New Results from IR-Improved Amplitude-Based Resummation in Quantum Field Theory

B.F.L. Ward

Baylor University, Waco, TX, USA

Jun. 1, 2023

In collaboration with S. Jadach¹, W. Placzek, M. Skrzypek, Z.A. Was, and S.A. Yost

¹Deceased.

In Memory of Prof. Stanislaw Jadach

Sadly, Prof. Stanislaw Jadach passed away suddenly on Feb. 26, 2023 CERN. COURIER: May - June Issue, 2023:

STANIZLAW JADACH \$947-2023 A leading light in radiative corrections

itanisiaw induch, an outstanding theoretical physicist, died on 36 February at the age of 75. His foundational contributions to the physics programmes at LSP and the LHC, and for the proposed Purpre Circular Collider at CRRH, have significantly helped to advance the field of elementary particle physics and its furner aspirations.

Burn in Cawnet, Poland, Jadach graduated in 1070 with a massers in physics from legisfionian Dr. Ivenity. There, he also detended his docustate, provised his habilitation degree and worked utrill 1993. During this period, whilst partly under martial law in Paland, Jadach took trips to Leiden, Parts, Landon, Stanford and Knoxville, and formed collaborations on precision theory. calculations hased on Monte Carlo every-generstormethods, in 1932 he moved to the institute of Nuclear Physics Polish Academy of Sciences (Ref) where, receipting the title of prolessor in 1994, he worked until his death.

Prior to USP, all calculations of radiative cutperions were based on first-and, later, partially second-only require. This limited the theoremical precision to the V& level, which was unacrepeable for experiment. In 1987 Jadach solved that problem in a dargie-surbor report, inspired by the classic work of Vennie, Prainschi and icum, featuring an everal culational method for any number of photons, it was widely believed that soft-shows approximations were test risted. to many photons with very low energies and that it was impossible to relate, consistently, the diaminations of one or two energetic photons. to those of any tumber of soft photons, ladach and his outleagues solved this problem in their papers is toky for differential cross sections. and later in ropp at the level of upin amplitudes. A long serves of publications, and computer progranues for re-curated perturbative itandard Model calculations entrued.



staniskow jadach made region contributions to the physician approved at UP and the UPC.

exclusively on the novel calculations provided by indach and his colleagues. The most important concerned the LSP is individually measurement via Stubits scattering, the production of legion and quark balts, and the production and decay of W and 2 house pairs. For the W-pair results at LUPs, Jadachand to-workers intelligentip con- ber of the international advisory board of the bined separate first-order calculations for the production and decay processes to achieve the recousey or with enteriors accuracy hypanding mercor. Madem, percise and sensitive, he did the need for full fing-order calculations for the thur-fermion process, which were unfeatible at the time Contrary to what was deemed possible, jadach and his colleagues achieved calculation other simplicaneously take into account (%). radiative connections, and the complete spin- edgeable, ets erudition beyond physics was upin correlation effects in the production and decay of two tau leptons. He also had success in dearly missed. the upper in novel simulations of strong interaction processes.

After LRR, Jadach turned to LRC physics. Madel Skreypelcond Shigalew Was Among other novel require, be and his collabo- Institute of Nuclear Physics and Most of the analytic of LEP data was based rators developed a new constrained Markovian. Benade Wood Royler University.

and the for same calculat, with no need to ase backward evolution and predefined parton. distributions, and proposed a new method, using a "physical" factorisation achieve, for combining a hand process at next-to leading order with a parton caucade, much simpler and more efficient than alternative methods.

ladach was already updating his LRP-era calculations and software towards the increased precision of POC-ee, and is the co-editor and co-suthor of a major paper delineating the need for new theoretical calculations to meet the proposed cullider's physics needs. He co-organized and participated in many physics workshaps at CRRN and in the preparation of comprehenshe reports, starting with the famous toky LSP tellow Reports.

ladach, a member of the Polish Academy of Arts and Sciences (PAAS), received the mass prestigious awards in physics in Poland, the Marte Skindowska-Curie Prize (PAS), the Marian Milgoowicz Prize (PAAS), and the prize of the Minister of Science and Higher Adapted on for lifetime actentific achievements. He was a loca to - initiator and permanent men-Ballying on farming

Stanialaw Obacceld wasa wonderful man and not judge or impose. He never refused requests and always had time for others, His professional knowledge was impressive, we knew almost everything about OkD, and there were few other topics in which he was not at least knowlequally eccenative. He is already profit indipand

When how Places it includes in University.

すき くまき くま

B.F.L. Ward

RADCOR2023



- Recapitulation of YFS Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation
- New Perspectives for Precision Collider Physics: LHC, FCC, CPEC, CPPC, ILC, CLIC
- New Perspectives for Quantum Gravity
- Improving the Collinear Limit in YFS Theory arXiv:2303.14260
- Summary Remarks



A B A B A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 B
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

- The Future of Precision Theory: Dictated by Future Accelerators FCC, CLIC, ILC, CEPC, CPPC, ···
- Using FCC as an example, factors of improvement from ~ 5 to ~ 100 are needed from Theory
- Resummation is a key to such improvements in many cases: Today, we discuss amplitude-based resummation following the YFS methodology
- YFS → 'no limit to precision'
- See 1989 CERN Yellow Book article by Berends et al.



The Future of Precision Theory: Dictated by Future Accelerators – FCC, CLIC, ILC, CEPC, CPPC, ··· Gianotti: 1/10/23







Figure: Future of CERN.



The Future of Precision Theory: Dictated by Future Accelerators – FCC, CLIC, ILC, CEPC, CPPC, \cdots Gianotti: 1/10/23

Theory

Some physics highlights:

- Higher-order calculations of background processes for LHC, HL-LHC and future colliders
- Axion physics and, in particular, studies for using axion haloscopes to detect high-frequency gravitational waves through oscillating electromagnetic signals sourced by spacetime distortions (arXiv: 2202.00695)
- String Theory: Exploring the swampland and how its conjectures can reveal information on the energy scales of nature (arXiv: 2205.12293)
- Bounds on the energy growth of gravitational amplitudes (arXiv: 2202.08280)

Other activities:

- Full restart of scientific activities and visitor programmes after Covid.
- TH served as a focal point for the physics community to discuss ecofriendly practices for organising scientific events and business travel. These issues were discussed in a dedicated Theory Institute, named "Sustainable HEP"





The Future of Precision Theory: Dictated by Future Accelerators – FCC, CLIC, ILC, CEPC, CPPC, ··· Gianotti: 1/10/23



Top **5** objectives for 2023

Successful and safe operation of the accelerator complex, experiments and computing LHC luminosity targets for 2023: ~75 fb⁻¹ to ATLAS and CMS; ~7 fb⁻¹ to LHCb; ~3 nb⁻¹ at √_{SN}~ 5.5 TeV Pb-Pb to ALICE

HL-LHC and Phase-2 upgrades of ATLAS and CMS

HL-LHC series production including validation of the full inner triplet quadrupole manufacturing process. ATLAS and CMS Phase-2 upgrade plans to match LS3 schedule with adequate contingency

FCC Feasibility Study Successful completion of the mid-term review.

Financial situation

Keep deficit under control in current challenging financial climate while allowing new, high-priority items to be included in the 2023 MTP (pursue new energy purchase strategies; additional revenues from Member and Associate Member States, etc.)

Increase CERN's impact on society

Focus efforts on few high-profile projects in the following fields: medicine, sustainability, quantum /AI A strategy with objectives will be developed in the first months of 2023

The successful accomplishment of these and other objectives relies on healthy, motivated and fulfilled personnel!

Figure: Future of CERN.

BAYLOI

93

B.F.L. Ward RADCOR2023

YFS methods are exact in the infrared but treat the collinear logs perturbatively in the $\bar{\beta}_n$ residuals

DGLAP-based collinear factorization treats the collinear logs to all orders but has a non-exact IR limit

In this talk, we first present new results for precision collider physics based on the usual YFS methods.

We then investigate improving the collinear limit of YFS theory.

A Key Point: Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation Realized on Evt-by-Evt Basis – Enhanced Precision for a Given Level of Exactness: LO, NLO, NNLO,, essential for future precision physics as exemplified by CERN.



Recapitulation of Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation Theory

$$d\bar{\sigma}_{\rm res} = e^{\rm SUM_{\rm IR}(QCED)} \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!m!} \int \prod_{j_1=1}^{n} \frac{d^3 k_{j_1}}{k_{j_1}} \\ \prod_{j_2=1}^{m} \frac{d^3 k'_{j_2}}{k'_{j_2}} \int \frac{d^4 y}{(2\pi)^4} e^{iy \cdot (p_1 + q_1 - p_2 - q_2 - \sum k_{j_1} - \sum k'_{j_2}) + D_{\rm QCED}} \\ \tilde{\beta}_{n,m}(k_1, \dots, k_n; k'_1, \dots, k'_m) \frac{d^3 p_2}{p_2^0} \frac{d^3 q_2}{q_2^0},$$
(1)

where *new* (YFS-style) *non-Abelian* residuals $\tilde{\bar{\beta}}_{n,m}(k_1, \ldots, k_n; k'_1, \ldots, k'_m)$ have *n* hard gluons and *m* hard photons.



< 4 → < 3 →

Review of Exact Amplitude-Based Resummation Theory

Here,

$$SUM_{IR}(QCED) = 2\alpha_s \Re B_{QCED}^{nls} + 2\alpha_s \tilde{B}_{QCED}^{nls}$$
$$D_{QCED} = \int \frac{d^3k}{k^0} \left(e^{-iky} - \theta(K_{max} - k^0) \right) \tilde{S}_{QCED}^{nls}$$
(2)

where K_{max} is "dummy" and

$$B_{QCED}^{nls} \equiv B_{QCD}^{nls} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_s} B_{QED}^{nls},$$

$$\tilde{B}_{QCED}^{nls} \equiv \tilde{B}_{QCD}^{nls} + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_s} \tilde{B}_{QED}^{nls},$$

$$\tilde{S}_{QCED}^{nls} \equiv \tilde{S}_{QCD}^{nls} + \tilde{S}_{QED}^{nls}.$$
(3)

"nls" \equiv DGLAP-CS synthesization. Shower/ME Matching: $\tilde{\tilde{\beta}}_{n,m} \rightarrow \tilde{\tilde{\beta}}_{n,m}$ See Ann. of Phys. **323** (2008) 2147 and references therein for more details.

• (HL-)LHC:

 \mathcal{KK} MChh: Exact $O(\alpha^2 L)$ CEEX EW corrections matched to a Herwig parton shower (built-in) or to any other shower via Les Houches files (see also Liu *et al.*, to appear). \Rightarrow

Recent ATLAS results on Zγ production (ATLAS-CONF-2022-046)



B.F.L. Ward RADCOR2023

• (HL-)LHC:

 ${\rm KKMChh}$: NISR shows effect of QED contamination in non-QED PDFs is below the errors on the PDFs:

NISR –

$$\begin{split} \sigma(s) &= \frac{3}{4} \pi \sigma_0(s) \sum_{q=u,d,s,c,b} \int d\hat{x} \, dz dr \, \int dx_q dx_{\bar{q}} \, \delta(\hat{x} - x_q x_{\bar{q}} z) \\ &\times f_q^{h_1}(s\hat{x}, x_q) f_{\bar{q}}^{h_2}(s\hat{x}, x_{\bar{q}}) \, \rho_I^{(0)}(\gamma_{lq}(s\hat{x}/m_q^2), z) \, \rho_I^{(2)}(-\gamma_{lq}(Q_0^2/m_q^2), r) \\ &\times \sigma_{q\bar{q}}^{Born}(s\hat{x}z) \, \langle W_{MC} \rangle, \end{split}$$

(4)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

• (HL-)LHC:

 ${\rm KKMChh}$: NISR shows effect of QED contamination in non-QED PDFs is below the errors on the PDFs:



Figure 3(arXiv:2211.17177): The distribution for P_{TV} of the photon for which it is greatest for events with at least one photon and each lepton having $p_{TV} > 25$ GeV, $\eta_l < 2.5$ calculated with (0) FSR only (black), (1) FSR + ISR (blue), and (2) FSR + ISR with NISR (red) for NNPDF3.1-LuxQED NLO PDFs. For comparison, (3) shows FSR + ISR with ordinary NNPDF3.1 NLO PDFs (green). The center graph shows ISR on/off ratios (1)/(0) (blue),(2)/(0) (red) and (3)/(0) (green). The right-hand graph shows the fractional differences ((1) – (2))/(0) in red and ((2) – (3))/(0) in green.

- FCC-ee:
 - BHLUMI and the Luminosity Theory Error Current Purview
 - (M. Skrzypek et al., 2023 FCC Workshop, Krakow)

Bhabha cross sect. depends on detector acceptance angles

$$\sigma_{Bh} \simeq 4\pi \alpha^2 \left(\frac{1}{t_{\min}} - \frac{1}{t_{\max}}\right) = 4\pi \alpha^2 \left(\frac{t_{\max} - t_{\min}}{\overline{t}^2}\right), \quad \overline{t} = \sqrt{t_{\min} t_{\max}}$$

 \overline{t} is the characteristic scale of the process

 \overline{t}/s is the suppression factor between s- and t-channel contributions

Machine	$\theta_{\min} \div \theta_{\max} \text{ [mrad]}$	\sqrt{s} [GeV]	\overline{t}/s	\sqrt{t} [GeV]
LEP	28÷50	MZ	$3.5 imes10^{-4}$	1.70
FCCee	64÷86	M_Z	13.7×10^{-4}	3.37
FCCee	64÷86	240	$13.7 imes 10^{-4}$	8.9
FCCee	64÷86	350	$13.7 imes 10^{-4}$	13.0
ILC	31÷77	500	$6.0 imes 10^{-4}$	12.2
ILC	31÷77	1000	$6.0 imes 10^{-4}$	24.4
CLIC	39÷134	3000	$13.0 imes10^{-4}$	108

• FCC-ee:

BHLUMI and the Luminosity Theory Error - Current Purview

Lumi at FCCee_{M7} – Forecast study

Forecast study for FCCee _{Mz}						
Type of correction / Error	Published [1]	Strict	Redone			
(a) Photonic $\mathcal{O}(L_{e}^{2}\alpha^{3})$	$0.10 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.10 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.10 imes 10^{-4}$			
(b) Photonic $\mathcal{O}(L_{\theta}^{4}\alpha^{4})$	$0.06 imes10^{-4}$	$0.06 imes10^{-4}$	$0.06 imes 10^{-4}$			
(b') Photonic $\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2 L^0)$		$0.17 imes10^{-4}$	$0.17 imes 10^{-4}$			
(c) Vacuum polariz.	$0.6 imes10^{-4}$	$0.6 imes10^{-4}$	$0.6 imes10^{-4}$			
(d) Light pairs	$0.5 imes10^{-4}$	$0.4 imes10^{-4}$	$0.27 imes10^{-4}$			
(e) Z and s-channel γ exch.	$0.1 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.1 imes10^{-4}$	$0.1 imes10^{-4}$			
(f) Up-down interference	$0.1 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.08 imes10^{-4}$	$0.08 imes10^{-4}$			
Total	1.0×10^{-4}	$0.76 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.70 imes 10^{-4}$			



• FCC-ee:

BHLUMI and the Luminosity Theory Error - Current Purview

Lumi forecast at ILC and CLIC GeV

Forecast					
Type of correction / Error	ILC ₅₀₀	ILC ₁₀₀₀	CLIC ₃₀₀₀		
(a) Photonic $\mathcal{O}(L_{\theta}^2 \alpha^3)$	$0.13 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.15 imes 10^{-4}$	0.20×10^{-4}		
(b) Photonic $\mathcal{O}(L_{\theta}^{4}\alpha^{4})$	$0.27 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.37 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.63 imes 10^{-4}$		
(c) Vacuum polariz.	$1.1 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.1 imes 10^{-4}$	$1.2 imes 10^{-4}$		
(d) Light pairs	$0.4 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.5 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.7 imes 10^{-4}$		
(e) Z and s-channel γ exch.	$1.0 imes 10^{-4(*)}$	$2.4 imes 10^{-4}$	$16 imes10^{-4}$		
(f) Up-down interference	$< 0.1 imes 10^{-4}$	$< 0.1 imes 10^{-4}$	$0.1 imes 10^{-4}$		
Total	$1.6 imes 10^{-4}$	$2.7 imes 10^{-4}$	16×10^{-4}		

Note: Lattice methods with jegerlehner's results allow, in principle, (c) -> (c)/6 $\Delta \alpha_{had}(t) = \Delta \alpha_{had}(-Q_0^2)|_{lat} + [\Delta \alpha_{had}(t) - \Delta \alpha_{had}(-Q_0^2)]|_{pQCDAdler}$ BA



New Perspectives for Quantum Gravity

 Cosmological Constant Result Still Obtains: (Phys. Dark Univ. 2 (2013) 97)

$$\rho_{\Lambda}(t_0) \cong \frac{-M_{pl}^4 (1 + c_{2,eff} k_{tr}^2 / (360\pi M_{pl}^2))^2}{64} \sum_j \frac{(-1)^F n_j}{\rho_j^2} \times \frac{t_{tr}^2}{t_{eq}^2} \times (\frac{t_{eq}^{2/3}}{t_0^{2/3}})^3$$
$$\cong \frac{-M_{pl}^2 (1.0362)^2 (-9.194 \times 10^{-3})}{64} \frac{(25)^2}{t_0^2} \cong (2.4 \times 10^{-3} eV)^4.$$

 $t_0 \cong 13.7 \times 10^9 \text{ yrs}$

 $c_{2,eff}$ ≈ 2.56 × 10⁴ , cosmological index of the ST ⇒ Constraints: BHs, etc., in progress.

۰

Basic Formula for CEEX/EEX realization of the YFS resummation of

$$e^+e^- \rightarrow f\bar{f} + n\gamma, \ f = \ell, q, \ \ell = e, \mu, \tau, \nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau, \ q = u, d, s, c, b, t$$
:

$$\sigma = \frac{1}{\text{flux}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int d\text{LIPS}_{n+2} \, \rho_A^{(n)}(\{p\}, \{k\}), \tag{5}$$

$$\rho_{\mathsf{CEEX}}^{(n)}(\{\rho\},\{k\}) = \frac{1}{n!} e^{\gamma(\Omega;\{\rho\})} \bar{\Theta}(\Omega) \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathsf{helicities } \{\lambda\},\{\mu\}} \left| \mathcal{M}\left({}^{\{\rho\}\,\{k\}}_{\{\lambda\}\,\{\mu\}} \right) \right|^2.$$
(6)

By definition, $\Theta(\Omega, k) = 1$ for $k \in \Omega$ and $\Theta(\Omega, k) = 0$ for $k \notin \Omega$, with $\overline{\Theta}(\Omega; k) = 1 - \Theta(\Omega, k)$ and

$$\bar{\Theta}(\Omega) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \bar{\Theta}(\Omega, k_i).$$

 For Ω defined with the condition k⁰ < E_{min}, the YFS infrared exponent reads

$$Y(\Omega; p_a, ..., p_d) = Q_e^2 Y_\Omega(p_a, p_b) + Q_f^2 Y_\Omega(p_c, p_d) + Q_e Q_f Y_\Omega(p_a, p_c) + Q_e Q_f Y_\Omega(p_b, p_d)$$
(7)
$$- Q_e Q_f Y_\Omega(p_a, p_d) - Q_e Q_f Y_\Omega(p_b, p_c).$$



Here

$$Y_{\Omega}(p,q) \equiv 2\alpha \tilde{B}(\Omega,p,q) + 2\alpha \Re B(p,q)$$

$$\equiv -2\alpha \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3k}{k^0} \Theta(\Omega;k) \left(\frac{p}{kp} - \frac{q}{kq}\right)^2$$
(8)
$$+ 2\alpha \Re \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\frac{2p-k}{2kp-k^2} - \frac{2q+k}{2kq+k^2}\right)^2.$$

 Fundamental Idea of YFS: isolate and resum to all orders in α the infrared singularities so that these singularities are canceled to all such orders between real and virtual corrections.
 What collinear singularities are also resummed in the YFS resummation algebra?

< □ > < @ > < B >

 Focusing on the s-channel and s'-channel contributions, we have

$$Y_{e}(\Omega_{I}; p_{1}, p_{2}) = \gamma_{e} \ln \frac{2E_{min}}{\sqrt{2p_{1}p_{2}}} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_{e} + Q_{e}^{2}\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right),$$

$$Y_{f}(\Omega_{F}; q_{1}, q_{2}) = \gamma_{f} \ln \frac{2E_{min}}{\sqrt{2q_{1}q_{2}}} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma_{f} + Q_{f}^{2}\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\pi^{2}}{3}\right),$$
(9)

where

$$\gamma_{e} = 2Q_{e}^{2} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\ln \frac{2p_{1}p_{2}}{m_{e}^{2}} - 1 \right), \quad \gamma_{f} = 2Q_{f}^{2} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\ln \frac{2q_{1}q_{2}}{m_{f}^{2}} - 1 \right),$$
(10)

⇒ The YFS exponent resums the collinear big log term $\frac{1}{2}Q^2\frac{\alpha}{\pi}L$ to the infinite order in both the ISR and FSR contributions.

• Can this be improved to the result of Gribov and Lipatov to exponentiate $\frac{3}{2} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} L$ via the QED form-factor?

• The YFS form factor derivation illustrated in Fig. 4



Figure: Virtual corrections which generate the YFS infrared function *B*. Self-energy contributions are not shown.

• \Rightarrow the amplitude factor

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu} = \frac{\int d^{4}k}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{-i}{k^{2} + i\varepsilon} \bar{v}(p_{2})(-iQ_{e}e)\gamma^{\alpha} \frac{i}{-\not{p}_{2} - \not{k} - m + i\varepsilon} (-ie)\gamma_{\mu}(v_{A} - a_{A}\gamma_{5})$$

$$\frac{i}{\not{p}_{1} - \not{k} - m + i\varepsilon} (-iQ_{e}e)\gamma_{\alpha}u(p_{1})$$
(11)

where $A = \gamma$ or Z.



• Scalarising the fermion propagator denominators \Rightarrow

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu} = -ie \frac{\int d^{4}k(-iQ_{\theta}^{2}e^{2})}{(2\pi)^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+i\varepsilon} \bar{v}(p_{2})\gamma^{\alpha} \frac{-p_{2}^{\prime}-k+m}{k^{2}+2kp_{2}+i\varepsilon} \gamma_{\mu}(v_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5}) \frac{p_{1}^{\prime}-k-m}{k^{2}-2kp_{1}+i\varepsilon} \gamma_{\alpha} u(p_{1}).$$
(12)

Using the equations of motion

$$(p_1 - k - m)\gamma_{\alpha}u(p_1) = \{(2p_1 - k)_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}[k, \gamma_{\alpha}]\}u(p_1),$$
 (a)

$$\bar{\nu}(\rho_2)\gamma^{\alpha}(-\not\rho_2-\not k+m)=\bar{\nu}(\rho_2)\{-(2\rho_2+k)^{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}[\not k,\gamma^{\alpha}]\},\qquad(b).$$
(13)



→ Contribution to 2Q_e²αB(p₁, p₂) corresponding to the cross-term in the virtual IR function on the RHS of eq.(8):

$$2Q_{\theta}^{2}\alpha B(p_{1},p_{2})|_{\text{cross-term}} = \int d^{4}k \frac{(iQ_{\theta}^{2}e^{2})}{8\pi^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+i\varepsilon} \frac{(2p_{1}-k)(2p_{2}+k)}{(k^{2}-2kp_{1}+i\varepsilon)(k^{2}+2kp_{2}+i\varepsilon)}.$$
 (14)

This term, together with the two squared terms in $2\alpha Q_e^2 B(p_1, p_2)$, leads to the exponentiation of $\frac{1}{2}Q_e^2 \frac{\alpha}{\pi}L$.



- The two commutator terms on the RHS of eq.(13), usually dropped, can be analyzed further: possible IR finite collinearly enhanced improvement of the YFS virtual IR function *B*.
- Isolate the collinear parts of k via the change of variables

$$k = c_1 p_1 + c_2 p_2 + k_\perp$$
 (15)

where $p_1 k_{\perp} = 0 = p_2 k_{\perp}$, \Rightarrow we have the relations

$$c_{1} = \frac{p_{1}p_{2}}{(p_{1}p_{2})^{2} - m^{4}} p_{2}k - \frac{m^{2}}{(p_{1}p_{2})^{2} - m^{4}} p_{1}k \xrightarrow{p_{2}k}{P_{1}p_{2}}$$

$$c_{2} = \frac{p_{1}p_{2}}{(p_{1}p_{2})^{2} - m^{4}} p_{1}k - \frac{m^{2}}{(p_{1}p_{2})^{2} - m^{4}} p_{2}k \xrightarrow{p_{1}k}{P_{2}} \frac{p_{1}k}{P_{1}p_{2}},$$
(16)

CL denotes the collinear limit $\equiv O(m^2/s)$ dropped.

• \Rightarrow $(2p_1 - k)^{\alpha}$ in eq.(13(a)) combines with the commutator term in eq.(13(b)) to produce

$$\bar{v}(p_{2})\{(2p_{1}-k)_{\alpha}\frac{1}{2}[k,\gamma^{\alpha}]\}\gamma_{\mu}(v_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})u(p_{1}) = \bar{v}(p_{2})[k,p_{1}]\gamma_{\mu}(v_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})u(p_{1}) \xrightarrow{CL} \bar{v}(p_{2})[c_{2}p_{2},p_{1}]\gamma_{\mu}(v_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})u(p_{1}) \xrightarrow{CL} \bar{v}(p_{2})(-2c_{2}p_{1}p_{2})\gamma_{\mu}(v_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})u(p_{1}) \xrightarrow{CL} \bar{v}(p_{2})(-2p_{1}k)\gamma_{\mu}(v_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})u(p_{1}).$$
(17)

Similarly, -(2p₂+k)^α in eq.(13 (b)) combines with the commutator term in eq.(13(a)) to produce

$$\bar{v}(p_2)\gamma_{\mu}(v_A - a_A\gamma_5)\{-(2p_2 + k)^{\alpha}(-\frac{1}{2}[k,\gamma_{\alpha}])\}u(p_1) \\ = \bar{v}(p_2)\gamma_{\mu}(v_A - a_A\gamma_5)[k, \dot{p}_2]u(p_1) \\ \xrightarrow{CL} \bar{v}(p_2)\gamma_{\mu}(v_A - a_A\gamma_5)[c_1 \ \dot{p}_1, \dot{p}_2]u(p_1) \\ \xrightarrow{CL} \bar{v}(p_2)\gamma_{\mu}(v_A - a_A\gamma_5)(2c_1p_1p_2)u(p_1) \\ \xrightarrow{CL} \bar{v}(p_2)\gamma_{\mu}(v_A - a_A\gamma_5)(2p_2k)u(p_1).$$

• \Rightarrow Shift of the factor $(2p_1 - k)(2p_2 + k)$ on the RHS of eq.(14) as

 $(2p_1-k)(2p_2+k) \xrightarrow[CL]{} (2p_1-k)(2p_2+k)+2p_1k-2p_2k.$ (19)



- What does the term quadratic in the commutator (C²) contribute?
- Superficial UV divergence \Rightarrow Cannot naively drop k_{\perp}
- Proceed directly: we need

$$2Q_{e}^{2}\alpha B(p_{1},p_{2})|_{C^{2}}\mathcal{M}_{B\mu} \equiv \frac{\int d^{4}k(iQ_{e}^{2}e^{2})}{8\pi^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+i\varepsilon} \frac{\frac{1}{4}\bar{v}(p_{2})[k,\gamma^{\alpha}]\gamma_{\mu}[k,\gamma_{\alpha}](-ie)(v_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})u(p_{1})}{(k^{2}-2kp_{1}+i\varepsilon)(k^{2}+2kp_{2}+i\varepsilon)}\Big|_{CL},$$
(20)

where we define

$$\mathcal{M}_{B\mu} = -ie\bar{v}(p_2)\gamma_{\mu}(v_A - a_A\gamma_5)u(p_1). \tag{21}$$

• *CL* now further restricted to contributions singular as $m^2/s \rightarrow 0$.

- Four terms in the numerator of eq.(20) from the respective sum of gamma matrix products $\{ k \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \ k \gamma_{\alpha} - k \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} \ k - \gamma^{\alpha} \ k \gamma_{\mu} \ k \gamma_{\alpha} + \gamma^{\alpha} \ k \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} \ k \} =$ $\{ \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{\lambda'} \gamma_{\alpha} - \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma^{\lambda'} - \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{\lambda'} \gamma_{\alpha} + \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{\alpha} \gamma^{\lambda'} \} k_{\lambda} k_{\lambda'} \equiv$ $N_{\mu}^{\lambda \lambda'} k_{\lambda} k_{\lambda'}$
- This defines $N_{\mu}^{\lambda\lambda'}$.

Using standard methods, we need

$$I_{\mu} = 2 \int_{0}^{1} d\alpha_{1} \int_{0}^{1-\alpha_{1}} d\alpha_{2} \frac{\int d^{n}k'(iQ_{e}^{2}e^{2})}{8\pi^{4}} \frac{\frac{1}{4}\bar{v}(p_{2})N_{\mu}^{\lambda\lambda'}[\frac{k'^{2}}{n}g_{\lambda\lambda'} + \Delta_{\lambda}\Delta_{\lambda'}](-ie)(v_{A} - a_{A}\gamma_{5})u(p_{1})}{[k'^{2} - \Delta^{2} + i\varepsilon]^{3}} \bigg|_{CL},$$
(22)

where $\Delta = \alpha_1 p_1 - \alpha_2 p_2$.

• Equations of motion \Rightarrow term involving Δ is not collinearly enhanced.



• The term contracted with $g_{\lambda\lambda'}$ gives us

$$I_{\mu} = \left\{ \frac{-3Q_{e}^{2}\alpha}{4\pi} \mathcal{M}_{B\mu} \right\} \Big|_{CL} \equiv 0$$
 (23)

- \Rightarrow No collinearly enhanced contribution from I_{μ} .
- Eq.(19) gives the complete collinear enhancement of B.
- Change in B does not affect its IR behavior shift terms are IR finite ⇒ Entire YFS IR resummation is unaffected.
- Shifted terms can be seen to extend the YFS IR exponentiation to obtain the entire exponentiated ³/₂Q²_eαL.

We have

$$2\alpha Q_{e}^{2}\Delta B(p_{1},p_{2}) = \frac{\int d^{4}k(iQ_{e}^{2}e^{2})}{8\pi^{4}} \frac{1}{k^{2}+i\epsilon} \frac{2p_{1}k-2p_{2}k}{(k^{2}-2kp_{1}+i\epsilon)(k^{2}+2kp_{2}+i\epsilon)}$$
$$= 2\int_{x_{i}\geq0, i=1,2,3} d^{3}x\delta(1-x_{1}-x_{2}-x_{3})\frac{\int d^{4}k'(iQ_{e}^{2}e^{2})}{8\pi^{4}} \qquad (24)$$
$$\frac{2(p_{1}-p_{2})p_{x}}{(k'^{2}-d+i\epsilon)^{3}}$$

where $d = p_x^2$ with $p_x = x_1 p_1 - x_2 p_2$.

 $\bullet \Rightarrow \mathsf{We} \mathsf{get}$

$$2Q_{\theta}^{2}\alpha \Re \Delta B(p_{1},p_{2}) = Q_{\theta}^{2} \frac{\alpha}{\pi} L.$$
⁽²⁵⁾

• We see that indeed the entire term $\frac{3}{2} Q_e^2 \frac{\alpha}{\pi} L$ is now exponentiated by our collinearly improved YFS virtual IR function B_{CL}

$$B_{CL} = B + \Delta B$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4k}{k^2} \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3} \left[\left(\frac{2p-k}{2kp-k^2} - \frac{2q+k}{2kq+k^2} \right)^2 - \frac{4pk-4qk}{(2pk-k^2)(2qk+k^2)} \right].$$
(26)
See S. Jadach, Durham talk, 2002, for integrated form of B_{CL} .

- What about the real YFS IR algebra? Collinear enhancement desired in some applications
- \Rightarrow Recall the original YFS EEX formulation of the respective algebra \Rightarrow the formula for the YFS IR function \tilde{B} given above in eq.(8).
- See Fig. 5.



Figure: Real corrections which generate the YFS infrared function <u>*B*</u>.

• Following the steps in the usual YFS algebra for real emission \Rightarrow

$$2\alpha Q_{e}^{2} \tilde{B} \mathcal{M}_{B\mu}^{\dagger} \mathcal{M}_{B\mu'} = \frac{\int d^{3}k(-1)e^{2}Q_{e}^{2}}{2k_{0}(2\pi)^{3}} \left[\frac{\bar{u}(p_{1})(2p_{1}^{\lambda}-k^{\lambda}+\frac{1}{2}[k,\gamma^{\lambda}])\gamma_{\mu}(\nu_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})\nu(p_{2})}{k^{2}-2kp_{1}} + \frac{\bar{u}(p_{1})\gamma_{\mu}(\nu_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})(-2p_{2}^{\lambda}+k^{\lambda}+\frac{1}{2}[k,\gamma^{\lambda}])\nu(p_{2})}{k^{2}-2kp_{2}} \right] \\ \left[\frac{\bar{v}(p_{2})\gamma_{\mu'}(\nu_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})(2p_{1\lambda}-k_{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}[k,\gamma_{\lambda}])u(p_{1})}{k^{2}-2kp_{1}} + \frac{\bar{v}(p_{2})(-2p_{2\lambda}+k_{\lambda}-\frac{1}{2}[k,\gamma_{\lambda}])\gamma_{\mu'}(\nu_{A}-a_{A}\gamma_{5})u(p_{1})}{k^{2}-2kp_{2}} \right] \right|_{k^{2}=0} + K_{\mu\mu'}$$
(27)

where $K_{\mu\mu'}$ is infrared finite,

۲

$$\mathcal{M}_{B\mu} = \bar{v}(p_2)\gamma_{\mu}(v_A - a_A\gamma_5)u(p_1)$$
(28)

Image: A math a math



- If we drop the commutator terms on the RHS of eq.(27) we recover the usual YFS formula for $2\alpha Q_e^2 \tilde{B}$.
- We again isolate collinearly enhanced contributions by using the representation in eq.(yfsalg4) for k, respecting the condition k² = 0. ⇒ Maintain 0 = (c₁² + c₂²)m² + 2c₁c₂p₁p₂ |k_⊥|².
- \Rightarrow Collinear enhancement of \tilde{B} :

$$2\alpha Q_e^2 \tilde{B}_{CL} = \frac{-\alpha Q_e^2}{4\pi^2} \int \frac{d^3 k}{k_0} \left\{ \left(\frac{p_1}{kp_1} - \frac{p_2}{kp_2}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{kp_1} \left(2 - \frac{kp_2}{p_1p_2}\right) + \frac{1}{kp_2} \left(2 - \frac{kp_1}{p_1p_2}\right) \right\}.$$
(29)

• Agreement with Berends et al.

What about CEEX?

 In Fig. 5, use of amplitude-level isolation of real IR divergences, K-S photon polarization vectors ⇒

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu} = \mathcal{M}_{B\mu}\mathfrak{s}_{CL,\sigma}(k),$$
 (30)

with

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{s}_{CL,\sigma}(k) &= \sqrt{2}Q_{e}e\left[-\sqrt{\frac{p_{1}\zeta}{k\zeta}} \frac{\langle k\sigma|\hat{p}_{1}-\sigma\rangle}{2p_{1}k} + \delta_{\lambda-\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{k\zeta}{p_{1}\zeta}} \frac{\langle k\sigma|\hat{p}_{1}\lambda\rangle}{2p_{1}k} \right. \\ &+ \sqrt{\frac{p_{2}\zeta}{k\zeta}} \frac{\langle k\sigma|\hat{p}_{2}-\sigma\rangle}{2p_{2}k} + \delta_{\lambda\sigma}\sqrt{\frac{k\zeta}{p_{2}\zeta}} \frac{\langle \hat{p}_{2}\lambda|k-\sigma\rangle}{2p_{2}k}\right]. \end{split}$$

$$(31)$$

Here, $\zeta \equiv (1,1,0,0)$ and $\hat{p} = p - \zeta m^2/(2\zeta p)$.

• Upon taking the modulus squared of $\mathfrak{s}_{CL,\sigma}(k)$ we see that the extra non-IR divergent contributions reproduce the known collinear big log contribution which is missed by the usual YFS algebra.

SUMMARY

- Amplitude-based resummation allows improved control of IR and Collinear limits
- MC realizations are needed for current and future precision collider physics
- New, collinearly enhanced soft functions ⇔ Higher level of accuracy for a given level of exactness in the IR-finite YFS hard photon residuals.
- Enhanced toolbox available to extend the (CEEX) YFS MC method to the other important processes at present and future colliders.
- Some New Physics may hang in the balance at both LHC, FCC, and other future colliders!

