Progress of the Glass Scintillator Calorimeter



Sen QIAN

qians@ihep.ac.cn; On Behalf of the GS R&D Group

The Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS

2023. July. 3rd-7th, The 2023 International Workshop on Circular Electron PositronCollider (European Edition)

The 4th Conceptual Detector Design



Scint Glass PFA HCAL

Advantage: Cost efficient, high density Challenges: Light yield, transparency, massive production.

- Further performance goal: BMR $4\% \rightarrow 3\%$
- Dominant factors in BMR: charged hadron fragments & HCAL resolution
 - Higher density provides higher energy sampling fraction
 - Doping with neutron-sensitive elements: improve hadronic response (Gd)
 - More compact HCAL layout (given 4~7 nuclear interaction lengths in depth)



I. The Status of the GS Group;

2. The Simulation for GS Detector

3. The Progress of the GS Production;

4. Summary and Next Plan

1.0 What is the Glass Scintillator?

HND-S2 BC418		
Plastic Scintillator	Glass Scintillator	Crystal Scintillator
High light yield 🛛 📩 📩	High light yield 🔸	High light yield 🛛 🔶 📩 📩
Fast decay 📩 📩 📩	Fast decay 🔶 📩	Fast decay 📩 📩
Low cost $\star \star \star$	Low cost $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$	Low cost 🔶
Large Density 📩 📩	Large Density 🛛 📩 📩	Large Density 🛛 📩 📩 📩
Energy resolution 🗧 📩	Energy resolution 🛛 📩 📩	Energy resolution $\Rightarrow \Rightarrow \Rightarrow$
Large size 🔶 📩 📩	Large size 🔶 📩 📩	Large size 📩

1.1. The Design of the Glass Scintillator



High Light Yield: Lanthanide for the Luminescence Center: Cerium (Ce);
 High Density and Low radioactivity background: Gadolinium (Gd);

1.2 Large Area Glass Scintillator Collaboration



Institute of High Energy Physics, CAS 中国科学院高能物理研究所

Jinggangshan University 井冈山大学

Beijing Glass Research Institute 北京玻璃研究院

China Building Materials Academy 中国建筑材料研究院

China Jiliang University 中国计量大学

Harbin Engineering University 哈尔滨工程大学

Harbin Institute of Technology 哈尔滨工业大学

Sichuan University 四川大学

Shanghai Institute of Ceramics, CAS 中国科学院上海硅酸盐研究所

Shanghai Institute of Optics and Fine Mechanics, 中国科学院上海光学精密机械研究所

CNNC Beijing Unclear Instrument Factory 中核(北京)核仪器有限责任公司



Glass Scintillator Collaboration

- -- The Glass Scintillator Collaboration Group established in Oct.2021, only 5 groups join together;
- -- There are 3 Institutes of CAS, 5 Universitys, 3 Factorys join us for the R&D of GS;
- -- The Experts of the GS in the University, Institute and Industry are still welcomed to join us (qians@ihep.ac.cn).

SIOM

BORI

VAR

CBMA

6

1.3 The Scintillator Test Facilities

> The Scintillator Test System





- Spectroscopy: Transmission/Absorption, PL-PLE, XEL
- Nuclear radiation detection: Light yield, Energy resolution. MIP response, n/γ Discrimination
- Time characteristics: Rise time, Decay time, Afterglow, Coincidence time resolution
 - Reliability: Aging test, Radiation resistance characteristics



The published papers of different Scintillator sample tested in Lab

- 1.Optical Materials; 105 109964; 2020; GAGG
- 2.Optical Materials; 125 112102; 2022; Sn-doped glass
- 3.Optical Materials; 130 112585; 2022; Aluminoborosilicate glass
- 4. Journal of Instrumentation; 17 T08001; 2022; CLLB
- 5. Journal of Instrumentation; 17 T09010; 2022; LYSO

9 10 11 1

Radioactive Sources Test -- Energy Spectrum





- In IHEP Radioactive Sources Station;
- gamma: 137Cs, 60Co, 133Ba,
- neutron: 252Cf, Am-Be
- electron: 90Sr, 22Na

Through the waveform sampling data acquisition system, we can obtain Light Yield, Energy Resolution and Decay Time of the scintillator.

γ/n Energy Spectra



> γ/n Decay Time



Special Condition TEST Platform

➢ IHEP--XAFS



Study the elements influence of GS sample



➢ IHEP-CSN-- P Beam

Study the anti-irradiation characteristics of samples;



CERN-MUON beam



Study the particle interaction in GS sample with MUON





I. The Status of the GS Group;

2. The Simulation for GS Detector;

3. The Progress of the GS Production;

4. Summary and Next Plan

2.1 The Simulation for the Standalone

- Geometry: refer to Scintillator-Steel AHCAL (CEPC CDR baseline)
 - Replace plastic scintillator with glass scintillator
 - Nuclear interaction length (NIL) of glass and steel: 0.124 lambda for each layer (same as 3 mm PS + 20 mm Steel)
 - Glass tile transverse size: 30mm × 30mm
 - Glass tile thickness: 10 mm (target)
- Scintillator glass
 - Composition: Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺
 - density: 6 g/cm³ (nominal)
 - NIL: 22.4 cm
 - MIP Edep: 6.85 MeV/cm
- Energy threshold: 0.1 MIP



Event display



HCAL module and layer structure

CEPC AHCAL prototype schematics



- By varying <u>#layers/transverse size</u> of GSHCAL, to study the impact of <u>energy leakage</u> to energy resolution;
- By varying <u>#thickness(glass scintillator tiles and steel plates)</u>, to study the impact of <u>sampling fraction</u> to energy resolution;
- By varying <u>#glass scintillator density</u>: 3 to 7 g/cm³, to study the impact of glass density to energy resolution;
- By varying <u>#transverse size</u> of glass scintillator tile: 1X1 cm² to 5X5 cm², to study the impact of tile transverse size to energy resolution;

Preliminary performance comparison:

- Same tile transverse size: 30×30 mm³
 - The number of layers: 40
 - Glass thickness: 10 mm
 - Glass density: 6 g/cm³
 - Energy threshold: 0.1 MIP

➢ GS-HCAL has a better hadronic energy resolution for jet components, since most particles in jets are below 10 GeV

2.2 PFA performance simulation for Glass Scintillator

- Based on CEPCSoft framework and CEPC_v4 design, but replacing the SDHCAL with glass scintillator/steel HCAL
- Primaries input: 240 GeV e+e- \rightarrow nu+nu_bar+H (H \rightarrow gg)
- GS material parameters: as shown in right figure
- Edep threshold in glass cell was set to 0.1 MIP
- Edep in each sampling layer of HCAL was based on sampling fraction f and calibration coefficient k (i.e. Edep_{layer}= $k \times Edep_{GS}/f$)
- Selection Cut: Pt_ISR<1 GeV && Pt_neutrino<1 GeV && |Cos(Theta_Jet)|<0.8

	Composition	Density (g/cm ³)	MIP Edep (MeV/mm)	NIL (mm/λ)
Simu-GS1	Gd-B-Si-Ge-Ce ³⁺	1	0.115	1226.5
Simu-GS2	Gd-B-Si-Ge-Ce ³⁺	3	0.331	476.6
Simu-GS3	Gd-B-Si-Ge-Ce ³⁺	5	0.573	286.0
Simu-GS4	Gd-B-Si-Ge-Ce ³⁺	6	0.695	238.3
Simu-GS5	Gd-B-Si-Ge-Ce ³⁺	8	0.94	178.7
Simu-GS6	Gd-B-Si-Ge-Ce ³⁺	10	1.188	143.0



D BMR vs Nuclear Interaction Length

BMR vs Number of Layers

- The BMR is subjected to shower leakage and sampling fraction when varying the total nuclear interaction length of the GSHCAL.
- > The BMR is dominated separately by shower leakage (<6 λ) and sampling fraction (>6 λ);
- > A total NIL of 6 λ will be chosen for current design to obtain a optimal BMR.

- The increase of sampling layers will improve the sampling frequency and sampling fraction, which is beneficial to achieve a better BMR.
- 40 sampling layers will be chosen for current design, considering the BMR improvement provided by more sampling layers is not significant and the number of readout channels is in a reasonable level.

BMR vs Transverse Cell Size

BMR vs Number of Layers

- The transverse size of the glass cell is a very important factor for the granularity and total number of readout channels of the GSHCAL
- Considering the PFA performance and total number of readout channels, a transverse size of 20 mm will be chosen for current design (though the behavior with cell size lower than 20 mm needs a further study)

- A thicker glass cell is conducive to a higher sampling fraction and a better BMR;
- The transmittance and the position response nonuniformity will become worse; besides, the glass thickness will be also limited by the total thickness of the GSHCAL
- > A thickness of 10 mm will be chosen for current design

Comparing nominal GSHCAL with AHCAL

(nominal)	AHCAL	GSHCAL	
of layers	40	40	
ickness	0.124 lambda (3 mm PS+20 mm Steel)	0.15 lambda (10mm GS+Steel)	
luclear n Length	~5 lambda	6 lambda	
e Cell Size	30x30 mm ²	20x20 mm ²	
Material sity	~1 g/cm ³	~6 g/cm ³	
Material Yield	~1e4 ph/MeV	~1e3 ph/MeV	
Material Time	~ 2 ns	~100 ns	
Threshold	0.1 MIP	0.1 MIP	
	(nominal) of layers ickness ickness luclear n Length c Cell Size Material sity Material Yield Material Time	(nominal)AHCALof layers40of layers0.124 lambda (3 mm PS+20 mm Steel)luclear n Length~5 lambdae Cell Size30x30 mm²Material sity~1 g/cm³Material Yield~2 nsMaterial Time~2 ns	

Gaussian Fitting Range: Mean +/- 2 RMS;

- In CEPC_v4 baseline HCAL, the BMR of DHCAL ~3.7%, and of AHCAL ~3.8%;
- By replacing the CEPC_v4 baseline HCAL with the GSHCAL, the BMR can reach ~3.4% in the nominal setup and show ~10% improvement with. the AHCAL baseline design (~3.8%).

Comparing nominal GSHCAL with DHCAL and AHCAL

I. The Status of the GS Group;

2. The Simulation for GS Detector;

3. The Progress of the GS Production;

4. Summary and Next Plan

3.0 The GS Samples produced (>315)

5 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺ glass 42mm*51mm*10mm

HEU: 50+12 (20230406) ↔

CJLU: 61+7 (20221018) ←

JGSU: 70+4 (20230315) ←

BGRI: 40+13 (20230419) ←

CBMA: 39+3(20230328)↩

SIC: 6+5 (20230521) ←

SIOM: 5 (20230517) ←

3.1 Borosilicate Glass (Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺) --GS1

- > There are 4 types of SG for the study, and focous on the GS1, the Borosilicate Glass for better performance;
- Finally, the Density~6.0 g/cm³, LY>1100 ph/MeV, ER=24.4%, could be accept to be the candidate for GS-HCAL
- > But the Decay time = 460 ns, still need to improve.
 - 20

3.2 Large Size Glass (Gd-Ba-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺) --GS1

The Bottleneck:

1. How to produce the large size sample in factory, with the same performance of small size in the university Lab.

2. How to increase the denisty and light yield in large siza sample?

3.3 CERN Muon beam test

- First batch of large-scale glass samples from the Glass Scintillator Collaboration.
- 11 large-scale scintillator glass tiles successfully delivered from IHEP to CERN for the beam test;
- Major motivation: use muon beam to measure MIP response of each glass tile

- Beam test setup
 - 4 tiles with individual SiPM readout
 - 3 glass scintillator tiles and 1 plastic scintillator tile (as reference);
 - Data acquisition using a 4-ch fast oscilloscope (5GS/s)

CALICE-CEPC calorimeter prototypes

Preliminary results with muon beam

- Observed clear MIP signals in all 11 glass samples
 - Various glass tile dimensions: 25-40 mm in length, 5-10mm in thickness
- Preliminary results look promising
 - Typical glass MIP response: 40 70 p.e./MIP
- Also observed other structures in energy spectrum: due to 2-muon incidence

Plastic scintillator: 259 p.e./MIP $(40 \times 40 \times 10 \text{ mm}^3)$

Glass scintillator (#3): 66 p.e./MIP $(29.8 \times 28.1 \times 10.2 \text{ mm}^3)$

Glass scintillator (#10): 74 p.e./MIP ($34.7 \times 35.2 \times 7.4 \text{ mm}^3$)

I. The Status of the GS Group;

2. The Simulation for GS Detector;

3. The Progress of the GS Production;

4. Summary and Next Plan

4.1 Summary of GS

- Ultra-high density **Tellurite Glass**—6.6 g/cm³
- High light yield Glass Ceramic—3400 ph/MeV
- Fast scintillating Decay Time—100 ns
- Large size Glass—42mm*51mm*10mm
- 6.0 g/cm³ & 1070 ph/MeV with 23.8% @662keV &
 465 ns —Gd-Al-B-Si-Ce³⁺ glass;
- The properties of the glasses will be further improved through **raw material purification**;
- to Reduce the scintillation decay time (<100 ns);
- to produce the Large size and mass preparation samples;
- Test the radiation resistance and mechanical properties of the glasses;

4.2. Target of Glass Scintillator

Key parameters	Value Remarks	
Tile size	~40×40 mm ² Reference CALICE-AHCAL, granularity, number of	
Tile thickness	~10 mm	Energy resolution, Uniformity and MIP response
Density	6 g/cm ³	More compact HCAL structure with higher density
Intrinsic light yield	1000-2000 ph/MeV	Uigher intringie IV een telerete levver trongmittenee
Transmittance	~75%	Figher mumsic LY can tolerate lower transmittance
MIP light yield	~150 p.e./MIP	Needs further optimizations: e.g. SiPM-glass coupling
Scintillation decay time	~100 ns	Mitigation pile-up effects at CEPC Z-pole (91 GeV)
Emission spectrum	Typically 350-600 nm	To match SiPM PDE and transmittance spectra

4.3 Summary and Plan of GS-HCAL

- ➢ By replacing the CEPC_v4 baseline HCAL with the GSHCAL , the BMR can reach ~3.4% in the nominal setup and show ~10% improvement with. the AHCAL baseline design (~3.8%);
- 11 glass samples (~ 3x3x0.8 cm³) have been tested with the muon beam in CERN SPS to obtain their MIP responses and most of them can reach above 60 p.e./(MIP*cm);
- The R&D of large-size glass tiles featuring high density, high light yield and short decay time is the main focus of next stage for the Glass Scintillator R&D collaboration;
- More detailed studies like SiPM performances, coupling designs with the glass cell and the photon collection efficiency will be done to give advice for glass tile design;
- > The mechanical and **modular design** of the GSHCAL will be studied later;
- > Apply for the **financial support** for better R&D;

0101110001

See the unseen change the unchanged

N2+H2-714H3

Clatoolol

The Innovation

and clement

The Scintillator data

Туру	Composition	Density (g/cm³)	Light yield (ph/MeV)	Decay time (ns)	Emission peak(nm)	Price/1 c.c (RMB)
Glass Scintillator in Paper	Ce-doped high Gadolinium glass ^[1]	4.37	3460	522	431	~10
	Ce-doped fluoride hafnium glass ^[2]	6.0	2400	23.4	348	150
Plastic Scintillator	BC408 ^[3]	~1.0	5120	2.1	425	60
	BC418 ^[3]	~1.0	5360	1.4	391	80
Crystal	GAGG:Ce ^[4]	6.6	50000	50	560	2400
	LYSO:Ce ^[5]	7.1	30000	40	420	1200
	BGO ^[6]	7.3	8000	300	480	800
Glass Scintillator for CEPC (preliminaryl target)	?	>7	>1000	< 100	350-500	~1
Stuaus of Glass Scintillator	?	>6	>1000	< 200	350-500	~?

[1] Struebing, C. Journal of the American Ceramic Society, 101(3). [2] Zou, W. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids, 184(1), 84-92. [3] Plastic Scintillators | Saint-Gobain Crystals. [4] Zhu, Y. Qian, S. Optical Materials, 105, 109964. [5] Ioannis, G. Nuclear Instruments & Methods in Physics Research. [6] Akapong Phunpueok, et al. Applied Mechanics and Materials, 2020,901:89-94.