Reconstruction outputs

Maria Brigida Brunetti for the Pandora team

09/11/2022

7th UK LArTPC Software and Analysis Workshop



Reconstruction session



Credit: These slides are based on previous LArSoft workshop slides by John Marshall Key references:

Pandora ProtoDUNE paper Pandora MicroBooNE paper

Reminder: Particle Hierarchy Reconstruction

• Use 3D clusters to organise particles into a hierarchy, working outwards from interaction vtx:



EPJC (2018) 78:82

Reconstruction Output

- Must translate output from Pandora Event Data Model to LArSoft Event Data Model. The key output is the PFParticle (PF ⇒ Particle Flow):
 - Each PFParticle corresponds to a distinct track or shower and is associated to 2D clusters.
 - 2D clusters group hits from each readout plane, and are associated to the input 2D hits.
 - PFParticles also associated to 3D spacepoints and a 3D vertex.
 - PFParticles placed in a hierarchy, with identified parent-daughter relationships.
 - PFParticles flagged as track-like or shower-like.



Assessing Pattern-Recognition Performance

- Assess performance for simulated MicroBooNE events, using a selection of event topologies.
- Examine fraction of events deemed "correct" by strict pattern-recognition metrics:
 - Consider exclusive final-states where all true particles pass simple quality cuts (e.g. nHits)
 - Correct means exactly one reco primary particle is matched to each true primary particle



Example, CC RES: ν_{μ} + Ar $\rightarrow \mu^{-}$ + p + π^{+}



- Three-track topology: CC ν_{μ} interactions with resonant charged pion production:

#Matched Particles	0	1	2	3+
μ	3.5%	95.1%	1.4%	0.0%
p	9.0%	86.8%	4.0%	0.3%
π^+	6.9%	80.9%	11.4%	0.8%

47,754 events, 70.5% have exactly one reco particle matched to each target.

- Performance for μ and p similar to that reported for quasi-elastic events.
- π + interactions can lead to hierarchy of visible particles. If reconstructed separately (without parent-daughter links), π + is reportedly split.

Consolidated reconstruction

- We have used a multi-algorithm approach to create two algorithm chains:
- Consolidated reconstruction uses these chains to guide reconstruction for all use cases:
- Cosmic rays \checkmark , Multiple drift volumes \checkmark , Arbitrary wire angles \checkmark , 2 or 3 wire planes \checkmark



Consolidated reconstruction



Example: Reconstruction at ProtoDUNE-SP

- Single Phase DUNE Far Detector prototype, exposed to test beam at CERN ٠
- Multiple "drift volumes", complex topologies and significant cosmic-ray backgrounds:
 - An ideal testing ground for LArTPC pattern recognition





Stitching and T₀ Identification

- In a LArTPC image, one coordinate derived from drift times of ionisation electrons:
 - But, only know electron arrival times, not actual drift times: need to know start time, T₀
 - For beam particles, can use time of beam spill to set T₀, but unknown for cosmic rays
 - Place all hits assuming T₀ = T_{Beam}, but can identify T₀ for any cosmic rays crossing volumes





2. Stitch together any cosmic rays crossing between volumes, identifying TO

Cosmic Ray Tagging and Slicing



- Slice/divide blue hits from separate interactions
- Reconstruct each slice as test beam particle
- Then choose between cosmic ray or test beam outcome for each slice

3. Identify clear cosmic rays (red) and hits to reexamine under test beam hypothesis (blue)

Clear cosmic rays:

- Particles appear to be "outside" of detector if T₀ = T_{Beam}
- Particles stitched between volumes using a $T_0 \neq T_{Beam}$
- Particles pass through the detector: "through going"



Consolidated output





Parent track

Child tracks and showers

E.g. Reconstruction output: test beam particle (electron) and: N reconstructed cosmic-ray muon hierarchies E.g. Test beam particle: charged pion

Overall summary

- The use of Liquid Argon technology is one of the cornerstones of the current and future neutrino programmes.
- High-performance reconstruction techniques are required in order to fully exploit the imaging capabilities offered by LArTPCs:
 - Pandora multi-algorithm approach uses large numbers of decoupled algorithms to gradually build up a picture of events.
 - Output is a carefully-arranged hierarchy of reconstructed particles, each corresponding to a distinct track or shower.

Additional information

Performance metrics

- Determine target MCParticle associated to each hit
 - Use MCParticle hierarchy to determine primary "targets" for reco
 - Associate hits to target MCParticle making largest E contribution
- Match reco particles to target MCParticles
 - For each combination of reco particle and target MCParticle, find the number of shared hits; fold all child particles, in both reco and MCParticle hierarchies, back into parent primaries
 - Interpret raw/comprehensive matching information to clarify pattern recognition performance:
 - 1. Find strongest (most shared hits) match between any reco particle and target MCParticle
 - 2. Repeat step i, using reco and MCParticles at most once, until no further matches possible
 - 3. Assign any remaining reco particles to target MCParticle with which they share most hits
- Match reco particles to target MCParticles
 - Efficiency: Fraction of target MCParticles with at least one matched reco particle
 - Completeness: Fraction of MCParticle true hits shared with the reco particle
 - Purity: Fraction of hits in reco particle shared with the target MCParticle
- Match exactly one reco particle to each target MCParticle ⇒ Event is "correct"

Target MCParticles must satisfy quality cuts

Reco/MCParticles matches must satisfy quality cuts.

Performance metrics

- In practice, some MCParticles not reconstructable. Targets must satisfy quality cuts:
- ≥15 hits in total, at least five hits in at least two views.
- Target must deposit >90% E in these hits.
- Plus, ignore all hits which are downstream of far-travelling neutron in MC hierarchy.

