

## MOTIVATION

- The computation of contributions to the DIS coefficient functions represents the ideal testing ground for the possible applications for computing splitting functions.
- Theoretical predictions need to keep up with the ever-increasing precision of experimental measurements
- Need to understand the SM background in order to resolve new physics

- Example: Higgs inclusive: $8 \% \rightarrow 3 \%$ expected experimental uncertainty at $3000 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}$. The PDF uncertainty on the theoretical prediction cannot be neglected anymore.


## MOTIVATION

|  | $Q[\mathrm{GeV}]$ | $\delta \sigma^{\mathrm{N}^{3} \mathrm{LO}}$ | $\delta \sigma^{\text {NNLO }}$ | $\delta($ scale $)$ | $\delta\left(\right.$ PDF $\left.+\alpha_{S}\right)$ | $\delta($ PDF-TH $)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g g \rightarrow$ Higgs | $m_{H}$ | $3.5 \%$ | $30 \%$ | ${ }_{-2.37 \%}^{+0.21 \%}$ | $\pm 3.2 \%$ | $\pm 1.2 \%$ |
| $b \bar{b} \rightarrow$ Higgs | $m_{H}$ | $-2.3 \%$ | $2.1 \%$ | ${ }_{-4.8 \%}^{+3.0 \%}$ | $\pm 8.4 \%$ | $\pm 2.5 \%$ |
| NCDY | 30 | $-4.8 \%$ | $-0.34 \%$ | ${ }_{-2.54 \%}^{+1.53 \%}$ | ${ }_{-3.8 \%}^{+3.7 \%}$ | $\pm 2.8 \%$ |
|  | 100 | $-2.1 \%$ | $-2.3 \%$ | ${ }_{-0.79 \%}^{+0.66 \%}$ | ${ }_{-1.9 \%}^{+1.8 \%}$ | $\pm 2.5 \%$ |
| $\operatorname{CCDY}\left(W^{+}\right)$ | 30 | $-4.7 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ | ${ }_{-1.7 \%}^{+2.5 \%}$ | $\pm 3.95 \%$ | $\pm 3.2 \%$ |
|  | 150 | $-2.0 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ | ${ }_{-0.5 \%}^{+0.5 \%}$ | $\pm 1.9 \%$ | $\pm 2.1 \%$ |
| $\operatorname{CCDY}\left(W^{-}\right)$ | 30 | $-5.0 \%$ | $-0.1 \%$ | ${ }_{-1.6 \%}^{+2.6 \%}$ | $\pm 3.7 \%$ | $\pm 3.2 \%$ |
|  | 150 | $-2.1 \%$ | $-0.6 \%$ | ${ }_{-0.5 \%}^{+0.6 \%}$ | $\pm 2 \%$ | $\pm 2.13 \%$ |

## (8EEP INELASTIC SCATTERING

Probing the hadron structure by mean of high energetic leptons :


- Factorize the leptonic from the hadronic part in the cross-section

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\sigma_{D I S}}{d x d y}=\frac{2 \pi \alpha_{e m}^{2}}{Q^{2}} L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu} \\
Q=-q^{2}, \quad x=\frac{Q^{2}}{2 P \cdot q}, \quad y=\frac{P \cdot q}{P \cdot k}
\end{gathered}
$$

## STRUGTURE FUNGTIONS

- The computation of the corresponding 4-loop Wilson coefficients is extremely challenging from the theoretical point of view

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\sigma_{D I S}}{d x d y}=\frac{2 \pi \alpha_{e m}^{2}}{Q^{2}} L^{\mu \nu} W_{\mu \nu} \\
W_{\mu \nu}=\left(P^{\mu}-\frac{(P \cdot q) q_{\mu}}{q^{2}}\right)\left(P^{\nu}-\frac{(P \cdot q) q_{\nu}}{q^{2}}\right) \frac{F_{1}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)}{P \cdot q} \\
+\left(-g_{\mu \nu}+\frac{q^{\mu} q^{\nu}}{q^{2}}\right) F_{2}\left(x, Q^{2}\right) \\
+i \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \frac{P^{\rho} q^{\sigma}}{2 P \cdot q} F_{3}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

- Where the hadronic and partonic quantities are related via the PDF:

$$
F_{a}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\sum_{i}\left[f_{i}(\xi) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{a, i}\left(\xi, Q^{2}\right)\right](x)
$$

- The problem can be simplified by using the optical theorem for extracting the Mellin moments of the process.


## Objective:

## MELLIN MOMENTS

$\triangleright$ Compute the hadronic cross-section $\hat{W}_{\mu \nu}$ using the forward scatting $\hat{T}_{\mu \nu}$


## How:

- Compute the Mellin moments of the structure functions:

$$
F_{a}\left(x, Q^{2}\right)=\sum_{i}\left[f_{i}(\xi) \otimes \mathcal{C}_{a, i}\left(\xi, Q^{2}\right)\right](x)
$$

with the Mellin transform defined by

$$
M[f(x)](N)=\int_{0}^{1} d x x^{N-1} f(x)
$$

- The Mellin moments of cross-section correspond to the expansion coefficients around $\omega=\frac{1}{x}=0$ of the Forward Scattering Amplitude :

$$
M\left[\hat{W}_{\mu \nu}\right](N)=\frac{1}{N!}\left[\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} T_{\mu \nu}}{\omega^{N}}\right|_{\omega=0}\right.
$$

## OPTICAL THEOREM

The optical theorem allows us to relate the cross-section to the imaginary part of the Forward Compton Amplitude

$$
\hat{W}_{a}=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{lm} \hat{T}_{a}
$$
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## OPTICLL THEOREM

We are interested in the Mellin moments of the DIS cross-section:

$$
M\left[\hat{W}_{a}(x)\right](N)=\int_{0}^{1} d x x^{N-1} \hat{W}_{a}(x) \approx \int_{0}^{1} d x x^{N-1} \operatorname{Disc}_{x}\left[\hat{T}_{a}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]
$$

Making use of $\hat{T}_{a}(x)= \pm \hat{T}_{a}(-x)$
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## OPTICAL THEOREM

We are interested in the Mellin moments of the DIS cross-section:

$$
M\left[\hat{W}_{a}(x)\right](N) \approx \int_{\gamma_{2}} d \omega \omega^{-1-N} \hat{T}_{a}(\omega)
$$



## OPTICAL THEOREM

We are interested in the Mellin moments of the DIS cross-section:

$$
M\left[\hat{W}_{a}(x)\right](N) \approx \frac{1}{N!}\left[\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}^{N} T_{a}}{\omega^{N}}\right|_{\omega=0}\right.
$$



## SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

- Contributions to the non-singlet forward amplitude can be separated by color structure and $n_{f}$ order:

$C_{F}^{3} n_{f}$

$C_{F} C_{A}^{2} n_{f}$
$\left(d_{F}^{a b c d}\right)^{2} n_{f}$


$C_{F}^{2} n_{f}^{2}$
$\left(d_{F}^{a b c}\right)^{2} n_{f}^{2}$


$d_{F}^{a b c} d_{A}^{a b c} n_{f}$
$\left(d_{F}^{a b c}\right)^{2} C_{F} n_{f}$


$C_{F}^{4}$


## SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

- We focus our attention on the non-singlet coefficient functions contribution of order $\left[n_{f}^{3}, n_{f}^{2}\right]$ for $q+\gamma \rightarrow q+\gamma$ :



## SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTILL EQUATIONS:

We process all the diagrams for the relevant process and cast them into 24 different topologies :


Still left with $\approx 10^{5}$ different integrals to be computed!

- We can resize the problem by using IBP relations among these integrals.
- Many publicly available programs to perform reductions to master integrals each with its strengths and weaknesses.

Kira [1705.05610]

## SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

- Within each topology we perform a reduction to master integrals :

$$
I^{(n)}(\omega, \epsilon)=\sum_{i} c_{i}(\omega, \epsilon) \cdot M_{i}^{(n)}(\omega, \epsilon) \quad \omega=\frac{1}{x}
$$

$\Delta$ The master integrals allow to construct a closed system of differential equations:

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \vec{M}(\omega, \epsilon)=A(\omega, \epsilon) \cdot \vec{M}(\omega, \epsilon)
$$

## Assuming:

- The DE matrix has at most a simple pole in $\omega$ :

$$
A=\frac{A_{-1}}{\omega}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} \omega^{k}
$$

Note: Can always be done by applying a linear transformation $T$ for system with regular singularities:

$$
\vec{M} \rightarrow T \cdot \vec{M}, \quad A \rightarrow \frac{\partial T}{\partial \omega} T^{-1}+T \cdot A \cdot T^{-1}
$$

J. Moser 1959, J. Henn [1412.2296], Epsilon [1701.00725], Fuchsia [1701.04269], Libra [2012.00279]

## SYSTEM OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

- Within each topology we perform a reduction to master integrals :

$$
I^{(n)}(\omega, \epsilon)=\sum_{i} c_{i}(\omega, \epsilon) \cdot M_{i}^{(n)}(\omega, \epsilon) \quad \omega=\frac{1}{x}
$$

## Mellin moments generation

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \omega} \vec{M}(\omega, \epsilon)=A(\omega, \epsilon) \cdot \vec{M}(\omega, \epsilon) . \\
A=\frac{A_{-1}}{\omega}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{k} \omega^{k} \downarrow \vec{M}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \vec{m}_{k} \omega^{k}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\underbrace{\left((k+1) \mathbb{1}-A_{-1}\right)}_{:=B_{k}} \cdot \vec{m}_{k+1}=\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{j} \vec{m}_{k-j}
$$



Recursive Expression:
Gaussian Elimination:
$\vec{m}_{k+1}=B_{k}^{-1} \cdot\left(\sum_{j=0}^{k} A_{j} \vec{m}_{k-j}\right)$
Required by a finite number of $k$

## Expansion

- We need to fix the boundary condition for $p \rightarrow 0$

FORCER [1704.06650]
$\Rightarrow$ For all $n_{f}^{2,3}$ cases the transformation matrix $T$ consists of a simple rescaling of the master integrals

$$
T=\operatorname{diag}\left(\omega^{\vec{a}}\right), \quad \vec{a} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{\#} \text { of masters }
$$

- We can perform a simultaneous expansion in the dimensional regulator $\epsilon$ in order to speed up the computation provide the two limits independence of the $\epsilon$ and $\omega$ poles

$$
\frac{1}{f(\omega)+g(\epsilon)}, \quad f(0)+g(0) \neq 0 \text { if } f(\omega), g(\epsilon) \neq \text { const. }
$$

Smirnov ${ }^{2}$ [2002.08042], J. Usovitsch [2002.08173]

- The result can be efficiently expanded to high order in Mellin moments once we reach high enough in the series expansion to the regioin of validity of the recursive expression $\left(\operatorname{det}\left(B_{k}\right) \neq 0\right)$.
- The reductions to master integrals remain the main bottleneck of the computation


## MELLIN MOMENTS AT 4-IOOP

- Starting to explore the DIS expression for a simple subgroup $\left[n_{f}^{3}, n_{f}^{2}\right]$ for $q+\gamma \rightarrow q+\gamma$ :




Plots from: [2211.16485]

- Expansion in $\omega$ is possible to high orders within a day:

$$
\mathcal{O}\left(\omega^{1500}\right)
$$

- Allows for the reconstruction of the structure functions in $\mathbf{x}$-space at all orders Harmonic series: $S_{\vec{m}}(N) \quad \rightarrow$ Harmonic Polylogarithms: $H_{\vec{n}}(x)$


## ADVENTURING FURTHER INTO 4-LOOO

The new goal is to push the same technique to new horizons:

- Consider the diagrams contributing to $C_{F}^{3} n_{f}$

- Effectively 3-loop topologies with bubble insertions!
- The added degrees of freedom start to become a real problem for the reduction to master integrals:
- Moving from 11 to 12 propagators out of 18 degrees of freedom
- Higher powers in the numerator
- Implement a tailored reduction routine for this specific problem


## TAckLING THE PROBLEMS

## General Reduction programs :

- Reliable on a wide range of problems
- Thoroughly checked through years of usage and feedbacks
- Parallelization of the reduction problem
- Multiple ways to solve the problem and implementation of general optimization
- Already too slow for the integrals we are dealing with


## Problem we are facing :

- Relatively contained number of integrals to be reduced (compared with the d.o.f)
- Very few integrals have the highest complexity (numerator/denominator powers)


## REDUGTION OVERVIEW

We have implemented our own reduction procedure to try to improve the main bottleneck of our approach. The reductions is organized into three levels:

Finite Fields

- Numerical Gaussian Elimination

Solve selected IBP relations using Finite Fields by evaluating the variables at some arbitrary points

- Generate instructions table

Create a logfile to keep track of all the arithmetic operations performed

Algebraic
Reconstruction

- Optimize logfile

Keep only the instructions relevant to the reduction coefficients

- Algebraic evaluation

Read out the exact coefficients from the logfile by using the un-replaced variables

If the algebraic evaluation fails than we use rational reconstruction

- Interpolate
- Reconstruct


## Thakling THE PROBLEMS

## Problem :

- With the current available reduction programs it would be impossible to obtain the necessary DEs in a reasonable ammount of time


## Idea :

- We want to use out taylor reduction as a complementary tool of the full reduction


Obtain a fast partial reduction (not necessarily master integrals) to be able to give simpler problems to the public reduction programs


We then turn to FIRE for eventually refine the reduction and obtain a factorized base for the system of DEs

## Problem:

## ThckLING THE PROBLEMS

- With the current available reduction programs it would be impossible to obtain the necessary DEs in a reasonable ammount of time


## Idea:

- We want to use out taylor reduction as a complementary tool of the full reduction


## How:



Obtain a fast partial reduction (not necessarily master integrals) to be able to give simpler problems to the public reduction programs

Necessary and successful for building the DEs for $C_{F}^{3} n_{f}$ and for $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ and $n_{f}^{2}$

## SUMMARY

- Use IBP identities for a reduction to master integrals and build a system of differential equations
- Transform the system to allow for an efficient recursive expression for the extraction of the series coefficients
- Tested the method by computing high numbers of Mellin moments for the DIS Wilson coefficients $\mathcal{C}_{L}, \mathcal{C}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ at 3-loop
- Generated 1500 Mellin moments for the non-singlet $n_{f}^{2}$ contribution at 4-loop to obtain for the first time the corresponding Wilson coefficients
- Implemented a taylored reduction program to be usued together with publicly available tools


## Upcoming:

- Reconstruct the expression for $\mathcal{C}_{3}$ (11 extra topologies )


## Future:

- Apply the same method for extracting Mellin moments at 4-loop for the $C_{F}^{3} n_{f}$ to obtain the splitting functions with the newly obtain DEs ( $\sim 80$ new topologies)
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