOP-20-006*

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
p_{T,\,\ell} > 20 \text{ GeV}\,, & |y_\ell| < 2.4\,, & \Delta R_{\ell\ell} > 0.4\,, & M_{\ell\ell} > 20 \text{ GeV}\,, \\
p_{T,\,b} > 30 \text{ GeV}\,, & |y_b| < 2.4\,, & \Delta R_{bb} > 0.4\,, & \\
p_{T,\,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}\,, & |y_j| < 2.4\,, & \Delta R_{jj} > 0.4\,, & \\
\Delta R_{bl} > 0.4\,, & \Delta R_{jl} > 0.4\,, & \Delta R_{jb} > 0.8 &
\end{array}
$$

- Renormalisation/Factorisation scale: $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_0 = \dfrac{H_T}{2}$ $\quad H_T = \sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{T\ell_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{Tj_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{Tb_i} + p_T^{miss}$
- NNPDF3.1 NLO PDF set with $\alpha_s = 0.118$ *Ball et. al. '17*

Setup of the calculation

- Exclusive in $n_b = 2$, inclusive in $n_j \geq 2$
- Anti-k_T jet algorithm ($R = 0.4$) *Cacciari, Salam, Soyez '08*
- Event selection: *CMS-PAS-TOP-20-006*

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
p_{T,\ell} > 20 \text{ GeV}\,, & |y_\ell| < 2.4\,, & \Delta R_{\ell\ell} > 0.4\,, & M_{\ell\ell} > 20 \text{ GeV}\,, \\
p_{T,b} > 30 \text{ GeV}\,, & |y_b| < 2.4\,, & \Delta R_{bb} > 0.4\,, & \\
p_{T,j} > 40 \text{ GeV}\,, & |y_j| < 2.4\,, & \Delta R_{jj} > 0.4\,, & \\
\Delta R_{bl} > 0.4\,, & \Delta R_{jl} > 0.4\,, & \Delta R_{jb} > 0.8\,(0.4) &
\end{array}
$$

- Renormalisation/Factorisation scale: $\mu_R = \mu_F = \mu_0 = \dfrac{H_T}{2}$ $\quad H_T = \sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{T\ell_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{Tj_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{2} p_{Tb_i} + p_T^{miss}$
- NNPDF3.1 NLO PDF set with $\alpha_s = 0.118$ *Ball et. al. '17*

Integrated Fiducial cross section

$$\Delta R_{jb} > 0.8$$

i	σ^{LO} [fb]	σ^{NLO} [fb]	$\sigma_i^{\text{LO}}/\sigma_{\text{Full}}^{\text{LO}}$	$\sigma_i^{\text{NLO}}/\sigma_{\text{Full}}^{\text{NLO}}$
Full	$868.8(2)^{+60\%}_{-35\%}$	$1225(1)^{+1\%}_{-14\%}$	1.00	1.00
Prod.	$843.2(2)^{+60\%}_{-35\%}$	$1462(1)^{+12\%}_{-19\%}$	0.97	1.19
Mix	25.465(5)	$-236(1)$	0.029	-0.19
Decay	0.2099(1)	0.1840(8)	0.0002	0.0002

- $\mathcal{K} = \sigma_{\text{Full}}^{\text{NLO}}/\sigma_{\text{Full}}^{\text{LO}} = 1.41$
- Scale uncertainties reduced from 60% to 14%
- Dominated by Prod.

- LO cross section

$$\sigma_{gg}^{\text{LO}} = 561.1(2)\ \text{fb} \quad (65\%)$$
$$\sigma_{gq}^{\text{LO}} = 272.6(1)\ \text{fb} \quad (31\%)$$
$$\sigma_{qq'}^{\text{LO}} = 35.10(1)\ \text{fb} \quad (4\%)$$

- Internal PDF uncertainties

NNPDF3.1: $\sigma^{\text{NLO}} = 1225(1)^{+1.3\%}_{-1.3\%}$ fb

MSHT20: $\sigma^{\text{NLO}} = 1212(1)^{+2.1\%}_{-1.8\%}$ fb

CT18: $\sigma^{\text{NLO}} = 1197(1)^{+2.9\%}_{-2.7\%}$ fb

Integrated Fiducial cross section

$\Delta R_{jb} > 0.8$

i	$\sigma^{\rm LO}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{\rm NLO}$ [fb]	$\sigma_i^{\rm LO}/\sigma_{\rm Full}^{\rm LO}$	$\sigma_i^{\rm NLO}/\sigma_{\rm Full}^{\rm NLO}$
Full	$868.8(2)^{+60\%}_{-35\%}$	$1225(1)^{+1\%}_{-14\%}$	1.00	1.00
Prod.	$843.2(2)^{+60\%}_{-35\%}$	$1462(1)^{+12\%}_{-19\%}$	0.97	1.19
Mix	25.465(5)	−236(1)	0.029	−0.19
Decay	0.2099(1)	0.1840(8)	0.0002	0.0002

$$\sigma^{\rm NLO}_{\rm Prod.LOdecay} = \left(\frac{\Gamma_t^{\rm NLO}}{\Gamma_t^{\rm LO}}\right)^2 \sigma^{\rm NLO}_{\rm Prod.} = 1221(1)^{+12\%}_{-19\%}$$

$\Delta R_{jb} > 0.4$

i	$\sigma^{\rm LO}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{\rm NLO}$ [fb]	$\sigma_i^{\rm LO}/\sigma_{\rm Full}^{\rm LO}$	$\sigma_i^{\rm NLO}/\sigma_{\rm Full}^{\rm NLO}$
Full	$1074.5(3)^{+60\%}_{-35\%}$	$1460(1)^{+1\%}_{-13\%}$	1.00	1.00
Prod.	$983.1(3)^{+60\%}_{-35\%}$	$1662(1)^{+11\%}_{-18\%}$	0.91	1.14
Mix	89.42(3)	−205(1)	0.083	−0.14
Decay	1.909(1)	2.436(6)	0.002	0.002

$$\sigma^{\rm NLO}_{\rm Prod.LOdecay} = 1390(2)^{+11\%}_{-18\%}$$

- LO: Prod. increased by 24%, Mix increased by 250%, Decay increased by 810%
- NLO: Relative size of Mix decreased
- Differences up to 5% for Prod. LOdecay, scale uncertainties reduced by 5%

Differential Fiducial cross section

$\Delta R_{jb} > 0.4$





- Shape distortions up to 20%
- Scale uncertainties reduced by 5% below 300 GeV

- Shape distortions up to 15%
- Scale uncertainties reduced by 5%

Differential Fiducial cross section

$\Delta R_{jb} > 0.8$





- NLO QCD corrections ~30% – 60%
- Scale uncertainties reduced from 60% to 15%
- Mix/Full [−25%, 20%]
- Mix sensitive to ΔR_{jb} at small energies

Differential Fiducial cross section

$\Delta R_{jb} > 0.8$





- NLO QCD corrections ~30% – 50%
- Scale uncertainties reduced from 60% to 15%
- Mix/Full [−25%, −7%]
- Larger shape distortions for $\Delta R_{jb} > 0.4$

Fiducial cross section ratios

$$\Delta R_{jb} > 0.8$$

$\mathcal{R}_n$	$\mathcal{R}^{\rm LO}$	$\mathcal{R}^{\rm NLO}$	$\mathcal{R}^{\rm NLO}_{\rm exp}$
$\mathcal{R}_1 = \sigma_{t\bar{t}j}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$	$0.3686\,^{+12\%}_{-10\%}$	$0.3546\,^{+0\%}_{-5\%}$	$0.3522\,^{+0\%}_{-3\%}$
$\mathcal{R}_2 = \sigma_{t\bar{t}jj}/\sigma_{t\bar{t}j}$	$0.2539\,^{+11\%}_{-9\%}$	$0.2660\,^{+0\%}_{-5\%}$	$0.2675\,^{+0\%}_{-2\%}$

$$\mathcal{R}^{\rm NLO}_{\rm exp} = \frac{\sigma^0_{t\bar{t}j(j)}}{\sigma^0_{t\bar{t}(j)}}\left(1 + \frac{\sigma^1_{t\bar{t}j(j)}}{\sigma^0_{t\bar{t}j(j)}} - \frac{\sigma^1_{t\bar{t}(j)}}{\sigma^0_{t\bar{t}(j)}}\right)$$

- NLO QCD corrections $\sim 4\% - 5\%$
- Reduced scale uncertainties by consistent expansion in α_s from 5% to $2\% - 3\%$
- PDF uncertainties with NNPDF3.1 ~0.5%

Conclusion

- NLO QCD corrections to $pp \to t\bar{t}(jj) \to W^+W^- b\bar{b}jj \to \ell^+\ell^- \nu_\ell\bar{\nu}_\ell \, b\bar{b}\, jj$
- Jet radiation consistenly included in production and decay of top-quark pair
- LO dominated by Prod., Mix and Decay contributions negligible at LO
- Mixing of different resonant contributions at NLO QCD
- Different sign of Mix contribution at NLO
- Theoretical uncertainties dominated by scale uncertainties

Outlook

- Cross section ratios $\mathrm{R_b} = \sigma_{\mathrm{t\bar{t}b\bar{b}}}/\sigma_{\mathrm{t\bar{t}jj}}$ and $\mathrm{R_c} = \sigma_{\mathrm{t\bar{t}c\bar{c}}}/\sigma_{\mathrm{t\bar{t}jj}}$ in fiducial phase space
- Hadronic W boson decays → lepton + jet top-quark decay channel