Precision Standard Model Phenomenology at N3LO and Beyond

Gherardo Vita



RADCOR 2023 - Crieff, 30 May 2023

Based on:

"Collinear expansion for color singlet cross sections" M.Ebert, B.Mistlberger, GV [2006.03055] "TMD PDFs at N3LO" M.Ebert, B.Mistlberger, GV [2006.05329] "N-jettiness beam functions at N3LO" M.Ebert, B.Mistlberger, GV [2006.03056]

"The Four-Loop Rapidity Anomalous Dimension and Event Shapes to 4th Logarithmic Order" C.Duhr, B.Mistlberger, GV [2205.02242]

B.Mistlberger, GV [to appear]

Testing the SM at Percent Level Accuracy

Astonishing level of precision in experimental measurements of key benchmark processes.

Example: normalized differential distributions in Drell-Yan measured with few **per-mille** level accuracy



...and plethora of very precise differential distributions from LEP, future EIC measurements, possible future colliders, etc...

Higgs measurements at the moment are limited by statistics



...but statistics will improve dramatically with HL LHC...



With percent level

measurement of Higgs

distributions, theory errors

are projected to be a major

limiting factor for Higgs

precision program

ATLAS Preliminary rojection from Run 2 data s=14 TeV, 3000 fb-1 $\rightarrow \gamma \gamma + H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$ HL-LHC No Sys IL-LHC Sys. + Stat L-LHC Scaled Svs. + Stat 2.5 Vs = 14 TeV, 3000 fb⁻¹ per experiment Total ATLAS and CMS Statistical **HL-LHC** Projection Experimental Theory Uncertainty [% Tot Stat Exp TI σ_{ggH} 16 07 08 12 -PHYS-PUB ·2022-018 σ_{VBF} 3.1 18 13 21 σ_{WH} 5.7 3.3 2.4 4.0 σzH 4.2 2.6 1.3 3.1 σ_{ttH} 4.3 1.3 1.8 3.7 0.04 0.06 0.08 0.1 0.12 Expected relative uncertainty

Standard Model Phenomenology at percent level

We should aim at comparable precision from the theory side!

 $\sigma_{pp o X} \sim \int f_a(x_1) f_b(x_2) \otimes \hat{\sigma}_{ab o X}$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ab\to X} = \underbrace{\sigma_0}_{\text{LO}} + \underbrace{\alpha_s \sigma_1}_{\text{NLO}} + \underbrace{\alpha_s^2 \sigma_2}_{\text{NNLO}} + \underbrace{\alpha_s^3 \sigma_3}_{\text{N^3LO}} + \dots$$

N3LO corrections (or at least good estimates of them) will be necessary for percent level phenomenology

"The Path Forward to N3LO" Snowmass Whitepaper

[Caola, Chen, Duhr, Liu, Mistlberger, Petriello, GV, Weinzierl]

CAVEAT!

Often times convergence turns out to be slower than naive estimate => N3L0 gives few <u>percent</u> (not per-mille) shift

	Q [GeV]	$\delta \sigma^{N^3LO}$	$\delta \sigma^{\rm NNLO}$
$gg \rightarrow \text{Higgs}$	m_H	3.5%	30%
$b\bar{b} \rightarrow \text{Higgs}$	m_H	-2.3%	2.1%
NCDY	30	-4.8%	-0.34%
NCD1	100	-2.1%	-2.3%
CCDV/W+)	30	-4.7%	-0.1%
CCDI(W)	150	-2.0%	-0.1%
CCDV(W-)	30	-5.0%	-0.1%
CCDI(W)	150	-2.1%	-0.6%

n3loxs [Baglio, Duhr, Mistlberger, Szafron '22]

Predictions for Differential Cross Sections: IR singularities

$$\sigma = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \int d\Phi |M|^2$$

- Cross sections require integration over phase space
- Complexity of infrared singularities grows with loop order
- Extremely challenging to systematize their treatment order by order
- Use EFT methods to systematize study of collinear and soft radiation at the cross section level
- Obvious applications: building universal counterterms (e.g. EFT-based subtractions) and improve resummation

Singular Region of LHC Observables

• For several observables, **singular region** understood at all orders using cross section level **hard**, collinear and soft functions. Classical example:

Leading power factorization for <u>Transverse-Momentum Distributions</u> in pp

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}Q^{2}\mathrm{d}Y\mathrm{d}^{2}\vec{q}_{T}} = \sigma_{0}\sum_{i,j} \underbrace{H_{ij}(Q^{2},\mu)}_{\text{Hard Function}} \int \mathrm{d}^{2}\vec{b}_{T} \, e^{\mathrm{i}\,\vec{q}_{T}\cdot\vec{b}_{T}} \underbrace{\tilde{B}_{i}\left(x_{1}^{B},b_{T},\mu,\frac{\nu}{\omega_{a}}\right)}_{\mathbf{q}_{T}} \underbrace{\tilde{B}_{j}\left(x_{2}^{B},b_{T},\mu,\frac{\nu}{\omega_{b}}\right)}_{\mathbf{S}(b_{T},\mu,\nu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\nu)}_{\mathbf{S}(b_{T},\mu,\nu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\nu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu)}_{\mathbf{S}(\mu,\mu)} \underbrace{\tilde{S}(b_{T},\mu,\mu$$

- At each order in perturbation theory:
 - Log-enhanced terms (predicted by RGE/anomalous dims. and lower order results)
 - Fixed order terms (non-log enhanced terms, boundary values of RG equations, need explicit f.o. calculation)

Fixed order terms for Hard and Soft functions (for color singlet processes) are **constants**. => Several results at N3LO since a long time [Gehrmann, Glover, Huber, Ikizlerli, Studerus '10]

[Li, v.Manteuffel, Schabinger, Zhu '14] [Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Furlan, Gehrmann, Herzog, Mistlberger '14]

[Li, Zhu '16]

and more ...

Beam function fixed order terms are full *functions* (of the collinear splitting variable):

- Significantly more complicated objects
- Recent progress at N3LO [Luo, Yang, Zhu, Zhu '19] [Ebert, Mistlberger, GV '20] [Mistlberger, GV [Ebert, Mistlberger, GV '20] [Baranowski, Behring, Melnikov, to appear] Tancredi, Wever '22]
- Enabled many of the LHC N3LO distributions⁵

Beam Functions

• Beam Functions can be understood as generalization of Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)

PDF:
$$f_{q}(x) = \langle p_{n} | \bar{\chi}_{n} \frac{\not{h}}{2} [\delta(p^{-} - \bar{n} \cdot \mathcal{P}) \chi_{n}] | p_{n} \rangle$$
Beam Function:
$$B_{q}(x, q_{T}) = \langle p_{n} | \bar{\chi}_{n} \frac{\not{h}}{2} [\delta(p^{-} - \bar{n} \cdot \hat{\mathcal{P}}) \delta(q_{T} - \hat{k}_{T}) \chi_{n}] | p_{n} \rangle$$
Additional observable (q_T, beam thrust, etc...)

• Beam functions are **non-perturbative** objects!

However, in **perturbative regime** of the observable $\mathcal{T} \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, they can be matched perturbatively onto PDF, via an **observable dependent matching kernel** $\mathcal{I}_{ij}(x, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$

$$B_i(x,\mathcal{T},\mu) = \sum_j \mathcal{I}_{ij}(x,\mathcal{T},\mu) \otimes_x f_j(x,\mu) \times \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/\mathcal{T})\right]$$

Beam Functions

• Beam Functions can be understood as generalization of Parton Distribution Functions (PDFs)

$$\mathbf{B}$$

$$\mathbf{E}$$

Beam functions are non-perturbative objects!

However, in **perturbative regime** of the observable $\mathcal{T} \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$, they can be matched perturbatively onto PDF, via an **observable dependent matching kernel** $\mathcal{I}_{ij}(x, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$

$$B_i(x, \mathcal{T}, \mu) = \sum_j \mathcal{I}_{ij}(x, \mathcal{T}, \mu) \otimes_x f_j(x, \mu) \times \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/\mathcal{T})\right]$$

Beam Functions at N3LO



 $B_a(t_a, x_1^B, \mu)$

"N-Jettiness Beam Functions at N3LO"

> M.Ebert, B.Mistlberger, **GV** [2006.03056]

- Quark *τ* beam functions
 (Quark N-Jettiness Beam Function)
- Gluon *τ* beam functions
 (Gluon N-Jettiness Beam Function)

"Transverse Momentum Dependent PDFs at N3LO"

 $\tilde{B}_i\left(x_1^B, b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{\omega}\right)$

M.Ebert, B.Mistlberger, **GV** [2006.05329]

- Quark TMDPDF See also (Quark q_T Beam Function) ^[Luo, Yang, Zhu, Zhu '19]
- Unpolarized Gluon TMDPDF (Gluon q_T Beam Function)

Slicing at N3L0

- **q_T beam functions** at N3LO were **last missing ingredient** for:
 - \circ q_T subtraction for differential and fiducial Drell-Yan and Higgs production at N3LO
 - \circ q_T resummation at N3LL`
- Many new exciting phenomenological results at N3LO employing them!



Approximations for LHC Cross Sections at N3LO using Collinear Expansions

B.Mistlberger, GV [to appear]



Fixed order rapidity distribution hadronic cross section at the LHC

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_a \mathrm{d}x_b} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z_a}{z_a} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_b}{z_b} \,\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(z_a, z_b) \,f_i\left(\frac{x_a}{z_a}\right) f_j\left(\frac{x_b}{z_b}\right)$$
$$x_a = \frac{Q}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}} e^{+Y}, \quad x_b = \frac{Q}{E_{\mathrm{cm}}} e^{-Y}, \quad \tau = x_a x_b$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x_{a} \rightarrow 1 & & \\ \text{and} & & \\ \text{Threshold} & \\ x_{b} \rightarrow 1 & & \\ x_{b} \rightarrow 1 & & \\ x_{a} \rightarrow 1 & & \\ \text{or} & & \\ x_{b} \rightarrow 1 & & \\ \text{Collinear} & & \\ x_{b} \rightarrow 1 & & \\ \text{Expansion} & & \\ \hline \begin{array}{c} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_{1}\mathrm{d}x_{2}} = H_{ij}(Q^{2},\mu) B_{i}^{Y}(Q^{2}\bar{x}_{2},x_{1},\mu) & \\ B_{i}^{X}(Q^{2}\bar{x}_{2},x_{1},\mu) & \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \text{Beam} \\ \text{Functions} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \text{Beam} \\ \text{Functions} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \text{Beam} \\ \text{Functions} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \text{Beam} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \text{Beam} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \text{Rapidity} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \text{Rapidity} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \text{Rapidity} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidity} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidet} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text{Rapidet}$$

[Lustermans, Michel, Tackmann '19]

Approximation of Rapidity Distributions

- Tests at NNLO: Very good approximation both for Higgs and DY
 12
- Significant improvement over threshold thanks to capturing all collinear distributions
- Convolution structure between two rapidity beam functions allow description of power suppressed channels
- Great convergence away from singular limit thanks to PDFs suppression of other singular regions





Process dependence enters only through hard function (fully virtual corrections)

=> Universality of collinear and soft objects for ALL color singlet processes

=> GOAL: create approximation/estimate of N3LO corrections to distributions for processes where full kinematic calculation is currently out of reach (e.g. di-boson)



– What is known? –

Soft function:

- Closely related to inclusive threshold SF
- Known to N3LO
 - Mistlberger '13]

[Anastasiou, Dulat, Duhr, [Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Furlan, Gehrmann, Herzog, Mistlberger '14]

ILi. v.Manteuffel. Schabinger, Zhu '14]

Rapidity Beam **Functions:**

- NNLO known [Lustermans, Michel, Tackmann '19] [Gaunt, Stahlhofen '20]
- Significant more complicated than TMD and N-Jettiness BFs: GPLs already at NNLO
- Extracted from double differential BF •

[Gaunt, Stahlhofen '14] [Gaunt, Stahlhofen '20]



Fully differential calculation in collinear limit @N3LO

- We calculated the collinear expansion of the partonic cross section for DY and Higgs @N3LO <u>differential</u> in z_a, z_b
- O(100k) Feynman diagrams Ο
- Use reverse unitarity for phase space Ο
- Collinear expansion applied before IBP Ο reduction \rightarrow get basis of Collinear MIs
- RVV: known in full kinematics Ο [Duhr, Gehrmann] [Duhr, Gehrmann, Jaquier] [Dulat, Mistlberger]
- **RRV: 270** Collinear MIs Ο
- RRR: **410** Collinear MIs Ο
- **1** scale problem (dependence on small scale in Ο collinear limit trivializes),

algebraic dependence on variable and elliptic letters, e.g. $\sqrt{z_a^4 + 2z_a^3 + 7z_a^2 + 6z_a + 1}$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_a \mathrm{d}x_b} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z_a}{z_a} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_b}{z_b} \,\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(z_a, z_b) \,f_i\!\left(\frac{x_a}{z_a}\right) f_j\!\left(\frac{x_b}{z_b}\right)$$

- Obtained analytic solution by deriving differential equations for MIs and putting system in **canonical form**.
- Constructed **dLog integrands** on different cut surfaces for sectors involving algebraic and elliptic letters
- Boundaries from inclusive N3LO soft integrals and double soft behaviour [Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger]
- From this we obtain bare results. SCET I observable so no rapidity divergences. Standard Laplace renormalization as for N-Jettiness BF

Going Beyond 3 Loops: Resummation at N3LL' and N4LL

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2} = H_{ij}(Q^2,\mu)B_i^Y(Q^2\bar{x}_2,x_1,\mu) \underset{x_1;\bar{x}_2}{\otimes} S(Q^2\bar{x}_1\bar{x}_2,\mu) \underset{x_2;\bar{x}_1}{\otimes} B_j^Y(Q^2\bar{x}_1,x_2,\mu)$$

RG Equations of each object

Related to Collinear Anomalous Dimension from form factors



 $\mathrm{d}\sigma$ $=H_{ij}(Q^{2},\mu)B_{i}^{Y}(Q^{2}\bar{x}_{2},x_{1},\mu)\otimes_{x_{1};\bar{x}_{2}}S(Q^{2}\bar{x}_{1}\bar{x}_{2},\mu)\otimes_{x_{2};\bar{x}_{1}}B_{j}^{Y}(Q^{2}\bar{x}_{1},x_{2},\mu)$ $dx_1 dx_2$ Boundary functions: known to N3L0 (I've just shown their calculation) **Related to Collinear** Anomalous Dimension from form factors Log accuracy dictated by Known at 4 loops perturbative order of ingredients $_{I}(Q,\mu)$ [Manteuffel, Panzer, Schabinger '21] Boundaries $|\Gamma_{cusp}(\alpha_s)|$ $\beta(\alpha_s)$ Accuracy $\gamma_i(\alpha_s)$ 0-Jettiness/Thrust Jet anomalous dimension LLTree level 1-loop 1-loop Known at 4 loops NLL Tree level 1-loop 2-loop 2-loop [Duhr. Mistlberger. GV '22] [Moult, Zhu, Zhu '22] NLL' 1-loop 2-loop 1-loop 2-loop $\gamma_B^{\iota}(\kappa_2,\mu)$ NNLL 2-loop 3-loop 1-loop 3-loop NNLL' 2-loop 3-loop Threshold anomalous 2-loop 3-loop dimension $N^{3}LL$ 2-loop 4-loop 3-loop 4-loop Known at 4 loops $Q^i_{th}(Q^2\kappa_1\kappa_2,\mu)$ N^3LL' 3-loop 3-loop 4-loop 4-loop **IDuhr**. Mistlberger, **GV** '22] N^4LL 4-loop 5-loop 3-loop 5-loop [Moult, Zhu, Zhu '22] [Das, Moch, Vogt '19]

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}x_1\mathrm{d}x_2} = H_{ij}(Q^2,\mu) B_i^Y (Q^2 \bar{x}_2, x_1, \mu) \bigotimes_{x_1; \bar{x}_2} S(Q^2 \bar{x}_1 \bar{x}_2, \mu) \bigotimes_{x_2; \bar{x}_1} B_j^Y (Q^2 \bar{x}_1, x_2, \mu)$$

Boundary functions: known to N3L0 (I've just shown their calculation)

Log accuracy dictated by perturbative order of ingredients

Accuracy	Boundaries	$\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)$	$\gamma_i(\alpha_s)$	$eta(lpha_s)$
LL	Tree level	1-loop	_	1-loop
NLL	Tree level	2-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NLL'	1-loop	2-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NNLL	1-loop	3-loop	2-loop	3-loop
NNLL'	2-loop	3-loop	2-loop	3-loop
$N^{3}LL$	2-loop	4-loop	3-loop	4-loop
$ m N^3 LL'$	3-loop	4-loop	3-loop	4-loop
$ m N^4LL$	3-loop	5-loop	4-loop	5-loop

All ingredients known for

resummation at N3LL'

For N4LL, all anomalous dimensions known, but **need N3LO DGLAP** for consistent perturbative matching to PDF. (Note: 5-loop cusp is numerically irrelevant. Approx of [Herzog, Moch, Ruijl,Ueda, Vermaseren, Vogt '18] enough for any pheno)

Resummation at N4LL for event shapes

Unrelated to rapidity distributions...

We achieved N4LL accuracy for an event shapes observable in electron-positron annihilation, the Energy-Energy Correlation (EEC) in the back-to-back limit.

Singular structure identical to q_T , but no PDFs, so no need for DGLAP.

Need Rapidity Anomalous Dimension to N4LO [Duhr, Mistlberger, GV '22] [Moult, Zhu, Zhu '22]

First resummation for an event shape at this accuracy!

"The Four-Loop Rapidity Anomalous Dimension and Event Shapes to Fourth Logarithmic Order"

C.Duhr, B.Mistlberger, GV $\left[2205.02242\right]$

Resummed EEC cross section to all orders (at LP in z
$$\rightarrow$$
 1)

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_0}{8} \int_0^\infty d(b_T Q)^2 J_0(b_T Q \sqrt{1-z}) H_{q\bar{q}}(Q, \mu_H)$$

$$\times \mathcal{J}_q(b_T, \mu_J, \frac{Qb_T}{v_n}) \mathcal{J}_{\bar{q}}(b_T, \mu_J, Qb_T v_{\bar{n}}) \left(\frac{v_n}{v_{\bar{n}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} \gamma_r^q(b_T, \mu_J)}$$

$$\times \exp\left[4 \int_{\mu_J}^{\mu_H} \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} \Gamma_{\text{cusp}}^q[\alpha_s(\mu')] \ln \frac{\mu'}{Q} - \gamma_H^q[\alpha_s(\mu')]\right]$$



Conclusion

- ➤ Motivated need for theoretical predictions at N3LO for percent level pheno
- Introduced Beam Functions to describe singular structure of infrared observables to N3LO
- Discussed collinear
 expansion of rapidity
 distributions to N3L0
- Explained ingredients
 and formalism for
 resummation to N4LL





Accuracy	Boundaries	$\Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s)$	$\gamma_i(\alpha_s)$	$\beta(\alpha_s)$
LL	Tree level	1-loop	-	1-loop
NLL	Tree level	2-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NLL'	1-loop	2-loop	1-loop	2-loop
NNLL	1-loop	3-loop	2-loop	3-loop
NNLL'	2-loop	3-loop	2-loop	3-loop
$N^{3}LL$	2-loop	4-loop	3-loop	4-loop
$\rm N^3 LL'$	3-loop	4-loop	3-loop	4-loop
N^4LL	3-loop	5-loop	4-loop	5-loop



Backup

Resummation Pulling out PDFs

$$B_i(\xi_2, \mu^2; \bar{\xi}_1) = \sum_j I_{ij}(\xi_2, \mu^2; \bar{\xi}_1) \otimes_{\xi_2} f_j(\xi_2, \mu^2)$$

Take Factorization Formula and pull out PDFs from Beam Functions

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{P\,P\to h+X}}{\mathrm{d}Y}(\xi_1,\xi_2) = \tau \sum_{i,j} f_i(\xi_1) \otimes_{\xi_1} \eta_{ij}^{Y_{\mathrm{approx.}}}(\xi_1,\xi_2) \otimes_{\xi_2} f_j(\xi_2) + \mathcal{O}\left(\bar{\xi}_1^0,\bar{\xi}_2^0\right),$$

Get purely perturbative object in terms of Beam Function matching kernels

$$\eta_{ij}^{Y_{\text{approx.}}}(\xi_1,\xi_2,\mu^2) = \sum_{k,l} H_{kl}(\mu^2) I_{ik}(\xi_1,\mu^2;\bar{\xi}_2) \otimes_{\xi_1;\xi_2} S^{r_{kl}}(\mu^2;\bar{\xi}_1\bar{\xi}_2) \otimes_{\xi_2;\xi_1} I_{lj}(\xi_2,\mu^2;\bar{\xi}_1).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{RGE of Rapidity Beam Function matching kernel} \\ & \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\log\mu^2} \tilde{I}_{ij}^Y \left(\log\frac{\kappa_1\mu^2}{Q^2}, \xi_2, \mu^2 \right) = -\sum_k \tilde{I}_{ik}^Y \left(\log\frac{\kappa_1\mu^2}{Q^2}, \xi_2, \mu^2 \right) \otimes_{\xi_2} P_{kj}(\xi_2, \mu^2) \\ & + \left[\Gamma_{\mathrm{B}}^r(\alpha_S(\mu^2)) \log\left(\frac{\kappa_1\mu^2}{Q^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{B,r}(\alpha_S(\mu^2)) \right] \tilde{I}_{ij}^Y \left(\log\frac{\kappa_1\mu^2}{Q^2}, \xi_2, \mu^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

EEC in the back to back limit to N4LL



(Ebert, Mistlberger, GV) Beam Functions calculation at N3L0 [2006.05329], [2006.03056]

We calculated the collinear expansion of the partonic cross section for Drell-Yan and Higgs @N3LO <u>differential</u> in (Q_T, τ, z)

- O(100k) Feynman diagrams
- Collinear expansion applied before IBP reduction.
- **RVV:** known in full kinematics [Duhr, Gehrmann] [Duhr, Gehrmann, Jaquier] [Dulat, Mistlberger]
- **RRV:** 170 Collinear Master Integrals



- **RRR:** 320 Collinear Master Integrals
- Problem has 2 non trivial scales with algebraic dependence on the variables

- Derived system of Differential Equations for Master Integrals
- Analytic solution by going to canonical form obtained by constructing dLog integrands on different cut surfaces for sectors involving algebraic functions
- Boundaries fixed by inclusive soft integrals and constraints on singular behavior [Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Mistlberger] [Dulat, Mistlberger, GV]
- From this we obtain bare double differential results. Several steps to get to final result: SCET renormalization, handling of rapidity divergences, DGLAP poles removal, ...

Bare Beam Functions and Renormalization

N-Jettiness Beam Function

 $B_a(t_a, x_1^B, \mu)$ roject to $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

Collinear expansion of the partonic cross section for Drell Yan and Higgs at N3LO <u>differential</u> in (Q_T, τ, z) **q**_T Beam Function

project to ${f q}_T$

- Poles in dimensional regularization (up to 1/ε⁶)
- Logs/Plus Distributions in $\boldsymbol{\tau}$
- Iterated Integrals up to weight 5, with alphabet

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{\frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{1-z}, \frac{1}{2-z}, \frac{1}{1+z}, \frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{4-z}\sqrt{z}}\right\}$$

- Constants to weight 6
- Coupling renormalization
- SCET_I renormalization
- IR poles subtracted via NNLO PDF counterterms



• Poles in dimensional regularization

 $\tilde{B}_i\left(x_1^B, b_T, \mu, \frac{\nu}{\omega_a}\right)$

- Rapidity divergences regulated by exponential regulator
- Logs/Plus Distributions in $\mathbf{b}_{T}/\mathbf{q}_{T}$
- HPLs in z up to weight 5
- Constants to weight 6
- Coupling renormalization
- Zero-bin subtraction via calculation of bare q_T Soft Function at N3LO
- SCET_{II} renormalization
- IR poles subtracted via NNLO PDF counterterms

Bare Results

Checks



- 6 orders of poles cancel in all channels
- Terms involving $\mathcal{L}_n\left(\frac{t}{\mu^2}\right) \ n = 0, \dots, 5$ vs RGE prediction
- Eikonal limit vs threshold consistency [Billis, Ebert, Michel and Tackmann]
- Generalized leading color approx

[Behring, Melnikov, Rietkerk, Tancredi, Wever]

Confirmation of our results in later independent calculation

(Baranowski, Behring, Melnikov, Tancredi, Wever) [2211.05722]

- All rapidity divergences regulated
- 3 orders of $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ poles cancel for all channels
- Log terms vs RGE prediction [Billis, Ebert, Michel and Tackmann]
- Eikonal limit vs threshold consistency
- Quark channels vs [Luo, Yang, Zhu, Zhu 1912.05778] (found small discrepancy)

Confirmation of our results in later independent calculation

More things towards percent level predictions...

$$\sigma = f_1 \circ f_2 \circ \int d\Phi |M|^2 + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda^2/Q^2)$$

- 1. Accessibility and User Friendliness: Creating frameworks that make N³LO (and NNLO) predictions easily accessible for comparison to experimental data.
- 2. Corrections beyond QCD: EWK and masses.
- 3. Factorisation Violation at N³LO: tops, PDFs.
- Parton Showers: Consistent combination of parton showers with fixed order perturbative computations at N³LO.
- Resummation: Complementing N³LO computations and resummation techniques for infrared sensitive observables.
- Uncertainties: Deriving / defining reliable uncertainty estimates for theoretical computations at the percent level.
- 7. Beyond Leading Power Factorisation: Exploring the limitations of leading power perturbative descriptions of hadron collision cross sections.

Collinear Expansion for Matrix Elements

- Kinematic limit \longrightarrow expansion of Feynman integrands appearing in the calculation of **partonic cross sections** General idea has long history, see e.g. Expansion by region [Beneke, Smirnov '97]
- Take for example double real emission (RR) scalar integral

Ο



• Propagators can be **expanded** easily $\frac{1}{(p_2 + p_3 + p_4)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{coll}} \frac{1}{2p_2 \cdot (p_3 + p_4) + \lambda^2 2p_3 \cdot p_4} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\lambda^2)^n \frac{(-2p_3 \cdot p_4)^n}{\left[p_2^+ (p_3^- + p_4^-)\right]^{n+1}}$

 $w_1 = -\frac{\bar{n} \cdot k}{\bar{n} \cdot p_1}, \quad w_2 = -\frac{n \cdot k}{n \cdot p_2},$

Collinear Expansion for double real graphs

• We can perform a **collinear expansion** of the **integrand**

$$I_{\rm RR} \xrightarrow{\rm coll} \lambda^{2-4\epsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_{h+2}}{\mathrm{d}w_1 \mathrm{d}w_2 \mathrm{d}x} \left[\frac{1}{(p_2+p_3)^2 \left[p_2^+ (p_3^- + p_4^-) \right]} + \lambda^2 \frac{(-2p_3 \cdot p_4)}{(p_2+p_3)^2 \left[p_2^+ (p_3^- + p_4^-) \right]^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \right]$$

• Collinear expansion admits diagrammatic representation!



• Same procedure can be applied for mixed loop/radiation integrals (like RV integrals at NNLO)



Collinear Expansion and IBPs



kinematic dependence! Reverse Unitarity

- Simplifications w.r.t. full kinematics are huge and enter at each step:
 - \circ IBPs (smaller set of MI, smaller coefficients)
 - $\circ \quad \mbox{System of DE} \quad (e.g. \sim 10 \mbox{ MB for differential N3LO in collinear limit} \\ vs \ \sim 10 \mbox{ GB in full kinematics})$
 - Space of functions (e.g. @N3LO: Elliptic functions for inclusive color singlet production in full kinematics vs only HPL for q_T distributions in collinear limit)