



Searching for Low-Mass Resonances at the LHC (and beyond)

Guglielmo Coloretti University of Zurich and Paul Scherrer Institut

Structure of the talk

1. Motivations

- 2. WW leptonic excess at 95 GeV
- 3. $\gamma\gamma$ excess at 95 GeV
- 4. Scalar $SU(2)_L$ real triplet as a NP candidate
- 5. CEPC foreseen studies

Overview and Motivations

- Multi-lepton anomalies (MLA) are excess related to muon and electrons as final states
- MLA are rising more and more at the LHC and motivates the existence of new scalars at the weak scale
- Low mass energy range still not-sowell scrutinized at the LHC
- In addition: 152 GeV (and 95 GeV) in γγ, ττ, WW and bb channels



2306.17209v1: Bhattachara, G.C., Crivellin, Mellado

WW to leptons

based on 2302.07276: G.C., Crivellin, Bhattacharya, Mellado

- MLA suggests decays of scalar resonance to a pair of W bosons
- No available dedicated resonant BSM searches for $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow WW$ with full luminosity and scanning down to 95 GeV for a scalar H
- CMS and ATLAS analyses available for SM higgs in $gg \rightarrow h \rightarrow WW$ with full luminosity 135 fb^{-1}

We re-cast CMS and ATLAS SM Higgs analyses to search **for new scalars**

SM WW searches

Uncertainty

H_{VBF}

Н Other

Data

CATEGORY

1. 0-jets

- 2. Gluon fusion (ggH)
- Different flavour opposite 3. sign (DFOS) lepton pair





Guglielmo Coloretti (UZH and PSI)

4000F

ATLAS

3500 - √s = 13 TeV, 139 fb⁻¹

10 GeV

Simulation

HEP tools:

- → MadGraph5_aMC@NLO
- → Pythia8 (showering)
- → Delphes (detector)



- Limitations of fast simulation
 - → SM-simulation VS ATLAS one
 - → Smearing and shifts
 - → Corrected for efficiency (energy dependence)
 - → Corrected for QCD NNLO effect in production cross section



Results

- Observed limit is weaker than the expected one over the whole range (**preference for BSM contribution**)
- Allowed cross section is largest around 95 GeV





- Global significance is only below \approx 2 σ
- Considering the existing hints for a scalar at 95 GeV i.e. removing the look-elsewhere effect → significance of >~ 2.5 σ.

۲

$\gamma\gamma$ signal at 95 GeV

based on 2306.15722: Ashanujjaman, Banik, G.C, Crivellin, Mellado, Mulaudzi

- Recent CMS and ATLAS excess at 95 GeV in $\gamma\gamma$
- Already existing hint at LEP in *bb*
- Excess in $H \rightarrow WW$ but not in $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$



Possible solution: scalar $SU(2)_L$ real triplet?

The model: ΔSM

Real scalar $SU(2)_L$ triplet with Y = 0

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^0 & \sqrt{2}\delta^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\delta^- & -\delta^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \delta^0 \rangle = v_\Delta$$

 $\begin{array}{c|c} SU_c(3) & SU_L(2) & U_Y(1) \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{array}$

Living in the adjoint rep. of SU(2), it couples only to WW and WZ and not to ZZ (at least at tree level and for no mixing with the SM higgs)

Two new mass eigenstates:

- Neutral CP-even scalar H
- Charged Higgs **H**[±]
- Small mass splitting (of the same order of the vev of the triplet v_{Δ})

Choice of independent parameters:

- M_H , $M_{H^{\pm}}$: masses of H, H^{\pm}
- v_{Δ} : vacuum expectation value of the triplet
- α : CP-even mixing angle (H h)

W mass

• Since the triplet Δ does not couple to ZZ, it only produces a **shift in the W mass**, proportional to the vacuum expectation of the neutral component v_{Δ}

With a value for v_{Δ} of about few GeV:

- Explanation of the tension between SM prediction and world average measurement of the W mass (≈ 2.2 σ)
- Tension rises when including CDF II measurement (≈ 3.7 σ)

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^0 & \sqrt{2}\delta^+ \\ \sqrt{2}\delta^- & -\delta^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \delta^0 \rangle = v_\Delta$$

Living in the adjoint rep. of SU(2), it naturally couples to WW and not to ZZ (at least at tree level and for no mixing with the SM higgs)

$$\begin{split} M_Z^2 &= \frac{g_2^2 + g_1^2}{4} v^2 = M_{Z(SM)}^2 \\ M_W^2 &= \frac{g_2^2}{4} \left(v^2 + 4 v_{\Delta}^2 \right) = M_{W(SM)}^2 + g_2^2 v_{\Delta}^2 \end{split}$$

DY production

- Drell-Yan production leading to the γγ excess (in addition to gluon fusion (GF) via mixing with the SM higgs)
- Br[$H
 ightarrow \gamma \gamma$] sizable as a function of the mixing CP-even angle lpha and the mass splitting $H^{\pm} H$
- Although H → γγ produced in association with H[±] → jets, the signal does not fall in the vector boson fusion (VBF) category (due to the angular distributions of the jets)



Predictions for p_T of $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

- *H* produced in association with H^{\pm} in the Δ SM: $pp \rightarrow H^{\pm} (H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$
- p_T spectrum not gluon fusion (GF) like: $pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$
- p_T spectrum not VH like: $pp \rightarrow V (H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$
- Model built at NLO in QCD with Feynrules
- Signals generated at NLO in QCD via MadGraph5_aMC@NLO with CMS cuts
- Shape of p_T of the photon pair with strong predictivity



Results



Hints:

- $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ at 95 GeV (CMS and ATLAS)
- $Z + (H \rightarrow bb)$ at 95 GeV (LEP)
- W mass (CDF II)

Constraints:

- SM Br[$h \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$]
- SM Br[$h \rightarrow ZZ$]
- Perturbative unitarity
- Vacuum stability

Allowed red region respects constraints and give reason to the measured hints

Limits Br[$H^{\pm} \approx 95$ GeV]

- Drell-Yan production of H^{\pm}
- Same signature as stau decays
- Recasting of the stau searches
- Br $[H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \nu] \approx 60\%$, borderline with existent CMS and ATLAS stau search limits





Reduction of $Br[H^{\pm} \rightarrow \tau \nu]$

- Enhancement of the mass splitting (requires care: perturbative unitarity and vacuum stability)
- Although $M_{H^{\pm}} \approx M_{H}$, opening of the channel $H^{\pm} \rightarrow HW^{*}$
- Reducing the decay rate of H^{\pm} to $\tau \nu$
- Alternative solution: Vector Like Quarks to enhance $H^{\pm} \rightarrow cs$



CEPC prospects

- Mass of H[±] and H would lie around 100 GeV
- LHC suffers from pile-up at these energy scales (especially after the high-lumi upgrade)
- CEPC with e⁺e⁻ could measure the properties of the ΔSM with unprecedent precision
- Necessary precise determination of the branching ratios for the charged H[±]



Conclusions and outlook

- We re-casted CMS and ATLAS searches of a SM-scalar decaying to WW finding hints for new physic resonances decaying to WW (around 95 GeV and 150 GeV)
- We **minimally extended the SM** with a scalar $SU(2)_L$ real triplet to account for the 95 GeV excess (*WW* and $\gamma\gamma$) with the following **predictions**:
 - 1. Positive shift in the *W* mass (CDF II)
 - 2. $\gamma\gamma$ produced in association with leptons and jets (not vector boson fusion like)
 - 3. p_T of $\gamma\gamma$ has spectrum different from gluon fusion and VH
 - 4. A low mass charged higgs H^+ (study-case at CEPC) leading to a stau-like excess in LHC Run 3
- Potentially studied at the LHC and more precisely at the CEPC
- 3W and 4W signals to be scrutinize as further constraints

Thanks for the attention!

Back-up slides

Statistical analyses

covariance matrix(statistic and systematic)

• Significance computed via a χ^2 test $\chi^2 = [N_i^{\text{data}} - N_i^{\text{theory}}] \sum_{ij}^{-1} [N_j^{\text{data}} - N_j^{\text{theory}}]$

 $N_i^{\text{theory}} = p_{\text{BKG}}(N_i^{\text{SM}} + N_i^{\text{BKG}}) + p_{\text{BSM}}N_i^{\text{BSM}}$

BSM signal

SM signal

CMS re-fit the background and SM-signal: we can therefore either float this contribution or take the nominal values of the paper

$$N_i^{\rm theory} = p_{\rm BKG} (N_i^{\rm SM} + N_i^{\rm BKG})$$

$$N_i^{\text{theory}} = N_i^{\text{SM}} + N_i^{\text{BKG}}$$

\rightarrow both cases included in the fit

• BSM signal strength w.r.t. SM: $\mu_{BSM} = \frac{\sigma[pp \to H \to WW^{(*)} \to \ell^+ \bar{\nu} \ell^- \nu]}{\sigma[pp \to h \to WW^* \to \ell^+ \bar{\nu} \ell^- \nu]}$

BSM signal strength @ 95 and 150

$m_H = 95 \mathrm{GeV}$	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}<20}$	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}>20}$	$\mu_{ m BSM}$	$\chi^2_{ m BSM}$	$\chi^{2, \rm re-fit}_{\rm SM}$	$\sigma^{ m re-fit}$	$\chi^2_{ m SM}$	σ
ATLAS			0.7	49.0	57.7	3.0	57.7	3.0
$CMS \ p_{T2} < 20 GeV$	1.01		0.0	5.5	5.5	0.0	6.8	1.2
CMS $p_{T2} > 20 \mathrm{GeV}$		1.01	-3.5	6.2	9.0	-	9.1	-
Combined Fit	1.00	1.00	0.5	65.4	72.2	2.6	73.3	2.8
$m_H = 150 \mathrm{GeV}$	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}<20}$	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}>20}$	$\mu_{ m BSM}$	$\chi^2_{ m BSM}$	$\chi^{2, { m re-fit}}_{ m SM}$	$\sigma^{ m re-fit}$	$\chi^2_{ m SM}$	σ
$m_H = 150 \mathrm{GeV}$ ATLAS	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}<20}$	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}>20}$	$\mu_{\rm BSM}$ 0.1	$\chi^2_{ m BSM}$ 54.5	$\frac{\chi^{2,\text{re-fit}}_{\text{SM}}}{57.7}$	$\sigma^{ m re-fit}$ 1.8	$\chi^2_{ m SM}$ 57.7	σ 1.8
$m_H = 150 \text{GeV}$ ATLAS CMS $p_{T2} < 20 \text{GeV}$	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}<20}$ 0.97	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}>20}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mu_{\rm BSM} \\ 0.1 \\ 0.6 \end{array}$	$\frac{\chi^2_{\rm BSM}}{54.5}$ 1.5	$\begin{array}{c} \chi^{2,\mathrm{re-fit}}_{\mathrm{SM}} \\ 57.7 \\ 5.5 \end{array}$	$ \sigma^{\text{re-fit}} 1.8 2.0 $	$\chi^2_{\rm SM}$ 57.7 6.8	σ 1.8 2.3
$m_H = 150 \text{GeV}$ ATLAS CMS $p_{T2} < 20 \text{GeV}$ CMS $p_{T2} > 20 \text{GeV}$	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}<20}$ 0.97	$\mu_{\rm BKG}^{p_{T2}>20}$ 0.99	μ _{BSM} 0.1 0.6 0.2	$\chi^2_{\rm BSM}$ 54.5 1.5 8.0	$\chi^{2, \text{re-fit}}_{\text{SM}}$ 57.7 5.5 9.0	$ \sigma^{\text{re-fit}} 1.8 2.0 1.0 $	$\chi^2_{\rm SM}$ 57.7 6.8 9.1	σ 1.8 2.3 1.0

TABLE I. Fit results for the two cases $m_H = 95 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_H = 150 \text{ GeV}$, motivated by the existing hints for new scalars at the LHC. Note that the sizable value of $\mu_{\rm BSM}$ in the CMS $p_T > 20 \,{\rm GeV}$ category for the 95 GeV case is due to the very small efficiency. Guglielmo Coloretti (UZH and PSI)

Simulation efficiency



Uncertainties

ATLAS

- ATLAS scaled SM theory prediction by 1.21
- Strong anti-correlations among the different background signals (including the SM Higgs)
- Mis-Id background is least correlated and the total uncertainty matches total one
 → Mis-Id uncertainty chosen as the total experimental systematic uncertainty
- Theory uncertainty (systematic):
 7% uncertainty on the SM Higgs signal

CMS

- CMS uses a combined fit to signal and background to account for systematic uncertainties
 - \rightarrow re-fit background (including SM signal) when including new physics

Theory uncertainty (systematic):
 7% uncertainty on the SM Higgs signal

Systematics uncertainties correlations included

Br[H] at 95 GeV

XX:
$$-b\bar{b} - c\bar{c} - \tau\bar{\tau} - gg - \gamma\gamma - WW$$



- Plot for same masses $H^{\pm} H$
- Dependence on mass splitting $H^{\pm} H$

3/4. $\gamma\gamma$ and ΔSM

- Sizable $\gamma\gamma$ branching ratio due to H^{\pm} loop
- Bounds due to same effects in branching ratios of SM-h to $\gamma\gamma$
- Dependence on mass splitting $H^{\pm} H$

