Ten Years of Higgs Physics

Gavin Salam Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics & All Souls College, University of Oxford

New Directions in Theoretical Physics 4, Edinburgh, January 2023



Science and Technology Facilities Council





European Research Council Established by the European Commission



THE ROYAL SOCIETY



















SONS 80 Ю Ъ







Copyright CERN, <u>link</u>

ATLAS and CMS collaborations at CERN's Large Hadron Collider (LHC):

> 2012 discovery of a Higgs-like boson

Collide protons with protons Select collision events with four electrons or muons ("leptons") Add up their energies (in their overall centre-of-mass frame) Plot distribution of that energy







ATLAS and CMS collaborations at CERN's Large Hadron Collider (LHC):

> 2012 discovery of a Higgs-like boson

Collide protons with protons Select collision events with four electrons or muons ("leptons") Add up their energies (in their overall centre-of-mass frame) *Plot distribution of that energy*







ATLAS and CMS collaborations at CERN's Large Hadron Collider (LHC):

> 2012 discovery of a Higgs-like boson

Collide protons with protons Select collision events with four electrons or muons ("leptons") Add up their energies (in their overall centre-of-mass frame) *Plot distribution of that energy*





The Higgs boson (2012)

SONS

0

8

Щ

g





Success!

"The Standard Model is complete"





The Higgs boson (2012)

SONS

0

Ω

ш

(5

B





Success!

"The Standard Model particle set is complete"





particles



<u>https://www.piqsels.com/en/public-domain-photo-fqrgz</u>

Gavin Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023



particles



https://www.piqsels.com/en/public-domain-photo-fqrgz

Gavin Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

particles + interactions



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:LEGO_Expert_Builder_948_Go-Kart.jpg, CC-BY-SA-4.0





what is the Standard Model?



particles

what is the Standard Model?



particles



interactions





= - FAL FAL + iFDY + X: Yij X; \$+h.c. + D g (-V(d))

This equation neatly sums up our current understanding of fundamental particles and forces.

STANDARD MODEL — KNOWABLE UNKNOWNS

These T-shirts come with a little explanation



= - FAL FAL + iFDY + X: Jij X; \$+h.c. + D g (-V(d))

This equation neatly sums up our current understanding of fundamental particles and forces.

STANDARD MODEL — KNOWABLE UNKNOWNS

These T-shirts come with a little explanation

"understanding" = knowledge ? "understanding" = assumption ?







-: Jij +

This equation neatly sums up our current understanding of fundamental particles and forces.

What does it mean?

Quantum formulation of Maxwell's equations, (and their analogues for the weak and strong forces).

t X: Jij X; Ø th.c. + Dø -

This equation neatly sums up our current understanding of fundamental particles and forces.

What does it mean?

 $\psi = fermion$ (e.g. electron) field $D \sim eA(=photon field) + \cdots$



tells you there's an electron-photon interaction vertex







-: Sii)

This equation neatly sums up our current understanding of fundamental particles and forces.

What does it mean?

many experiments have probed these so-called "gauge" interactions (in classical form, they date back to 1860s)

Describe electromagnetism, full electroweak theory & the strong force.

They work to high precision (best tests go up to 1 part in 10⁸)



This equation neatly sums up our current understanding of fundamental particles and forces.

Higgs sector

until 10 years ago none of these terms had ever been directly observed.



 $\blacktriangleright \phi$ is a field at every point in space (plot shows potential vs. 1 of 4 components, at 1 point in space)



 $\blacktriangleright \phi$ is a field at every point in space (plot shows potential vs. 1 of 4 components, at 1 point in space)

► Our universe sits at minimum of $V(\phi)$, at $\phi = \phi_0 = -\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1-\mu}}$



 $\blacktriangleright \phi$ is a field at every point in space (plot shows potential vs. 1 of 4 components, at 1 point in space)

► Our universe sits at minimum of $V(\phi)$, at $\phi = \phi_0 = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2\lambda}}$

 \blacktriangleright Excitation of the φ field around φ_0 is a Higgs boson ($\phi = \phi_0 + H$)







$\varphi = \varphi_0 + H$

established (2012 Higgs boson discovery)

$\varphi = \varphi_0 + H$

esta o is nec (2012 Higgs boson discovery)



 $\bigvee(\phi) = -\mu^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4$



nypothesis

what terms are there in the Higgs sector? 2. Gauge-Higgs term



Gavin Salam





+ $2g^2\phi_0 H Z_{\mu}Z^{\mu}$

HZZ interaction term

what terms are there in the Higgs sector? 2. Gauge-Higgs term



 $\rightarrow g^2 \phi_0^2 Z_\mu Z^\mu + 2g^2 \phi_0 H Z_\mu Z^\mu + \dots$

Z-boson mass term





ZZH interaction term

> Higgs mechanism predicts specific relation between Z-boson mass and HZZ interaction



what terms are there in the Higgs sector? 2. Gauge-Higgs term



 $\rightarrow g^2 \phi_0^2 Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu} + 2g^2 \phi_0 H Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu} + \dots$

Z-boson mass term







ZZH interaction

term

Higgs mechanism predicts specific relation between Z-boson mass and HZZ interaction

ratio to SM=0.94 ± 0.11 confirms Higgs-origin for Z mass



what terms are there in the High 2. Gauge-Higgs

0





.

100

200

150

100

50

ratio to SM=0.94 ± 0.11 confirms Higgs-origin for Z mass

3



nteraction

term

Higgs mechanism predicts specific relation between Z-boson mass and HZZ interaction



Higgs similarly generates W-boson mass: affects temperature of stars like our sun



By Sarang - Own work, Public Domain, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=51118538





By Sarang - Own work, Public Domain, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=51118538

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2



what terms are there in the Higgs sector? 3. Fermion-Higgs (Yukawa) term



	71
1	911

i	Уi	i	Уi
u	$2 \cdot 10^{-5}$	d	$3 \cdot 10^{-5}$
С	$8 \cdot 10^{-3}$	S	$6 \cdot 10^{-4}$
b	$3 \cdot 10^{-2}$	t	1
ν_e		е	$3 \cdot 10^{-6}$
$ u_{\mu}$	$\sim 10^{-13}$	μ	$6\cdot 10^{-4}$
$ u_{ au}$		au	$1 \cdot 10^{-4}$

Gavin Salam



 $\phi_0 \psi_i \psi_j + y_{ij} H \psi_i \psi_j$

fermion mass term $m_i = y_{ii}\phi_0$ Higgs-fermion-fermion *interaction term;* coupling $\sim y_{ii}$

$$\phi = \phi_0 + H$$





what terms are there in the Higgs sector? 3. Fermion-Higgs (Yukawa) term



Gavin Salam



Higgs-fermion-fermion *interaction term;* coupling $\sim y_{ii}$

 $y_{ij} H \psi_i \psi_j$

 $\phi = \phi_0 + H$





Yukawa interaction hypothesis

Yukawa couplings ~ fermion mass

first fundamental interaction that we probe at the quantum level where interaction strength (y_{ii}) not quantised (i.e. no underlying unit of conserved charge across particles)





Why do Yukawa couplings matter? (1) Because, within SM conjecture, they're what give masses to all quarks

Up quarks (mass ~ 2.2 MeV) are lighter than down quarks (mass ~ 4.7 MeV)

proton **neutron** (up+down+down): 2.2 + **4.7** + 4.7 + ... = **939.6** MeV

> So protons are **lighter** than neutrons, \rightarrow protons are stable.

Which gives us the hydrogen atom, & chemistry and biology as we know it



(up+up+down): 2.2 + 2.2 + 4.7 + ... = 938.3 MeV

proton mass = 938.3 MeV

neutron mass = 939.6 MeV



Why do Yukawa couplings matter? (2) Because, within SM conjecture, they're what give masses to all leptons



electron mass determines size of all atoms

it sets energy levels of all chemical reactions

Gavin Salam










1st generation (us) has low mass because of weak interactions with Higgs field (and so with Higgs bosons): too weak to test today





1st generation (us) has low mass because of weak interactions with Higgs field (and so with Higgs bosons): too weak to test today 3rd generation (us) has high
mass because of strong
interactions with Higgs field
(and so with Higgs bosons):
can potentially be tested



what underlying processes tell us about Yukawa interactions?







Higgs production: the dominant channel

already at discovery in 2012

























but how can you be sure the Higgs boson is really being radiated off a top-quark, i.e. that you're actually seeing a Yukawa coupling?









Higgs production: the ttH channel Higgs out If SM top-Yukawa hypothesis is correct, expect 1 Higgs for every

1600 top-quark pairs.

(rather than 1 Higgs for every 2 billion pp collisions)









since 2018: ATLAS & CMS see events with top-quarks & Higgs simultaneously

across all events



enhanced fraction of Higgs bosons in events with top quarks \rightarrow direct observation of Higgs interaction with tops (consistent with SM to c. ±25%)

Discovery $\equiv 5\sigma \simeq \pm 20\%$

[†]in part with approach from Butterworth, Davison, Rubin & GPS '08

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Discovery $\equiv 5\sigma \simeq \pm 20\%$

by observing H in association with top quarks

A Stand and rak Hoghe to deschill in A all

[†]in part with approach from Butterworth, Davison, Rubin & GPS '08

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Discovery $\equiv 5\sigma \simeq \pm 20\%$

by observing H in association with top quarks

A Stand and san going of the adding a sol

by observing $H \rightarrow bb$ decays[†]

CALLE STARTER AND SOLA TO BALLAND AND THE STARTER STARTER

[†]in part with approach from Butterworth, Davison, Rubin & GPS '08

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Discovery $\equiv 5\sigma \simeq \pm 20\%$

by observing H in association with top quarks

The sign and solito of the second in a sticking

by observing $H \rightarrow bb$ decays^T

WHAT STAND AND SON STORES OF RESCUE IN RAPPLES WE STREET SON AND STREET

LAUTAR STADER SPACE FOR SE DE RESCUELDER PRESIDE by observing $H \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ decays

[†]in part with approach from Butterworth, Davison, Rubin & GPS '08

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

what's the message?

- The $>5\sigma$ observations of the ttH process and of H $\rightarrow \tau\tau$ and H \rightarrow bb decays, independently by ATLAS and CMS, firmly establish the existence of a new kind of fundamental interaction, Yukawa interactions.
 - Yukawa interactions are important because they are:
 - (1) qualitatively unlike any quantum interaction probed before (effective charge not quantised, not conserved)
 - (2) hypothesized to be responsible for the stability of hydrogen, and for determining the size of atoms and the energy scales of chemical reactions.
 - Equivalently this is a fifth force, the "Higgs force"

$V(\phi)$, SM

NB: realistic alternative models tend to involve additional Higgs-like fields; plot adapted from Nature perspective with Wang & Zanderighi

G.P. Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Higgs potential, keystone of the SM — what can we observe experimentally?

 $= -\mu^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4$ $= V(\phi_0) + m^2 H^2 + \lambda_3 H^3 + \lambda_4 H^4$

Higgs potential, keystone of the SM — what can we observe experimentally?

NB: realistic alternative models tend to involve additional Higgs-like fields; plot adapted from Nature perspective with Wang & Zanderighi

G.P. Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

 $= -\mu^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4$ $= V(\phi_0) + m^2 H^2 + \lambda_3 H^3 + \lambda_4 H^4$

NB: realistic alternative models tend to involve additional Higgs-like fields; plot adapted from Nature perspective with Wang & Zanderighi

G.P. Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Higgs potential, keystone of the SM — what can we observe experimentally?

 $= -\mu^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4$ $= V(\phi_0) + m^2 H^2 + \lambda_3 H^3 + \lambda_4 H^4$

Higgs potential, keystone of the SM — what can we observe experimentally?

NB: realistic alternative models tend to involve additional Higgs-like fields; plot adapted from Nature perspective with Wang & Zanderighi

G.P. Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

 $= - \mu^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4$ $= V(\phi_0) + m^2 H^2 + \lambda_3 H^3 + \lambda_4 H^4$

Higgs potential, keystone of the SM — what can we observe experimentally?

NB: realistic alternative models tend to involve additional Higgs-like fields; plot adapted from Nature perspective with Wang & Zanderighi

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

 $= -\mu^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4$ $= V(\phi_0) + m^2 H^2 + \lambda_3 H^3 + \lambda_4 H^4$

H interaction not yet seen

Are Yukawa interactions responsible for all fermion masses?

Gavin Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Higgs potential not yet "seen"

 $= -\mu^2 \phi^2 + \lambda \phi^4$

Is this "toy-model" potential Nature's choice?

Does the Higgs behave as a pointlike (fundamental) particle?

Do these interactions follow the Standard Model to better than current $\sim 10\%$ accuracy?

Are Yukawa interactions responsible for all fermion masses?

Do these interactions follow the Standard Model to better than current 10% accuracy?

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Higgs potential not yet "seen"

(fundamental) particle? W boson

tlike

UNDERLYING THEORY

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} &= -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \\ &+ i F \mathcal{N} \mathcal{V} \end{aligned}$ + $\chi_i \, \Upsilon_{ij} \, \chi_j \, \phi + h.c$ + $|D_{\mu} \, \phi|^2 - V(\phi)$

Gavin Salam

EXPERIMENTAL DATA

how do you make quantitative connection?

What's in the colliding protons? (NNPDF @ Ed.)

novel ways of simulating events (HEJ @ Ed.)

quantum fluctuations during scattering (amplitudes @ Ed.)

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

What is the origin of the vast range of quark and lepton masses in the **Standard Model?**

- Are there modified interactions to the Higgs boson and known particles?
- Does the Higgs decay into pairs of quarks and leptons with distinct flavours (for example, $H \rightarrow \mu^+ \tau^-$?

What is the origin of the early-universe inflation?

- Are there any imprints in

What is dark matter?

- Can the Higgs provide a portal to dark matter or a dark sector?
- Is the Higgs lifetime consistent with the Standard Model?
- Are there new decay modes of the Higgs?

Why is the Higgs field non-zero?

- Supposedly because the Higgs potential makes that the lowest energy state
- Can we verify the SM prediction for the Higgs potential?

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Gavin Salam

• Is the Higgs connected to the mechanism that drives inflation?

cosmological observations?

Higgs boson

adapted from Nature <u>perspective</u> with Wang & Zanderighi

Why is the electroweak interaction so much stronger than gravity?

- Are there new particles close to the mass of the Higgs boson?
- Is the Higgs boson elementary or made of other particles?
- Are there anomalies in the interactions of the Higgs with the W and Z?

The Higgs boson as the mediator of a fifth force

- unlike other electroweak and strong forces, strength of interaction is not quantised
- i.e. does not come in multiples of some elementary charge

Why is there more matter than antimatter in the universe?

- Are there charge-parity violating Higgs decays?
- Are there anomalies in the Higgs self-coupling that would imply a strong firstorder early-universe electroweak phase transition?
- Are there multiple Higgs sectors?

outlook

Outlook

- Higgs discovery has opened a new chapter in particle physics
- - critical to the world as we know it
 - \blacktriangleright so far probed only to 10–20%, for a subset of the fermions
 - > and in only a corner of phase space (low momenta)
- **CERN's Future Circular Collider project)**
 - hierarchy problem, early-universe phase transitions)
 - > or we may confirm the SM in its remarkable minimality

Interaction with W & Z bosons is the raison d'être for the Higgs mechanism (Nobel prize) ► But also involves qualitatively new kind of interaction — Yukawa interactions ("fifth force")

► Huge experimental progress still to come, from (HL)LHC and possible future colliders (e.g.

> We may find clues to some of the big mysteries of particles physics and cosmology (dark matter,

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

 $\mathcal{Z} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ + $\chi_i \mathcal{Y}_{ij} \mathcal{Y}_j \mathcal{P} + h.c.$ +|1|Ð

Standard Model Lagrangian (including neutrino mass terms) From An Introduction to the Standard Model of Particle Physics, 2nd Edition, W.N. Cottingham and D.A. Greenwood, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007,

Extracted by J.A. Shifflett, updated from Particle Data Group tables at pdg.lbl.gov, 2 Feb 2015.

 $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{8}tr(\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{2}tr(\mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{G}^{\mu\nu})$ (U(1), SU(2) and SU(3) gauge terms) $+(\bar{\nu}_L, \bar{e}_L)\,\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}iD_{\mu}\left(\frac{\nu_L}{e_L}\right) + \bar{e}_R\sigma^{\mu}iD_{\mu}e_R + \bar{\nu}_R\sigma^{\mu}iD_{\mu}\nu_R + (\text{h.c.})$ (lepton dynamical term) $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}\left[\left(\bar{\nu}_{L},\bar{e}_{L}\right)\phi M^{e}e_{R}+\bar{e}_{R}\bar{M}^{e}\bar{\phi}\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{L}\\e_{L}\end{array}\right)\right]$ (electron, muon, tauon mass term) $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}\left[\left(-\bar{e}_L,\bar{\nu}_L\right)\phi^*M^{\nu}\nu_R+\bar{\nu}_R\bar{M}^{\nu}\phi^T\left(\begin{array}{c}-e_L\\\nu_L\end{array}\right)\right]$ (neutrino mass term) $+(\bar{u}_L,\bar{d}_L)\,\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}iD_{\mu}\begin{pmatrix}u_L\\d_L\end{pmatrix}+\bar{u}_R\sigma^{\mu}iD_{\mu}u_R+\bar{d}_R\sigma^{\mu}iD_{\mu}d_R+(\text{h.c.})$ (quark dynamical term) $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}\left[\left(\bar{u}_L,\bar{d}_L\right)\phi M^d d_R + \bar{d}_R \bar{M}^d \bar{\phi} \left(\begin{array}{c} u_L \\ d_L \end{array}\right)\right]$ (down, strange, bottom mass term) $-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{v}\left[\left(-\bar{d}_L,\bar{u}_L\right)\phi^*M^u u_R+\bar{u}_R\bar{M}^u\phi^T\left(-d_L\right)\right]$ (up, charmed, top mass term) $+\overline{(D_{\mu}\phi)}D^{\mu}\phi - m_h^2[\bar{\phi}\phi - v^2/2]^2/2v^2.$ (Higgs dynamical and mass term) (1)

where (h.c.) means Hermitian conjugate of preceding terms, $\bar{\psi} = (h.c.)\psi = \psi^{\dagger} = \psi^{*T}$, and the derivative operators are

$$D_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L} \\ e_{L} \end{pmatrix} = \left[\partial_{\mu} - \frac{ig_{1}}{2} B_{\mu} + \frac{ig_{2}}{2} \mathbf{W}_{\mu} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L} \\ e_{L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad D_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} u_{L} \\ d_{L} \end{pmatrix} = \left[\partial_{\mu} + \frac{ig_{1}}{6} B_{\mu} + \frac{ig_{2}}{2} \mathbf{W}_{\mu} + ig \mathbf{G}_{\mu} \right] \begin{pmatrix} u_{L} \\ d_{L} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

$$D_{\mu} \nu_{R} = \partial_{\mu} \nu_{R}, \quad D_{\mu} e_{R} = \left[\partial_{\mu} - ig_{1} B_{\mu} \right] e_{R}, \quad D_{\mu} u_{R} = \left[\partial_{\mu} + \frac{i2g_{1}}{3} B_{\mu} + ig \mathbf{G}_{\mu} \right] u_{R}, \quad D_{\mu} d_{R} = \left[\partial_{\mu} - \frac{ig_{1}}{3} B_{\mu} + ig \mathbf{G}_{\mu} \right] d_{R}, \quad (3)$$

$$D_{\mu}\phi = \left[\partial_{\mu} + \frac{ig_1}{2}B_{\mu} + \frac{ig_2}{2}\mathbf{W}_{\mu}\right]\phi. \tag{4}$$

 ϕ is a 2-component complex Higgs field. Since \mathcal{L} is SU(2) gauge invariant, a gauge can be chosen so ϕ has the form

$$\phi^T = (0, v + h) / \sqrt{2}, \qquad \langle \phi \rangle_0^T = (\text{expectation value of } \phi) = (0, v) / \sqrt{2}, \qquad (5)$$

where v is a real constant such that $\mathcal{L}_{\phi} = \overline{(\partial_{\mu}\phi)}\partial^{\mu}\phi - m_{h}^{2}[\overline{\phi}\phi - v^{2}/2]^{2}/2v^{2}$ is minimized, and h is a residual Higgs field. B_{μ} , \mathbf{W}_{μ} and \mathbf{G}_{μ} are the gauge boson vector potentials, and \mathbf{W}_{μ} and \mathbf{G}_{μ} are composed of 2×2 and 3×3 traceless Hermitian matrices. Their associated field tensors are

 $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}B_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}B_{\mu}, \quad \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\mathbf{W}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathbf{W}_{\mu} + ig_2(\mathbf{W}_{\mu}\mathbf{W}_{\nu} - \mathbf{W}_{\nu}\mathbf{W}_{\mu})/2, \quad \mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}\mathbf{G}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\mathbf{G}_{\mu} + ig(\mathbf{G}_{\mu}\mathbf{G}_{\nu} - \mathbf{G}_{\nu}\mathbf{G}_{\mu}).$ (6) The non-matrix $A_{\mu}, Z_{\mu}, W_{\mu}^{\pm}$ bosons are mixtures of \mathbf{W}_{μ} and B_{μ} components, according to the weak mixing angle θ_{w} ,

$$A_{\mu} = W_{11\mu} sin\theta_{w} + B_{\mu} cos\theta_{w}, \qquad Z_{\mu} = W_{11\mu} cos\theta_{w} - B_{\mu} sin\theta_{w}, \qquad W_{\mu}^{+} = W_{\mu}^{-*} = W_{12\mu}/\sqrt{2}, \tag{7}$$

$$B_{\mu} = A_{\mu} cos\theta_{w} - Z_{\mu} sin\theta_{w}, \qquad W_{11\mu} = -W_{22\mu} = A_{\mu} sin\theta_{w} + Z_{\mu} cos\theta_{w}, \qquad W_{12\mu} = W_{21\mu}^{*} = \sqrt{2} W_{\mu}^{+}, \qquad sin^{2}\theta_{w} = .2315(4). \tag{8}$$

The fermions include the leptons e_R, e_L, ν_R, ν_L and quarks u_R, u_L, d_R, d_L . They all have implicit 3-component generation indices, $e_i = (e, \mu, \tau)$, $\nu_i = (\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$, $u_i = (u, c, t)$, $d_i = (d, s, b)$, which contract into the fermion mass matrices $M_{iv}^e M_{iv}^{\nu} M_{iv}^u M_{ij}^d$, and implicit 2-component indices which contract into the Pauli matrices,

$$\sigma^{\mu} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} = [\sigma^{0}, -\sigma^{1}, -\sigma^{2}, -\sigma^{3}], \quad tr(\sigma^{i}) = 0, \quad \sigma^{\mu\dagger} = \sigma^{\mu}, \quad tr(\sigma^{\mu}\sigma^{\nu}) = 2\delta^{\mu\nu}.$$
(9)

The quarks also have implicit 3-component color indices which contract into \mathbf{G}_{μ} . So \mathcal{L} really has implicit sums over 3-component generation indices, 2-component Pauli indices, 3-component color indices in the quark terms, and 2-component SU(2) indices in $(\bar{\nu}_L, \bar{e}_L), (\bar{u}_L, \bar{d}_L), (-\bar{e}_L, \bar{\nu}_L), (-\bar{d}_L, \bar{u}_L), \bar{\phi}, \mathbf{W}_{\mu}, \binom{\nu_L}{e_L}, \binom{u_L}{d_L}, \binom{-e_L}{\nu_L}, \binom{-d_L}{u_L}, \phi.$

The electroweak and strong coupling constants, Higgs vacuum expectation value (VEV), and Higgs mas $g_1 = e/cos\theta_w, \quad g_2 = e/sin\theta_w, \quad g > 6.5e = g(m_\tau^2), \quad v = 246 GeV(PDG) \approx \sqrt{2} \cdot 180 \; GeV(CG), \quad m_h = 125.02(36) \cdot 100 \; GeV(CG),$ where $e = \sqrt{4\pi \alpha \hbar c} = \sqrt{4\pi/137}$ in natural units. Using (4,5) and rewriting some things gives the mass of

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{8}tr(\mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{W}^{\mu\nu}) &= -\frac{1}{4}A_{\mu\nu}A^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4}Z_{\mu\nu}Z^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{-}\mathcal{W}^{+\mu\nu} + \begin{pmatrix} \text{higher} \\ \text{order terms} \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu}, \quad Z_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}Z_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}Z_{\mu}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\mu\nu}^{\pm} = D_{\mu}\mathcal{W}_{\nu}^{\pm} - D_{\nu}\mathcal{W}_{\mu}^{\pm}, \quad D_{\mu}\mathcal{W}_{\nu}^{\pm} = [\partial_{\mu} \pm ieA_{\mu}] \\ D_{\mu} <\phi >_{0} &= \frac{iv}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} g_{2}W_{12\mu}/2 \\ g_{1}B_{\mu}/2 + g_{2}W_{22\mu}/2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{ig_{2}v}{2} \begin{pmatrix} W_{12\mu}/\sqrt{2} \\ (B_{\mu}sin\theta_{\nu}/\cos\theta_{w} + W_{22\mu})/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{ig_{2}v}{2} \begin{pmatrix} W_{\mu}^{+} \\ -Z_{\mu}/\sqrt{2}\cos\theta_{\mu} \\ e^{-Z_{\mu}}/\sqrt{2}\cos\theta_{\mu} \\ e^{-Z_{\mu}}/\sqrt$$

 $e = \begin{pmatrix} e_{L1} \\ e_{R1} \end{pmatrix}, \nu_e = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L1} \\ \nu_{R1} \end{pmatrix}, u = \begin{pmatrix} u_{L1} \\ u_{R1} \end{pmatrix}, d = \begin{pmatrix} d_{L1} \\ d_{R1} \end{pmatrix}$, (electron, electron neutrino, up and down qu $\mu = \begin{pmatrix} e_{L2} \\ e_{R2} \end{pmatrix}, \ \nu_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L2} \\ \nu_{R2} \end{pmatrix}, \ c = \begin{pmatrix} u_{L2} \\ u_{R2} \end{pmatrix}, \ s = \begin{pmatrix} d_{L2} \\ d_{R2} \end{pmatrix},$ (muon, muon neutrino, charmed and strange $= \begin{pmatrix} e_{L3} \\ e_{R3} \end{pmatrix}, \ \nu_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{L3} \\ \nu_{R3} \end{pmatrix}, \ t = \begin{pmatrix} u_{L3} \\ u_{R3} \end{pmatrix}, \ b = \begin{pmatrix} d_{L3} \\ d_{R3} \end{pmatrix}, \ \text{(tauon, tauon neutrino, top and bottom quarking the set of the$ $\gamma^{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^{\mu} \\ \tilde{\sigma}^{\mu} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{where } \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu} + \gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\mu} = 2Ig^{\mu\nu}. \quad \text{(Dirac gamma matrices in chiral representation)}$

The corresponding antiparticles are related to the particles according to $\psi^c = -i\gamma^2\psi^*$ or $\psi^c_L = -i\sigma^2\psi^*_R$, The fermion charges are the coefficients of A_{μ} when (8,10) are substituted into either the left or right hand operators (2-4). The fermion masses are the singular values of the 3×3 fermion mass matrices M^{ν}, M^{e}

where the Us are 3×3 unitary matrices ($\mathbf{U}^{-1} = \mathbf{U}^{\dagger}$). Consequently the "true fermions" with definite masse linear combinations of those in \mathcal{L} , or conversely the fermions in \mathcal{L} are linear combinations of the true fermions $e'_L = \mathbf{U}_L^e e_L, \quad e'_R = \mathbf{U}_R^e e_R, \quad \nu'_L = \mathbf{U}_L^\nu \nu_L, \quad \nu'_R = \mathbf{U}_R^\nu \nu_R, \quad u'_L = \mathbf{U}_L^u u_L, \quad u'_R = \mathbf{U}_R^u u_R, \quad d'_L = \mathbf{U}_L^d d_L, \quad d'_R = \mathbf{U}_R^u u_R, \quad u'_L = \mathbf{U}_L^u u_R, \quad u'_R = \mathbf{U}_R^u u_R,$ $e_{L} = \mathbf{U}_{L}^{e^{\dagger}} e'_{L}, \quad e_{R} = \mathbf{U}_{R}^{e^{\dagger}} e'_{R}, \quad \nu_{L} = \mathbf{U}_{L}^{\nu^{\dagger}} \nu'_{L}, \quad \nu_{R} = \mathbf{U}_{R}^{\nu^{\dagger}} \nu'_{R}, \quad u_{L} = \mathbf{U}_{L}^{u^{\dagger}} u'_{L}, \quad u_{R} = \mathbf{U}_{R}^{u^{\dagger}} u'_{R}, \quad d_{L} = \mathbf{U}_{L}^{d^{\dagger}} d'_{L}, \quad d_{R} = \mathbf{U}_{L}^{u^{\dagger}} u'_{R}, \quad d_{L} = \mathbf{U}_{$ When \mathcal{L} is written in terms of the true fermions, the Us fall out except in $\bar{u}'_L \mathbf{U}^u_L \tilde{\sigma}^\mu W^\pm_\mu \mathbf{U}^{d\dagger}_L d'_L$ and $\bar{\nu}'_L \mathbf{U}^\nu_L$ Because of this, and some absorption of constants into the fermion fields, all the parameters in the tained in only four components of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa matrix $\mathbf{V}^q = \mathbf{U}_L^u \mathbf{U}_L^{d\dagger}$ and four components

Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix $\mathbf{V}^l = \mathbf{U}_{L}^{\nu} \mathbf{U}_{L}^{c^{\dagger}}$. The unitary matrices \mathbf{V}^q and \mathbf{V}^l are often para $(1 \quad 0 \quad 0 \setminus (e^{-i\delta/2} \quad 0 \quad 0 \setminus (c_{13} \quad 0 \quad s_{13}) (e^{i\delta/2} \quad 0 \quad 0 \setminus (c_{12} \quad s_{12} \quad 0))$

$$\begin{split} & T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{i\delta/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -13 & 0 & -13 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -s_{13} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i\delta/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -12 & -12 & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_j = \sqrt{\delta^q} \\ & \delta^q = 69(4) \deg, \quad s_{12}^q = 0.2253(7), \quad s_{23}^q = 0.041(1), \quad s_{13}^q = 0.0035(2), \\ & \delta^l = ?, \qquad s_{12}^l = 0.560(16), \quad s_{23}^l = 0.7(1), \qquad s_{13}^l = 0.153(28). \end{split}$$

 \mathcal{L} is invariant under a $U(1) \otimes SU(2)$ gauge transformation with $U^{-1} = U^{\dagger}$, detU = 1, θ real, $\mathbf{W} \rightarrow U\mathbf{W} U^{\dagger} (2i/a)U\partial U^{\dagger} \mathbf{W} \rightarrow U\mathbf{W} U^{\dagger} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} + (2/a)\partial \mathbf{A} \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{W}_{\mu} &\rightarrow U \mathbf{W}_{\mu} U^{\dagger} - (2i/g_2) U \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger}, \quad \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow U \mathbf{W}_{\mu\nu} U^{\dagger}, \quad B_{\mu} \rightarrow B_{\mu} + (2/g_1) \partial_{\mu} \theta, \quad B_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow B_{\mu\nu}, \quad \phi \rightarrow e^{-i\ell} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow e^{i\theta} U \begin{pmatrix} \nu_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow e^{-i\theta/3} U \begin{pmatrix} u_L \\ d_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nu_R \rightarrow \nu_R, \quad u_R \rightarrow e^{-4i\theta/3} u_R, \\ e_R \rightarrow e^{2i\theta} e_R, \quad d_R \rightarrow e^{2i\theta/3} d_R, \end{split}$$

and under an SU(3) gauge transformation with $V^{-1} = V^{\dagger}$, detV = 1,

 $\mathbf{G}_{\mu} \rightarrow V \mathbf{G}_{\mu} V^{\dagger} - (i/g) V \partial_{\mu} V^{\dagger}, \quad \mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow V \mathbf{G}_{\mu\nu} V^{\dagger}, \quad u_L \rightarrow V u_L, \quad d_L \rightarrow V d_L, \quad u_R \rightarrow V u_R, \quad d_R \rightarrow V d_R \rightarrow V d_R, \quad d_R \rightarrow V d_R \rightarrow V d_R, \quad d_R \rightarrow V d_R \rightarrow V d_R \rightarrow V d_R \rightarrow V d_R, \quad d_R \rightarrow V d_R$

http://einstein-schrodinger.com/Standard_Model.pdf

ss are, (30)GeV	(10) W [±]
$A_{\mu}, \Sigma_{\mu}, vv_{\mu},$	
	(11)
$W^{\pm}_{\nu},$	(12)
$_{s\theta_w}$),	(13)
,	(14)
uark)	(15)
e quark)	(16)
rk)	(17)
ion)	(18)
$\psi_R^c = i\sigma$ led derive , M^u, M^d	ψ_L^* . ative
$\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ m_b \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{U}_R^d,$	(19)
eV,	(20)
eV,	(21)
GeV,	(22)
es are acti ermions,	lany
$= \mathbf{U}_R^d d_R,$	(23)
$\mathbf{U}_{R}^{d\dagger}d_{R}^{\prime}.$	(24)
$\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu}W^{\pm}_{\mu}\mathbf{U}^{\epsilon}_{I}$	$e_L^{\dagger} e_L^{\prime}$.
onents o	f the
ameterize	ed as
$1-s_j^2$,	(25)
	(26)
	(27)
$^{i\theta}U\phi,$	(28)
	(29)
	(~)
l_R .	(30)





$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, an indirect probe of the top Yukawa, HWW and contact ggH couplings







today's ATLAS and CMS total uncertainties (ratio to SM) are at the 8-9% level

> 5-7% stat. 3-7% syst. \sim 5% theo.





We are (indirectly) searching for new physics



Ellis, Madigan, Mimasu, Sanz, You, 2012.02779

Gavin Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

2018 data 2020 data No STXS 📕 No Zjj







We are (indirectly) searching for new physics **Current** ~10% agreement with SM places constraint on new physics







We are (indirectly) searching for new physics Current ~10% agreement with SM places constraint on new physics



Gavin Salam





We are (indirectly) searching for new physics Future $\sim 2\%$ measurements at LHC will place stronger constraint on (or discover) new physics









Phase space: two key variables (+ azimuth)



ΔR (or just Δ)

 $k_t = p_t \Delta$

G.P. Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023



opening angle of a splitting

p_t (or p_{\perp}) is transverse momentum wrt beam

 k_t is ~ transverse momentum wrt jet axis

jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4*,* $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



logarithmic kinematic plane whose two variables are

 ΔR_{ij} $k_t = \min(p_{ti}, p_{tj}) \Delta R_{ij}$

0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



logarithmic kinematic plane whose two variables are

 ΔR_{ij} $k_t = \min(p_{ti}, p_{tj}) \Delta R_{ij}$

0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



logarithmic kinematic plane whose two variables are

 ΔR_{ij} $k_t = \min(p_{ti}, p_{tj}) \Delta R_{ij}$

0.01

Introduced for understanding Parton Shower Monte Carlos by B. Andersson, G. Gustafson L. Lonnblad and Pettersson, 1989







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$











decluster a C/A jet: at each step record ∆R,kt as a point in the Lund plane repeatedly follow harder branch

5th heavy-ion workshop @ CERN, <u>1808.03689</u> Dreyer, Soyez & GPS, <u>1807.04758</u> (for pp applications)

constructing the Lund plane





decluster a C/A jet: at each step record ∆R,kt as a point in the Lund plane repeatedly follow harder branch

5th heavy-ion workshop @ CERN, <u>1808.03689</u> Dreyer, Soyez & GPS, <u>1807.04758</u> (for pp applications)

constructing the Lund plane







PRIMARY LUND PLANE

LUND DIAGRAM

JET

 $\ln 1/\Delta$







jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



jet with R = 0.4, $p_t = 200 \text{ GeV}$



Lund plane measurement







G.P. Salam

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Europurgn, January 2023





signal efficiency

Performance: background rejection v. signal efficiency

Lund + machine-learning (LSTM) up to twice the bkgd rejection compared to non-Lund methods

Lund info without machine learning

Jet image + CNN







can we trust machine learning? A question of confidence in the training...

Unless you are highly confident in the information you have about the markets, you may be better off ignoring it altogether

New Directions in Theoretical Physics, Edinburgh, January 2023

Gavin Salam

- Harry Markowitz (1990 Nobel Prize in Economics) [via S Gukov]





Two emissions in dipole showers (Dire / Pythia8)



impact of gluon-2 emission on gluon-1 momentum

2[g ₁]	
	•
•	
2[g ₁]	



Two emissions in dipole showers (Dire / Pythia8)



impact of gluon-2 emission on gluon-1 momentum

2[g ₁]	
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
•	-
2[g ₁]	
	3
	3
	3






2[g ₁]	
•	
2[g ₁]	
	3





2[g ₁]	
	-
	-
	-
	_
	-
•	-
•	-
	1
<u> </u>	_
	_
2[g ₁]	





2[g ₁]	
	-
	•
	-
	-
•	-
•	-
	_
2[g ₁]	





2[g ₁]	-
	-
	-
	_
	-
	-
•	
· · ·	
_[a ₁]	
2[g ₁]	





	-
2[g ₁]	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
	-
•	-
2[g ₁]	





also noticed in 1992 by Andersson, Gustafson & Sjogren \rightarrow special "fudge" in Ariadne

impact of gluon-2 emission on gluon-1 momentum

Key observation #1

highly non-trivial cross talk between emissions

	-
2[91]	-
	J
	1
	-
	-
	1
	-
I	-
•	
<u> </u>	
[a.]	
2[g ₁]	



in equations

1.	$\bar{q}[g_1] \rightarrow \bar{q}g_2[g_1]$:	$p_{\perp,g_1}=\hat{p}$
2.	$g_1[\bar{q}] \rightarrow g_1 g_2[\bar{q}]$:	$oldsymbol{p}_{\perp,g_1}=oldsymbol{\hat{p}}$
3.	$g_1[q] \rightarrow g_1g_2[q]$:	$oldsymbol{p}_{\perp,g_1}=oldsymbol{\hat{p}}$
4.	$q[g_1] \rightarrow qg_2[g_1]$:	$oldsymbol{p}_{\perp,g_1}=\widehat{oldsymbol{p}}$

With/without tilde: momentum before/after emission of gluon 2



