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- Krylov space approximations may used to compute the eigenpairs of a (large sparse) symmetric matrix $A$.
- In many applications, including lattice QCD, such matrices are approximations to an underlying differential operator.
- There are two aspects to understanding how effective Krylov space algorithms, such as the Lánczos algorithm:
- How rapidly the eigenpairs of the projection $H$ of $A$ onto the Krylov space approach those of $A$ as the dimension of the Krylov space increases, and
- The numerical stability of the algorithm used to compute the eigenpairs of $H$.
- Our principal goal is to obtain a priori bounds (ones that essentially only depend on the spectrum of $A$ ) that address the first question for eigenvalues that lie in the interior of the spectrum.
- Our approach is a generalization of the textbook methods of Kaniel, Paige, and Saad that give such bounds for extremal eigenpairs.


## Previous Work

- A significant application in lattice QCD is the computation of the eigenpairs in the middle of the spectrum of the Hermitian Dirac operator $H=\gamma_{5} \not D$. [Edwards, Heller, and Narayanan, 1999]
- This made use of the Ritz algorithm, which minimizes the Rayleigh-Ritz functional $\rho\left(A^{2}, x\right)=\left(x, A^{2} x\right)$ with respect to $x$ where $x$ is a unit vector. [Bunk, Jansen, Lüscher, and Simma, 1994; Kalkreuter and Simma, 1996]
- Clearly the interior eigenpairs of $A$ correspond to the extremal eigenpairs of $(A-\Lambda)^{2}$ for some constant shift $\Lambda$.
- A problem is that the eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues $\Lambda \pm \lambda$ of $A$ become degenerate, and it may be difficult to resolve them.


## Basic Idea of Our Approach

- Our analysis is based upon the following trivial observation: the Krylov space for $A^{2}$ of dimension $d$ is a subspace of the Krylov space for $A$ of dimension $2 d-1$.
- Hence if there is a good approximation for an extremal eigenpair in the former then it must also be a good approximation for an internal eigenpair in the latter.
- Such internal eigenpairs must therefore be well-approximated in the Krylov space for $A$.
- No resolution of degenerate eigenspaces is required.
- The squared matrix is only used for the theoretical analysis.
- In practice one just constructs the Krylov space for $A$ in the usual way, using the Lánczos algorithm or some variant (such as Partial Selective Orthogonalization, which we shall briefly descibe if time permits).


## Krylov Spaces

We shall now give a brief introduction to Krylov spaces and Kaniel-Paige theory. For experts this can be taken to be a verbose summary of our notation.

- Let $A: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a linear operator (everything can be generalized to $\mathbb{C}^{N}$, of course).
- $A$ is symmetric with respect to the inner product $(x, y)$ of vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, i.e., $(x, A y)=(A x, y)$.
- We shall denote the norm of $x$ by $\|x\|=\sqrt{(x, x)}$.
- The spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is the set of all the distinct eigenvalues of $A$, which we assume are labelled in increasing order

$$
\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{3}<\cdots<\lambda_{N^{\prime}}
$$

## Ritz Pairs

- Let $P: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an $d \times N$ matrix whose rows are orthonormal basis vectors of $\mathcal{K}_{d}(A)$. Then
- $P^{T} P: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is an orthogonal projector onto the subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{K}_{d}(A)$,
- $P P^{T}=1$ is the $d \times d$ unit matrix on the Krylov space,
- and $H=P A P^{T}$ is the $d \times d$ projection of $A$ onto the Krylov space.
- The eigenpairs $\left(\theta_{j}, s_{j}\right)$ of $H$ (with $s_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\left.\left\|s_{j}\right\|=1\right)$,

$$
\left(H-\theta_{j}\right) s_{j}=0,
$$

are called Ritz pairs.

- We order the Ritz values such that

$$
\theta_{1}<\theta_{2}<\cdots<\theta_{d}
$$

- The corresponding Ritz vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ are $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{d}$, that is $y_{j}=P^{T} s_{j}$ with

$$
P\left(A-\theta_{j}\right) y_{j}=0
$$

- Clearly $\theta_{1} \geq \lambda_{1}$.


## Rayleigh Quotient



- The Rayleigh quotient (or Ritz functional) is defined to be

$$
\rho(A, u)=\frac{(u, A u)}{(u, u)}=(\hat{u}, A \hat{u})
$$

- Since $\sum_{j=1}^{d} y_{j} \otimes y_{j}^{T}=1$ on $\mathcal{K}_{d}(A)$, we have

$$
\rho(A, u)=\rho(A, \hat{u})=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \theta_{j}\left|\left(\hat{u}, y_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \geq \theta_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{d}\left|\left(\hat{u}, y_{j}\right)\right|^{2}=\theta_{1}\|\hat{u}\|^{2}=\theta_{1}
$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d}(A)$, thus $\rho(A, u) \geq \theta_{1}$.

- Hence we have

$$
\rho\left(A-\lambda_{1}, u\right) \geq \theta_{1}-\lambda_{1} \geq 0
$$

for any $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d}(A)$.

## Kaniel Theory

- Following Kaniel we introduce a vector $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d}(A)$ such that $\hat{u}=u /\|u\|$ is an approximation to the eigenvector $z_{1}$.
- Since $u$ lies in a Krylov space $u=p(A) v$ where $p$ is a polynomial of degree $d-1$.
- We shall call such a polynomial for which

$$
\left|p\left(\lambda_{j}\right)\right| \leq 1 \quad \forall j>1
$$

and $\left|p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right|$ is large a Kaniel polynomial.

- The simplest choice is $p(\lambda)=T_{d-1}(\gamma(\lambda))$ where $T_{d}(x)=\cos \left(d \cos ^{-1}(x)\right)$ is a Chebyshev polynomial and $\gamma$ is the linear mapping with $\gamma\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=1$ and $\gamma\left(\lambda_{N^{\prime}}\right)=-1$.


## Bounds on Rayleigh Quotient

- Since $\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\prime}} z_{j} \otimes z_{j}^{T}=1$ on $\mathcal{K}_{N^{\prime}}(A)$ and $u=p(A) v \in \mathcal{K}_{d}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{N^{\prime}}(A)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
u=\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\prime}} z_{j}\left(z_{j}, p(A) v\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\prime}} z_{j}\left(p(A) z_{j}, v\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\prime}} z_{j} p\left(\lambda_{j}\right)\left(z_{j}, v\right) \\
\Longrightarrow \quad\|u\|^{2}=(u, u)=\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\prime}} p\left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}\left|\left(z_{j}, v\right)\right|^{2} \geq p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}\left|\left(z_{1}, v\right)\right|^{2}=p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}\left\|v_{\|}\right\|^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $v_{\|}=z_{1}\left(z_{1}, v\right)$ is the projection of $v$ onto $z_{1}$.

- Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(A-\lambda_{1}, u\right)\|u\|^{2} & =\sum_{j=1}^{N^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{1}\right) p\left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}\left|\left(v, z_{j}\right)\right|^{2}=\sum_{j=2}^{N^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{1}\right) p\left(\lambda_{j}\right)^{2}\left|\left(v, z_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=2}^{N^{\prime}}\left(\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left|\left(v, z_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \leq\left(\lambda_{N^{\prime}}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left\|v_{\perp}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v_{\perp}=v-v_{\| \|}$.

## Extremal Eigenvalue Bounds

- We have thus established that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(A-\lambda_{1}, u\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_{N^{\prime}}-\lambda_{1}}{p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}} \frac{\left\|v_{\perp}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|v_{\|}\right\|^{2}}=\frac{\lambda_{N^{\prime}}-\lambda_{1}}{p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}}\left(\tan \angle_{z_{1} . v}\right)^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{z_{1}, v}$ is the angle between the initial vector $v$ and the eigenvector $z_{1}$.

- We thus have the bounds

$$
0 \leq \theta_{1}-\lambda_{1} \leq \rho\left(A-\lambda_{1}, u\right) \leq \frac{\lambda_{N^{\prime}}-\lambda_{1}}{p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}}\left(\tan \angle_{z_{1}, v}\right)^{2}
$$

- The angle $\angle_{z_{1}, v}$ depends upon the initial vector $v$, and is thus not known a priori, however it does not depend upon the dimension $d$ of the Krylov space (i.e., the number of Lánczos iterations).
- On the other hand the value of $p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ grows exponentially with $d$.


## Folding

If $z$ is an eigenvector of $A$ belonging to eigenvalue $\lambda$ then it must also be an eigenvector of $\tilde{A}=(A-\Lambda)^{2}$ with eigenvalue $\tilde{A} z=\tilde{\lambda} z=(\lambda-\Lambda)^{2} z$.
(1) The eigenvalues of $A$ are plotted along the $x$-axis and those of $\tilde{A}$ along the $\tilde{x}$-axis.
(2) Under the map $A \mapsto(A-\Lambda)^{2}$ the eigenvalues of $A$ are lifted onto the red parabola.
(3) Here the shift $\Lambda=\lambda_{4}$, so $\lambda_{4} \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}_{1}=0$.
(9) Since we label both sets of eigenvalues in increasing order the eigenvalue $\lambda_{j} \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}_{\pi_{j}}$ : for example $\pi_{2}=3$ so $\lambda_{2} \mapsto \tilde{\lambda}_{3}$.
(5) Note that in this example the eigenvalues $\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{5}$ of $A$ both map to the same eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_{3}$ of $\tilde{A}$.


## Relationship between $\mathcal{K}_{d}(\tilde{A})$ and $\mathcal{K}_{2 d}(A)$



If we take $\Lambda$ to be the desired eigenvalue of $A$ then
(1) The vector $u=\tilde{p}(\tilde{A}) v \in \mathcal{K}_{d}(\tilde{A})$ that approximates the eigenvector $\tilde{z}_{1}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}=0$ in the folded spectrum also approximates $z_{\pi^{-1}(1)}=\tilde{z}_{1}$ of $A$ with eigenvalue $\Lambda$.
(2) Moreover $u \in \mathcal{K}_{d}(\tilde{A}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{2 d-1}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{2 d}(A)$, since any polynomial $\tilde{p}$ of degree $d-1$ in the variable $(x-\Lambda)^{2}$ is also a polynomial $p$ of degree $2 d-2$ in the variable $x$, where $\tilde{p}\left(x^{2}\right)=p(x)$.
(3) It follows that $A u \in \mathcal{K}_{2 d}(A)$.

## Rayleigh Quotient for Kaniel vector $u$ for $\tilde{A}$ in $\mathcal{K}_{2 d}(A)$



- Since $(A-\Lambda) \hat{u} \in \mathcal{K}_{2 d}(A)$ we may expand it in the complete orthonormal basis of Ritz vectors $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{2 d}$ of $\mathcal{K}_{2 d}(A)$ with corresponding Ritz values $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{2 d}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho(\tilde{A}, u) & =\rho\left((A-\Lambda)^{2}, \hat{u}\right)=\left(\hat{u},(A-\Lambda)^{2} \hat{u}\right)=((A-\Lambda) \hat{u},(A-\Lambda) \hat{u}) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2 d} \sum_{j=1}^{2 d}\left((A-\Lambda) \hat{u}, y_{i}\right)\left(y_{i}, y_{j}\right)\left(y_{j},(A-\Lambda) \hat{u}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{2 d} \sum_{j=1}^{2 d}\left(\hat{u},(A-\Lambda) y_{i}\right) \delta_{i j}\left((A-\Lambda) y_{j}, \hat{u}\right)=\sum_{j-1}^{2 d}\left(\theta_{j}-\Lambda\right)^{2}\left|\left(y_{j}, \hat{u}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{2 d} \tilde{\theta}_{\pi_{j}}\left|\left(y_{j}, \hat{u}\right)\right|^{2} \geq \tilde{\theta}_{1}\|\hat{u}\|^{2}=\left(\theta_{\pi^{-1}(1)}-\Lambda\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\theta_{\pi^{-1}(1)}$ is the Ritz value closest to $\Lambda$, which may not be unique.


## Interior Eigenvalue Bounds

- The (extremal) Kaniel bound for $u=\tilde{p}(\tilde{A}) v$ is

$$
\rho(\tilde{A}, u) \leq \frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{N}^{\prime}}}{\tilde{p}(0)^{2}}\left(\tan \angle_{\tilde{z}_{1}, v}\right)^{2}
$$

since $\tilde{\lambda}_{1}=0$.

- Hence we obtain the bound on the (interior) Ritz value $\theta$ of $A$ that is closest to $\Lambda$

$$
|\theta-\Lambda| \leq \sqrt{\rho(\tilde{A}, u)} \leq\left|\frac{(\tilde{\lambda}-\Lambda) \tan \angle_{\tilde{z}_{1}, v}}{\tilde{p}(0)}\right|
$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}=\lambda_{\pi^{-1}\left(\tilde{N}^{\prime}\right)}$ is the eigenvalue of $A$ furthest from $\Lambda$ (i.e., $\left.\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{N}^{\prime}}=(\tilde{\lambda}-\Lambda)^{2}\right)$, which again may not be unique.

- This bound behaves as $\tilde{p}(0)^{-1}$ for $2 d-1$ applications of $A$, as opposed to $p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{-2}$ for $d$ applications of $A$ in the extremal case; nevertheless it establishes exponential convergence in $d$.


## Eigenvector Bounds

- It might seem desirable to have an a priori bound on the angle between the eigenvector $z$ belonging to eigenvalue $\lambda$ and the Ritz vector $y$ belonging to the Ritz value $\theta$ closest to $\lambda$.
- However, such a bound is often unsatisfactory, especially in the interior of the spectrum, because such a $y$ and $z$ may be completely unrelated.
- A simple example of this phenomenon occurs when the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ is symmetric about zero but does not contain zero, and the initial vector $v$ respects this symmetry. Under these conditions all odd-dimensional Krylov spaces $\mathcal{K}_{2 d+1}(A)$ possess a vanishing Ritz value whose Ritz vector approximates no eigenvector of $A$.
- Even for extremal eigenpairs such bounds can be very poor. For example, if $\lambda_{1} \approx \lambda_{2}$ and $\left(v, z_{1}\right) \approx\left(v, z_{2}\right)$ then the eigenvectors $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in $\mathcal{K}_{N^{\prime}}(A)$ are orthogonal when $\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}=\varepsilon>0$, but collapse onto a single eigenvector for $\varepsilon=0$.
- We therefore derive an a priori bound on the angle $\angle_{z, \mathcal{Y}}$ between the eigenvector $z$ and the subspace $\mathcal{Y}=\operatorname{span}\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ corresponding to all the Ritz vectors with nearby Ritz values $\left|\theta_{j}-\lambda\right|<\Delta$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, k$ where $\Delta$ is a free parameter.


## Eigensubspace Bounds

- We probably will not have time to go through the details of the calculation, but the result is that for the extremal eigenvector $z_{1}$ we obtain

$$
\left|\angle_{z_{1}, \mathcal{Y}}\right| \leq \pi\left|\frac{\tan \angle_{z_{1}, v}}{p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}\right|\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{N^{\prime}}-\lambda_{1}}{\Delta}}\right)
$$

where all the angles are assumed to be smaller than $\pi / 2$.

- For an interior eigenvector $\tilde{z}_{1}$ the corresponding formula is very similar

$$
\left|\angle_{\tilde{z}_{1}, \mathcal{y}}\right| \leq \pi\left|\frac{\tan \angle_{\tilde{z}_{1}, v}}{\tilde{p}(0)}\right|\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{\tilde{N}^{\prime}}}{\Delta}}\right) .
$$

- The geometric situation underlying the proof is illustrated in the following diagram.
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## Proof of Extremal Eigenspace Bounds

- For any unit vectors $x$ and $y$ we have

$$
\|x-y\|^{2}=(x-y, x-y)=2(1-(x, y))=2\left(1-\cos \angle_{x, y}\right)=\left(2 \sin \frac{1}{2} \angle_{x, y}\right)^{2}
$$

- The angle $\angle_{z_{1}, \mathcal{Y}}$ between the vector $z_{1}$ and the subspace $\mathcal{Y}$ is defined to be the angle between $z_{1}$ and the closest unit vector $z_{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathcal{Y}$, so we have $\left\|z_{1}-z_{\mathcal{Y}}\right\|=\min _{y \in \mathcal{Y}}\left\|z_{1}-y\right\|$.
- Thus we have

$$
\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \angle z_{1}, \mathcal{y}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{1}-z_{y}\right\| .
$$

- Likewise, the nearest vector $u_{y} \in \mathcal{Y}$ to the Kaniel vector $u$ satisfies

$$
\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} L_{u, \mathcal{Y}}\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left\|\hat{u}-u_{\mathcal{Y}}\right\|=\frac{1}{2} \min _{y \in \mathcal{Y}}\|\hat{u}-y\| .
$$

- As $u_{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathcal{Y}$ we must have

$$
\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \angle_{z_{1}}, \mathcal{Y}\right|=\frac{1}{2} \min _{y \in \mathcal{Y}}\left\|z_{1}-y\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\|z_{1}-u_{y}\right\|
$$

and since $\left\|z_{1}-u_{\mathcal{Y}}\right\|=\left\|z_{1}-u+u-u_{\mathcal{Y}}\right\| \leq\left\|z_{1}-u\right\|+\left\|u-u_{\mathcal{Y}}\right\|$ by the triangle inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \angle_{z_{1}, \mathcal{Y}}\right| \leq\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \angle_{z_{1}, u}\right|+\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \angle_{u, \mathcal{Y}}\right| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Extremal Bound on $\angle_{z_{1}, u}$

- Consider the ratio of the magnitude of the component $u_{\perp}$ of $u$ perpendicular to $z_{1}$ to that of the component $u_{\|}$parallel to it,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\tan \angle_{z_{1}, u}\right)^{2} & =\frac{\left\|u_{\perp}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|u_{\|}\right\|^{2}}=\frac{\left\|u-\left(u, z_{1}\right) z_{1}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\left(u, z_{1}\right) z_{1}\right\|^{2}}=\frac{\sum_{i=2}^{N^{\prime}}\left|\left(u, z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|\left(u, z_{1}\right)\right|^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=2}^{N^{\prime}}\left|\left(p(A) v, z_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \sum_{i=2}^{N^{\prime}}\left|\left(v, z_{i}\right) p\left(\lambda_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|\left(p(A) v, z_{1}\right)\right|^{2}}=\frac{\sum_{i=2}^{N^{\prime}}\left|\left(v, z_{i}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left|\left(v, z_{1}\right) p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)\right|^{2}} \leq \frac{\left(\tan \angle_{z_{1}, v}\right)^{2}}{\left|\left(v, z_{1}\right)\right|^{2} p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{\left\|z_{\perp}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|z_{\|}\right\|^{2} p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}}=\frac{\left(\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}}{}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tan \angle_{z_{1}, \nu}\right| \leq\left|\frac{\tan \angle_{z_{1}, v}}{p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Extremal Bound on $\angle_{u, \mathcal{Y}}$

- Choose $k$ such that $\theta_{k}-\lambda_{1}<\Delta<\theta_{k+1}-\lambda_{1}$.
- The Rayleigh quotient is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(A-\lambda_{1}, u\right) & =\left(\hat{u},\left(A-\lambda_{1}\right) \hat{u}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{d}\left(\theta_{j}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left|\left(\hat{u}, y_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \geq \sum_{j=k+1}^{d}\left(\theta_{j}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left|\left(\hat{u}, y_{j}\right)\right|^{2} \geq\left(\theta_{k+1}-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\left(\hat{u}, y_{j}\right)\right|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Since $\left(\cos \angle_{u, \mathcal{Y}}\right)^{2}=\left|\left(\hat{u}, \hat{u}_{y}\right)\right|^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left|\left(\hat{u}, y_{j}\right)\right|^{2}$ we have

$$
\rho\left(A-\lambda_{1}, u\right)>\Delta\left(\sin \angle_{u, \mathcal{y}}\right)^{2}
$$

- Hence, making use of equation (1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sin \angle_{u, \mathcal{Y}}\right)^{2}<\frac{\rho\left(A-\lambda_{1}, u\right)}{\Delta} \leq \frac{\lambda_{N^{\prime}}-\lambda_{1}}{\Delta}\left|\frac{\tan \angle_{z_{1}, v}}{p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}\right|^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Trigonometric Inequalities



We will make use of the following trivial inequalities
(1) For $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ we have

$$
\tan x=\frac{2 \sin \frac{x}{2} \cos \frac{x}{2}}{\left(\cos \frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\sin \frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{2 \tan \frac{x}{2}}{1-\left(\tan \frac{x}{2}\right)^{2}} \geq 2 \tan \frac{x}{2} \geq 2 \sin \frac{x}{2}
$$

(2) Moreover, for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{2 \pi}{3}$ we have

$$
\sin x=2 \sin \frac{x}{2} \cos \frac{x}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \cos \frac{x}{2} \leq 1 \Longrightarrow \sin \frac{x}{2} \leq \sin x \leq 2 \sin \frac{x}{2}
$$

(3) Furthermore, for $0 \leq x \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ we have $\frac{2 x}{\pi} \leq \sin x \leq x$.

## Bound on $\angle_{z_{1}, \mathcal{Y}}$

- Combining the bounds of equations (2), (3), and (4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\angle_{z_{1}, \mathcal{Y}}\right| & \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\left|\sin \angle_{z_{1}, \mathcal{Y}}\right| \leq \pi\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \angle_{z_{1}, \mathcal{Y}}\right| \leq \pi\left(\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \angle_{z_{1}, u}\right|+\left|\sin \frac{1}{2} \angle_{u, \mathcal{Y}}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \pi\left(\left|\frac{1}{2} \tan \angle_{z_{1}, u}\right|+\left|\sin \angle_{u, \mathcal{y}}\right|\right) \leq \pi\left|\frac{\tan \angle_{z_{1}, v}}{p\left(\lambda_{1}\right)}\right|\left(\frac{1}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{N^{\prime}}-\lambda_{1}}{\Delta}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where all the angles are assumed to be smaller than $\pi / 2$.

- The proof of the bounds given earlier for interior eigenvectors is quite similar.


## Numerical Example



## Convergence of Ritz values for matrix $A$ whose spectrum is shown on the right.

(1) The plot shows the logarithm of the magnitude of the difference between each Ritz value and the nearest eigenvalue of $A$ as a function of the dimension $n$ of the Krylov space.
(2) The initial vector for the Krylov spaces was taken to have exactly equal overlap with each eigenvector.
(3) The black "bands" in the spectrum are equally-spaced eigenvalues with a spacing of 0.05 . The smallest eigenvalue is $\lambda_{46}=-13.2$.
4 The squares show the errors for the actual Ritz values, and their colour indicates the nearest eigenvalue.
(5) The solid lines are the bounds from the shifted and squared matrix $A^{\prime}$ with shifts $\Lambda$ chosen to give the smallest bound for an error of $10^{-8}$, while the dashed lines are the original Kaniel-Paige-Saad bounds.
6
The shifts used were $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$ for $\lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda=0.45$ for $\lambda_{23}, \lambda_{24}$, and $\lambda_{25}$.
(7) The solid line for $\lambda_{24}$ lies under that for $\lambda_{25}$ this is because they are equidistant from the shift value.
(8)

The Ritz values coincide with the eigenvalues for $n=\operatorname{dim} A=46$


## Lánczos Algorithm with Selective Orthogonalization



This algorithm is described in Parlett's book.

- The principal difficult in finding eigenpairs using the Lánczos algorithm is that eigenpairs appear multiple times due to rounding errors.
- Paige observed that eigenpairs reappear when they are well-approximated in the Krylov space: we call these good eigenpairs.
- Parlett and Scott introduced the Lánczos algorithm with Selective Orthogonalization (LANSO) to eliminate the spurious eigenpairs by explicitly reorthogonalizing the Lánczos vectors with respect to all previously found good eigenvectors.


## Lánczos Algorithm with Partial Selective Orthogonalization

The details of this algorithm and its implementation were presented by Chris Johnson at the previous QCD\&NA workshop, so we shall just provide the briefest of summaries here.

- As we are interested in eigenpairs with eigenvalues in a given small region of the spectrum we just reorthogonalize with respect to good eigenvectors whose eigenvalues lie in this region.
- We may easily find such good eigenvectors by using appropriate shifts in the QR algorithm to find candidate Ritz pairs $\left(\theta_{j}, s_{j}\right)$, and selecting those whose final components are smaller than $\sqrt{\epsilon}$ where $\epsilon$ is the unit of least precision (ULP) in floating point arithmetic (i.e., $\epsilon$ is the smallest floating point number such that $1 \oplus \epsilon>1$.


## Remarks and Conclusions

- In principle Krylov space methods only allow us to compute a single eigenvector belonging to a degenerate eigenvalue.
- In practice they give all the eigenvectors with the correct multiplicity thanks to rounding errors.
- We have shown why eigenpairs in low density regions of the spectrum are well-approximated by Ritz vectors in Krylov spaces.
- This result extends the well-known results for extremal eigenpairs.
- We have shown that the subspace of Ritz vectors with Ritz values close to an eigenvalue contains a good approximation to the corresponding eigenvector.
- Such subspace bounds are better behaved that eigenvector bounds even for extermal eigenpairs.
- This work was carried out in collaboration with Chris Johnson (EPCC).

