High-energy resummation for Higgs boson plus jets production

Andreas Maier



1 June 2023

In collaboration with

Jeppe Andersen, Hitham Hassan, Jérémy Paltrinieri, Andreas Papaefstathiou, Jennifer Smillie

JHEP 03 (2023) 001 JHEP 04 (2019) 127

Fixed-order perturbative corrections are

• very important in Higgs physics



Fixed-order perturbative corrections are

- very important in Higgs physics
- hard to calculate exactly

Fixed-order perturbative corrections are

- very important in Higgs physics
- hard to calculate exactly
- maybe not always enough

Fixed-order perturbative corrections are

- very important in Higgs physics
- hard to calculate exactly
- maybe not always enough

Solutions:

- Kinematic approximations, e.g. $m_t \to \infty$
- All-order resummation

[this talk]

Weak Boson Fusion



- Allows direct measurement of Higgs couplings to W,Z
- Known at N³LO QCD + NLO electroweak

[Cacciari, Dreyer, Karlberg, Salam, Zanderighi 2015] [Cruz-Martinez, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss 2018] [Dreyer, Karlberg 2016]

[Liu, Melnikov, Penin 2019] [Dreyer, Karlberg, Tancredi 2020] [Ciccolini, Denner, Dittmaier 2007 + 2008]

Weak Boson Fusion



- Allows direct measurement of Higgs couplings to W,Z
- Known at N³LO QCD + NLO electroweak

[Cacciari, Dreyer, Karlberg, Salam, Zanderighi 2015] [Cruz-Martinez, Gehrmann, Glover, Huss 2018] [Dreyer, Karlberg 2016]

[Liu, Melnikov, Penin 2019] [Dreyer, Karlberg, Tancredi 2020] [Ciccolini, Denner, Dittmaier 2007 + 2008]

- Large background from gluon fusion
 - Only known exactly at leading order
 - Suppress with Weak Boson Fusion cuts

[Del Duca, Kilgore, Oleari, Schmidt, Zeppenfeld 2001]

$$m_{j_1 j_2} \gtrsim 400\,{
m GeV}, \qquad \Delta y_{12} \gtrsim 2.8$$

Gluon fusion in the high-energy limit



 $y_1 \ll y_2 \ll \cdots \ll y_n$, no strong hierarchy in $p_{i\perp}$ equivalent:

 $m_{ij} \gg$ transverse scales

Gluon fusion in the high-energy limit

Scaling of amplitudes



- All-order resummation of leading high-energy logarithms $\alpha_s^2 \left(\alpha_s \ln \frac{m_{ij}}{p_\perp}\right)^N$ + partial NLL resummation soon: + soft-collinear resummation \Rightarrow Talk by Sebastian Jaskiewicz
- Minimal approximations to amplitude: tree-level exact for simple processes
- Exact Monte Carlo phase space integration
- Exact tree-level gauge invariance
- Matched to fixed order (LO)

All-order amplitudes for $H + \ge 2$ jets



Born function



$$egin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{f_a,H,f_b} &= rac{1}{4(\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^2-1)} rac{g_s^2 \mathcal{K}_{f_a}(p_1^-,p_a^-)}{t_1} rac{g_s^2 \mathcal{K}_{f_b}(p_n^+,p_b^+)}{t_n} \ & imes rac{1}{t_j t_{j+1}} \sum_{ ext{helicities}} |j_\mu \mathcal{V}_H^{\mu
u} j_
u|^2, \end{aligned}$$

• Higgs-gluon-gluon vertex $V_H^{\mu\nu}$ with full dependence on top and bottom masses

Colour acceleration modifiers:

$$K_q = K_{\bar{q}} = C_F,$$

 $K_g(p_1^-, p_a^-) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{p_1^-}{p_a^-} + \frac{p_a^-}{p_1^-} \right) \left(C_A - \frac{1}{C_A} \right) + \frac{1}{C_A}$

Resolved real and virtual + unresolved real corrections

Real corrections:



Radiative corrections are process-independent

All-order amplitudes for $H + \geq 1$ jets



Subleading amplitudes



Comparison to exact tree level amplitudes



· Good agreement with exact amplitudes over whole phase space

Matching to fixed order



000

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Leading-order event} \\ \mbox{Sherpa} + \mbox{OpenLoops} \\ \sim |\mathcal{M}_{\mbox{LO}}|^2 \end{array}$

Resummation events Keep Higgs + jet rapidities, shift $p_{\perp} \sim |\mathcal{M}_{\text{HEJ}}|^2$

000

1000

- \mathcal{M}_{LO} with full dependence on m_t for \leq 2 jets
- $\mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{LO}}$ with $m_t o \infty$ for 3–5 jets
- Pure HEJ predictions for > 5 jets
- \mathcal{M}_{HEJ} with full dependence on m_t , m_b

Final resummation event weight $\sim \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{LO}|^2 |\mathcal{M}_{HE,LO}|^2}{|\mathcal{M}_{HE,LO}|^2}$

Matching to fixed order



• Overall normalisation:

$$rac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{O}}
ightarrow rac{\sigma_{
m NLO}^{m_t
ightarrow \infty}}{\sigma_{
m HEJ}} rac{d\sigma}{d\mathcal{O}}$$

Results

Invariant mass distribution

 $H+ \geq 2$ jets [Andersen, Cockburn, Heil, Maier, Smillie 2019]



- Resummation ⇒ steeper fall-off with increasing invariant masses
- Finite top-quark mass important for m₁₂ > 400 GeV

Invariant mass distribution

 $H+ \geq 2$ jets [Andersen, Cockburn, Heil, Maier, Smillie 2019]



Weak Boson Fusion cut

- Resummation ⇒ steeper fall-off with increasing invariant masses
- Finite top-quark mass important for m₁₂ > 400 GeV
- Weak Boson Fusion cuts more effective:

Prediction	σ after cuts
Fixed order	9%
HEJ	4%

Rapidity separation

 $H+ \geq 1$ jet [Andersen, Hassan, Maier, Paltrinieri, Papaefstathiou, Smillie 2022]



• Resummation ⇒ steeper fall-off with increasing rapidity separations

Comparison to 13 TeV data

[CMS JHEP 01 (2019) 183 & arXiv:2208.12279]



- Contributions from Weak Boson Fusion, VH, ttH, ... added
- HEJ transverse momentum spectrum harder than at NLO

Comparison to 8 TeV data

[ATLAS JHEP 09 (2014) 112]



• Resummation ⇒ steeper fall-off

Comparison to 8 TeV data

[ATLAS JHEP 09 (2014) 112]

Only gluon fusion:



• Resummation ⇒ steeper fall-off

Conclusions

- First high-energy resummation for single-jet process within High Energy Jets
- Suppression at large invariant masses / large rapidity separation Important for Weak Boson Fusion background
- Included in latest *High Energy Jets* 2.2 release:

https://hej.hepforge.org/

arXiv:2303.15778