Feynman Integrands and Scattering Equations

Amplitudes 2017

Humberto Gomez

July 2017

SCATTERING EQUATIONS AND THE CHY APPROACH.

Since the remarkable work of Witten on the $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang–Mills theory, the on-shell methods for the computation of scattering amplitudes have been deeply studied during the last years. In particular, the Cachazo–He–Yuan (CHY) approach. [Witten-03,Cachazo-He-Yuan-13, Mason, Skinner-13, Berkovits-13].

SCATTERING EQUATIONS AND THE CHY APPROACH.

Since the remarkable work of Witten on the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang–Mills theory, the on-shell methods for the computation of scattering amplitudes have been deeply studied during the last years. In particular, the Cachazo–He–Yuan (CHY) approach. [Witten-03,Cachazo-He-Yuan-13, Mason, Skinner-13, Berkovits-13].

Some Properties (Tree-level).

- It is applicable in arbitrary dimension.
- It can be applied for a large family of interesting theories including scalars, gauge bosons, gravitons and mixing interactions.

Moduli Space

• Let us consider a Sphere with punctures (holes).



Moduli Space

• Let us consider a Sphere with punctures (holes).



Moduli Space

• Let us consider a Sphere with punctures (holes).



 σ_4

SCATTERING EQUATIONS

• One can define the scattering equations as a map from the factorization limits to the boundary of the Moduli Space. For example, by considering four massless particles, i.e. s + t + u = 0, in Φ^3 , [Nima's talk]



SCATTERING EQUATIONS

• One can define the scattering equations as a map from the factorization limits to the boundary of the Moduli Space. For example, by considering four massless particles, i.e. s + t + u = 0, in Φ^3 , [Nima's talk]



SCATTERING EQUATIONS (S.E.)

 $\bullet\,$ In general, the scattering equations are given by [Gross, Mende-87, O.

Schlotterer's talk, Lipstein's talk, L. Mason's talk]

$$E_a = \sum_{b \neq a}^n \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{ab}} = 0, \quad \sigma_{ab} := \sigma_a - \sigma_b, \quad a = 1, \dots n.$$

SCATTERING EQUATIONS (S.E.)

 In general, the scattering equations are given by [Gross, Mende-87, O. Schlotterer's talk, Lipstein's talk, L. Mason's talk]

$$E_{a} = \sum_{b \neq a}^{n} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{ab}} = 0, \quad \sigma_{ab} := \sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}, \quad a = 1, \dots n.$$

• PSL(2,
$$\mathbb{C}$$
): $\sum_{a=1}^{n} E_a = \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sigma_a E_a = \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sigma_a^2 E_a = 0.$

SCATTERING EQUATIONS (S.E.)

 In general, the scattering equations are given by [Gross, Mende-87, O. Schlotterer's talk, Lipstein's talk, L. Mason's talk]

$$E_{a} = \sum_{b \neq a}^{n} \frac{k_{a} \cdot k_{b}}{\sigma_{ab}} = 0, \quad \sigma_{ab} := \sigma_{a} - \sigma_{b}, \quad a = 1, \dots n.$$

- PSL(2, \mathbb{C}): $\sum_{a=1}^{n} E_a = \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sigma_a E_a = \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sigma_a^2 E_a = 0.$
- Cachazo-He-Yuan Approach, 2013 (Contour Integral over the Moduli Space and localized on $E_a = 0$ (S.E.). Mason-Skinner, Ambitwistor, 2013)

$$\mathbf{I}_{n} = \int_{\Gamma} d\mu_{n}^{(0)} \mathcal{I}_{n}(\sigma), \qquad d\mu_{n}^{(0)} := \frac{d^{n}\sigma}{\operatorname{Vol}(\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C}))} \left[\frac{\sigma_{ij}\sigma_{jk}\sigma_{ki}}{\prod_{a\neq i,j,k} E_{a}} \right]$$

 Γ is a contourn defined by $E_{a} = 0$ (S.E.)

• To obtain a well define \mathbf{I}_n over $M_{0,n}$, the meromorphic form, $d\mu_n^{(0)} \mathcal{I}_n$, must be invariant under $\sigma_a = \frac{A \sigma_a + B}{C \sigma_a + D}$, with AD - BC = 1.

• Under
$$\sigma_a = \frac{A \sigma_a + B}{C \sigma_a + D}$$
, with $AD - BC = 1$
 $d\mu_n^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} d\mu_n^{(0)} \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^{-4} \xrightarrow{\text{weight}}$

• Under
$$\sigma_a = \frac{A \sigma_a + B}{C \sigma_a + D}$$
, with $AD - BC = 1$
 $d\mu_n^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} d\mu_n^{(0)} \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^{-4} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{weight}}$
• Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_n \xrightarrow{\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \mathcal{I}_n \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^4$.

• Under
$$\sigma_a = \frac{A \sigma_a + B}{C \sigma_a + D}$$
, with $AD - BC = 1$
 $d\mu_n^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} d\mu_n^{(0)} \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^{-4 \longrightarrow \operatorname{weigh}}$

- Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_n \xrightarrow{\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \mathcal{I}_n \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^4$.
- For example, let us consider, $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{(0)} := m_n[\alpha|\beta]$, with $\mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} = (\sigma_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\sigma_{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\cdots\sigma_{\alpha_n\alpha_1})^{-1} \rightarrow \text{Parke} \text{Taylor (PT)}.$

• Under
$$\sigma_a = \frac{A \sigma_a + B}{C \sigma_a + D}$$
, with $AD - BC = 1$
 $d\mu_n^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} d\mu_n^{(0)} \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^{-4} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{weight}} d\mu_n^{(0)} \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^4$.
• Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_n \xrightarrow{\operatorname{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \mathcal{I}_n \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^4$.
• For example, let us consider, $\mathcal{I}_n = \operatorname{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \times \operatorname{PT}_{\beta}^{(0)} := m_n[\alpha|\beta]$, with
 $\operatorname{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} = (\sigma_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\sigma_{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\cdots\sigma_{\alpha_n\alpha_1})^{-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Parke} - \operatorname{Taylor}(\operatorname{PT}).$
 $\operatorname{PT}_{[1234]}^{(0)} \times \operatorname{PT}_{[1234]}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{12}^2 \sigma_{23}^2 \sigma_{34}^2 \sigma_{41}^2} \rightarrow \underbrace{\operatorname{PT}_{\alpha_3}^{(0)} \sigma_{\alpha_3}^2 \sigma_$

INTEGRANDS AND GRAPHS ON A SPHERE

• Under
$$\sigma_a = \frac{A \sigma_a + B}{C \sigma_a + D}$$
, with $AD - BC = 1$
 $d\mu_n^{(0)} \xrightarrow{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} d\mu_n^{(0)} \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^{-4} \xrightarrow{\text{weight}}$
• Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_n \xrightarrow{\text{PSL}(2,\mathbb{C})} \mathcal{I}_n \left[\prod_{a=1}^n (C \sigma_a + D)\right]^4$.
• For example, let us consider, $\mathcal{I}_n = \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{(0)} := m_n[\alpha|\beta]$, with $\mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} = (\sigma_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}\sigma_{\alpha_2\alpha_3}\cdots\sigma_{\alpha_n\alpha_1})^{-1} \rightarrow \text{Parke} - \text{Taylor (PT)}.$
 $\mathbf{PT}_{(1234]}^{(0)} \times \mathbf{PT}_{[1234]}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\sigma_{12}^2 \sigma_{23}^2 \sigma_{34}^2 \sigma_{41}^2} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\frac{1}{(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_3)^2}}_{\frac{1}{(\sigma_3^2 - \sigma_3)^2}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{(\sigma_3^2 - \sigma_3)^2}}_{\frac{1}{(\sigma_3^2 - \sigma_3)^2}}$

CHY graph \rightarrow weight four means four lines at each vertex.

INTEGRANDS AND THEORIES

• The CHY prescription is "Universal", i.e. a theory is determined just by the Integrand, I_n , and the contour integral, $E_a = 0$, remains the same.

INTEGRANDS AND THEORIES

• The CHY prescription is "Universal", i.e. a theory is determined just by the Integrand, I_n , and the contour integral, $E_a = 0$, remains the same.

INTEGRANDS AND THEORIES

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{(0)} := m_{n}[\alpha|\beta] \qquad (\text{Biadjoint } \Phi^{3}) \\ \cdot \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \times \text{Pf}'\Psi := \mathbf{A}^{\text{YM}}(\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{n}) \quad (\text{Yang - Mills}) \\ \cdot \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(0)} \times \det'A := \mathbf{A}^{\text{NLSM}}(\alpha_{1}, \dots, \alpha_{n}) \quad (\text{NLSM}) \\ \cdot \text{Pf}'\Psi \times \text{Pf}'\Psi := \mathcal{M}(1, \dots, n) \qquad (\text{Gravity}) \\ \cdot \det'A \times \det'A := \mathcal{M}^{\text{GAL}}(1, \dots, n) \quad (\text{Galileon}) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{C.H.Y} \\ (2013) \\ \vdots \end{array}$$

٠

Outline



Outline

OUTLINE

Goal of the talk:

Goal of the talk: To show how we got a new proposal for biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with quadratic propagators, [H.G., C. Lopez-Arcos, P. Talavera-1707XXX].

$$\mathfrak{m}^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \int d\Omega \, \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \times \frac{1}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2} \, \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\ell}_1^+; \tilde{\ell}_1^-} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{\tilde{\ell}_2^+; \tilde{\ell}_2^-}$$

 $d\Omega := d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+})d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-})d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-})\delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+} - \ell)\delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+})\delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}).$

Goal of the talk: To show how we got a new proposal for biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with quadratic propagators, [H.G., C. Lopez-Arcos, P. Talavera-1707XXX].

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{m}^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] &= \int d\Omega \, \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \times \frac{1}{(\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{+}, \tilde{\ell}_{2}^{+}, \tilde{\ell}_{2}^{-}, \tilde{\ell}_{1}^{-})^{2}} \, \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{+}:\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{-}} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{\tilde{\ell}_{2}^{+}:\tilde{\ell}_{2}^{-}} \\ d\Omega &:= d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{+}} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_{2}^{+}}) d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{-}}) d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_{2}^{-}}) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{+}} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_{2}^{+}} - \ell) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{-}} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_{1}^{+}}) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_{2}^{-}} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_{2}^{+}}). \end{split}$$

Outline

Goal of the talk: To show how we got a new proposal for biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with quadratic propagators, [H.G., C. Lopez-Arcos, P. Talavera-1707XXX].

$$\mathfrak{m}^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \int d\Omega \, \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \times \frac{1}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2} \, \, \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\ell}_1^+; \tilde{\ell}_1^-} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{\tilde{\ell}_1^+; \tilde{\ell}_2^-}$$

 $d\Omega := d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}) d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-}) d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-}) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+} - \ell) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}).$

Outline

• The double cover approach (λ -Scattering Equations.) [H.G-16]

Goal of the talk: To show how we got a new proposal for biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with quadratic propagators, [H.G., C. Lopez-Arcos, P. Talavera-1707XXX].

$$\mathfrak{m}^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \int d\Omega \, \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \times \frac{1}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2} \, \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\ell}_1^+; \tilde{\ell}_1^-} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{\tilde{\ell}_2^+; \tilde{\ell}_2^-}$$

 $d\Omega := d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+})d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-})d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-})\delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+} - \ell)\delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+})\delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}).$

Outline

- The double cover approach (λ -Scattering Equations.) [H.G-16]
- CHY prescription at one and two loops. [C.Cardona H.G-16, H.G S. Mizera, G. Zhang-16]

Goal of the talk: To show how we got a new proposal for biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with quadratic propagators, [H.G., C. Lopez-Arcos, P. Talavera-1707XXX].

$$\mathfrak{m}^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \int d\Omega \, \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \times \frac{1}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2} \, \, \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\ell}_1^+; \tilde{\ell}_1^-} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{\tilde{\ell}_2^+; \tilde{\ell}_2^-}$$

 $d\Omega := d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}) d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-}) d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-}) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+} - \ell) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}).$

Outline

- The double cover approach (λ -Scattering Equations.) [H.G-16]
- CHY prescription at one and two loops. [C.Cardona H.G-16, H.G S. Mizera, G. Zhang-16]
- CHY at one loop with quadratic propagators. [H.G-17, H.G., C. Lopez-Arcos, P. Talavera-1707XXX]]

DOUBLE COVER PRESCRIPTION (DC)

• Let us consider a double cover of the Sphere.



DOUBLE COVER PRESCRIPTION (DC)

• Let us consider a double cover of the Sphere.



• This double cover is given by the algebraic curve $y^2 = \sigma^2 - \lambda^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Note that λ controls the opening of the branch cut.

DOUBLE COVER PRESCRIPTION (DC)

• Let us consider a double cover of the Sphere.



- This double cover is given by the algebraic curve $y^2 = \sigma^2 \lambda^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Note that λ controls the opening of the branch cut.
- The scattering equations in this language are given by (H.G 2016)

$$E_a^{\lambda} := \sum_{b \neq a}^n \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{ab}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_b}{y_a} + 1 \right) \right] = 0$$

DOUBLE COVER PRESCRIPTION (DC)

• Let us consider a double cover of the Sphere.



- This double cover is given by the algebraic curve $y^2 = \sigma^2 \lambda^2 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Note that λ controls the opening of the branch cut.
- The scattering equations in this language are given by (H.G 2016)

$$E_a^{\lambda} := \sum_{b \neq a}^n \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{\sigma_{ab}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_b}{y_a} + 1 \right) \right] = 0 \xrightarrow{z_a = \frac{\lambda}{2} (\sigma_a + \frac{1}{\sigma_a})} E_a = \sum_{b \neq a}^n \frac{k_a \cdot k_b}{z_{ab}} = 0.$$

• The DC prescription is, $\mathcal{A}_n := \int_{\Gamma} d\mu_n^{\lambda} \mathcal{I}_n(y, \sigma)$, where

• The DC prescription is,
$$\mathcal{A}_{n} := \int_{\Gamma} d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} \mathcal{I}_{n}(y,\sigma)$$
, where
 $d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} d\lambda \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{split the sphere} \\ \text{in two pieces}}} \times \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \frac{y_{a} \, dy_{a}}{C_{a}} \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{sum over} \\ \text{configurations}}} \times \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{d\neq p,q,r,m} \frac{d\sigma_{d}}{E_{d}^{\lambda}} \end{bmatrix} \times \frac{\Delta_{pqr|m}^{\text{FP}} \Delta_{pqr}^{\text{FP}}}{E_{m}^{\lambda}},$

and Γ is the contour defined by the equations

$$\lambda = 0, \quad C_a := y_a^2 - \sigma_a^2 + \lambda^2 = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots n, \quad E_d^{\lambda} = 0, \quad b \neq p, q, r, m$$

• The DC prescription is,
$$\mathcal{A}_{n} := \int_{\Gamma} d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} \mathcal{I}_{n}(y,\sigma)$$
, where
 $d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} d\lambda \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{split the sphere} \\ \text{in two pieces}}} \times \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \frac{y_{a} \, dy_{a}}{C_{a}} \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{sum over} \\ \text{configurations}}} \times \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{d\neq p,q,r,m} \frac{d\sigma_{d}}{E_{d}^{\lambda}} \end{bmatrix} \times \frac{\Delta_{pqr|m}^{\text{FP}} \Delta_{pqr}^{\text{FP}}}{E_{m}^{\lambda}},$

and Γ is the contour defined by the equations

$$\lambda = 0, \quad C_a := y_a^2 - \sigma_a^2 + \lambda^2 = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots n, \quad E_d^{\lambda} = 0, \quad b \neq p, q, r, m$$

• The DC prescription is,
$$\mathcal{A}_{n} := \int_{\Gamma} d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} \mathcal{I}_{n}(y,\sigma)$$
, where
 $d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} d\lambda \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{split the sphere} \\ \text{in two pieces}}} \times \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \frac{y_{a} \, dy_{a}}{C_{a}} \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{sum over} \\ \text{configurations}}} \times \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{d\neq p,q,r,m} \frac{d\sigma_{d}}{E_{d}^{\lambda}} \end{bmatrix} \times \frac{\Delta_{pqr|m}^{\text{FP}} \Delta_{pqr}^{\text{FP}}}{E_{m}^{\lambda}},$

and Γ is the contour defined by the equations

$$\lambda = 0, \quad C_a := y_a^2 - \sigma_a^2 + \lambda^2 = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots n, \quad E_d^{\lambda} = 0, \quad b \neq p, q, r, m$$



• The DC prescription is,
$$\mathcal{A}_{n} := \int_{\Gamma} d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} \mathcal{I}_{n}(y,\sigma)$$
, where
 $d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} d\lambda \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{split the sphere} \\ \text{in two pieces}}} \times \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \frac{y_{a} \, dy_{a}}{C_{a}} \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{sum over} \\ \text{configurations}}} \times \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{d\neq p,q,r,m} \frac{d\sigma_{d}}{E_{d}^{\lambda}} \end{bmatrix} \times \frac{\Delta_{pqr|m}^{\text{FP}} \Delta_{pqr}^{\text{FP}}}{E_{m}^{\lambda}},$

and Γ is the contour defined by the equations

$$\lambda = 0, \quad C_a := y_a^2 - \sigma_a^2 + \lambda^2 = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots n, \quad E_d^{\lambda} = 0, \quad b \neq p, q, r, m$$



• The DC prescription is,
$$\mathcal{A}_{n} := \int_{\Gamma} d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} \mathcal{I}_{n}(y,\sigma)$$
, where
 $d\mu_{n}^{\lambda} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} d\lambda \\ \lambda \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{split the sphere} \\ \text{in two pieces}}} \times \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \prod_{a=1}^{n} \frac{y_{a} \, dy_{a}}{C_{a}} \end{bmatrix}}_{\substack{\text{sum over} \\ \text{configurations}}} \times \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{d\neq p,q,r,m} \frac{d\sigma_{d}}{E_{d}^{\lambda}} \end{bmatrix} \times \frac{\Delta_{pqr|m}^{\text{FP}} \Delta_{pqr}^{\text{FP}}}{E_{m}^{\lambda}},$

and Γ is the contour defined by the equations

$$\lambda = 0, \quad C_a := y_a^2 - \sigma_a^2 + \lambda^2 = 0, \quad a = 1, \dots n, \quad E_d^{\lambda} = 0, \quad b \neq p, q, r, m$$



• The DC formalism sums over all possible configurations, and each configuration depends on the gauge fixing. This is a advantage to simplify the computation.


λ -Scattering Equations

• The DC formalism sums over all possible configurations, and each configuration depends on the gauge fixing. This is a advantage to simplify the computation.



• The DC formalism sums over all possible configurations, and each configuration depends on the gauge fixing. This is a advantage to simplify the computation.



 On this gauge the leading order in λ is enough. This program is called the λ-algorithm, which is an iterative process.



• The DC formalism sums over all possible configurations, and each configuration depends on the gauge fixing. This is a advantage to simplify the computation.



 On this gauge the leading order in λ is enough. This program is called the λ-algorithm, which is an iterative process.



 The idea is to develop a λ-algorithm for the whole Pfaffian. (Work in progress with N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr and Poul H. Damgaard). In other words, we hope to obtain a covariant version for the CSW recurrence relation [Cachazo-Svrcek-Witten-2004].

• The DC prescription can be generalized to any algebraic curve, in particular we consider an elliptic curve (Torus)

$$y^2=z(z-1)(z-\lambda)\subset \mathbb{CP}^2$$
, [C. Cardona and H.G. 2016].

- The DC prescription can be generalized to any algebraic curve, in particular we consider an elliptic curve (Torus)
 y² = z(z − 1)(z − λ) ⊂ CP², [C. Cardona and H.G. 2016].
- By the global residue theorem, the modular parameter "λ" can be integrated around λ = 0, namely, we are Pinching the A-cycle (Nodal singularity).



- The DC prescription can be generalized to any algebraic curve, in particular we consider an elliptic curve (Torus)
 y² = z(z − 1)(z − λ) ⊂ CP², [C. Cardona and H.G. 2016].
- By the global residue theorem, the modular parameter "λ" can be integrated around λ = 0, namely, we are Pinching the A-cycle (Nodal singularity).

• Unlike to the sphere, on the Torus there is a global holomorphic form, $\omega = \frac{dz}{\gamma}$. This holomorphic form has a CHY interpretation.



 $\dim (H^0(\Omega^1))=0$

- The DC prescription can be generalized to any algebraic curve, in particular we consider an elliptic curve (Torus)
 y² = z(z − 1)(z − λ) ⊂ CP², [C. Cardona and H.G. 2016].
- By the global residue theorem, the modular parameter "λ" can be integrated around λ = 0, namely, we are Pinching the A-cycle (Nodal singularity).

• Unlike to the sphere, on the Torus there is a global holomorphic form, $\omega = \frac{dz}{\gamma}$. This holomorphic form has a CHY interpretation.



FEYNMAN INTEGRANDS AND SCATTERING EQUATIONS

One and Two Loop Integrands in the CHY approach

• So, it is natural to consider the integrand
$$\mathcal{I}_4^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(y_1)^2(y_2)^2(y_3)^2(y_4)^2}$$
,

Feynman Integrands and Scattering Equations

ONE AND TWO LOOP INTEGRANDS IN THE CHY APPROACH

• So, it is natural to consider the integrand $\mathcal{I}_4^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(y_1)^2(y_2)^2(y_3)^2(y_4)^2}$,



• A dashed line means a numerator, σ_{ij} , a blue line means two black lines and $(i_1, ..., i_n) := \sigma_{i_1 i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_{n-1} i_n} \sigma_{i_n i_1}$.

FEYNMAN INTEGRANDS AND SCATTERING EQUATIONS

ONE AND TWO LOOP INTEGRANDS IN THE CHY APPROACH

• So, it is natural to consider the integrand $\mathcal{I}_4^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(y_1)^2(y_2)^2(y_3)^2(y_4)^2}$,



- A dashed line means a numerator, σ_{ij} , a blue line means two black lines and $(i_1, \ldots, i_n) := \sigma_{i_1 i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_{n-1} i_n} \sigma_{i_n i_1}$.
- Using the λ -algorithm this graph is simple to compute

$$\int \frac{d\mu_{4+2}^{(1)}}{\ell^2} r = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \sum_{\alpha \in S_4} \frac{1}{(\ell \cdot k_{\alpha_1})[(\ell + k_{\alpha_1} + k_{\alpha_2})^2 - \ell^2](\ell \cdot k_{\alpha_4})}$$

Feynman Integrands and Scattering Equations

ONE AND TWO LOOP INTEGRANDS IN THE CHY APPROACH

• So, it is natural to consider the integrand $\mathcal{I}_4^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(v_1)^2(v_2)^2(v_3)^2(v_4)^2}$,



- A dashed line means a numerator, σ_{ij} , a blue line means two black lines and $(i_1, ..., i_n) := \sigma_{i_1 i_2} \cdots \sigma_{i_{n-1} i_n} \sigma_{i_n i_1}$.
- Using the λ-algorithm this graph is simple to compute



[Geyer, Mason, Monteiro, Tourkine-15, Baadsgaard, Bohr, Bourjaily, Caron, Damgaard, Feng-15, Cachazo, He, Yuan-15, Cardona, H.G.-16]

Two Loop.

• The previous program can be extended to an hyperelliptic curve, $y^2 = z(z-1)(z-\lambda_1)(z-\lambda_2)(z-\lambda_3) \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$. On this curve there are two global holomorphic forms, $\Omega_1(z) = \frac{dz}{v}$, $\Omega_2(z) = \frac{z dz}{v}$.

Two Loop.

A1-cvcle

• The previous program can be extended to an hyperelliptic curve, $y^2 = z(z-1)(z-\lambda_1)(z-\lambda_2)(z-\lambda_3) \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$. On this curve there are two global holomorphic forms, $\Omega_1(z) = \frac{dz}{v}$, $\Omega_2(z) = \frac{z dz}{v}$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{y_{1}} & \frac{0}{y_{1}} \\ \hline y_{1} & \frac{1}{y_{1}} \end{array} \\ \xrightarrow{P. A_{1} \text{ and } A_{2} \text{ cycles}} \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{y_{1}} \rightarrow \omega_{1:1}^{\ell_{1}^{+}\ell_{1}^{-}}, \ k_{\ell_{1}^{+}} = -k_{\ell_{1}^{-}} = \ell_{1}, \ \ell_{1}^{2} \neq 0 \\ \\ \frac{\sigma_{1}}{y_{1}} \rightarrow \omega_{1:1}^{\ell_{2}^{+}\ell_{2}^{-}}, \ k_{\ell_{2}^{+}} = -k_{\ell_{2}^{-}} = \ell_{2}, \ \ell_{2}^{2} \neq 0 \end{array} \end{array}$$

Two Loop.

The previous program can be extended to an hyperelliptic curve,
 y² = z(z − 1)(z − λ₁)(z − λ₂)(z − λ₃) ⊂ CP². On this curve there are two global holomorphic forms, Ω₁(z) = dz/v, Ω₂(z) = z dz/v.

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{y_1} \longrightarrow \frac{\sigma_1}{y_1}}_{A_1 \text{ cycle}} \xrightarrow{\text{P. A}_1 \text{ and } A_2 \text{ cycles}} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{1}{y_1} \rightarrow \omega_{1:1}^{\ell_1^+ \ell_1^-}, \ k_{\ell_1^+} = -k_{\ell_1^-} = \ell_1, \ \ell_1^2 \neq 0 \\ \frac{\sigma_1}{y_1} \rightarrow \omega_{1:1}^{\ell_2^+ \ell_2^-}, \ k_{\ell_2^+} = -k_{\ell_2^-} = \ell_2, \ \ell_2^2 \neq 0 \end{array} \right.$$

• Since A_1 does not feel to A_2 , then we consider a cycle which is able to link them.



Two Loop.

• The previous program can be extended to an hyperelliptic curve, $y^2 = z(z-1)(z-\lambda_1)(z-\lambda_2)(z-\lambda_3) \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$. On this curve there are two global holomorphic forms, $\Omega_1(z) = \frac{dz}{r}$, $\Omega_2(z) = \frac{z \, dz}{r}$.

$$\underbrace{\frac{1}{y_1} \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} y_1}_{A_1 \text{ cycle}} \xrightarrow{P. A_1 \text{ and } A_2 \text{ cycles}} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{y_1} \rightarrow \omega_{1:1}^{\ell_1^+ \ell_1^-}, \ k_{\ell_1^+} = -k_{\ell_1^-} = \ell_1, \ \ell_1^2 \neq 0 \\ \frac{\sigma_1}{y_1} \rightarrow \omega_{1:1}^{\ell_2^+ \ell_2^-}, \ k_{\ell_2^+} = -k_{\ell_2^-} = \ell_2, \ \ell_2^2 \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

 $\bullet\,$ Since A_1 does not feel to $A_2,$ then we consider a cycle which is able to link them.



• So, we have the following base for global quadratic differentials , $H^0(\Omega^2,\Sigma_2),~[{\rm H.G.~S.~Mizera,~G.~Zhang-16.}]$

$$q_{a}^{1} := \omega_{a:a}^{\ell_{1}^{+}\ell_{1}^{-}} (\omega_{a:a}^{\ell_{1}^{+}\ell_{1}^{-}} - \omega_{a:a}^{\ell_{2}^{+}\ell_{2}^{-}}), \ q_{a}^{2} = \omega_{a:a}^{\ell_{2}^{+}\ell_{2}^{-}} (\omega_{a:a}^{\ell_{2}^{+}\ell_{2}^{-}} - \omega_{a:a}^{\ell_{1}^{+}\ell_{1}^{-}}), \ q_{a}^{3} = \omega_{a:a}^{\ell_{1}^{+}\ell_{1}^{-}} \omega_{a:a}^{\ell_{2}^{+}\ell_{2}^{-}}$$

• We define the following CHY-integrand at two loop [H.G, S. Mizera, G.

$$\mathcal{I}_{n}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{(\ell_{1}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{-}, \ell_{1}^{-})^{2}} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^{i} q_{a}^{1} \prod_{b=i+1}^{m} q_{b}^{2} \prod_{c_{a}=m+1}^{n} q_{c}^{3} + \operatorname{per}(1, 2, 3) \right\}.$$

• We define the following CHY-integrand at two loop [H.G, S. Mizera, G.

$$\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text{Zhang-16.]}} = \frac{1}{(\ell_{1}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{-}, \ell_{1}^{-})^{2}} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^{i} q_{a}^{1} \prod_{b=i+1}^{m} q_{b}^{2} \prod_{c_{a}=m+1}^{n} q_{c}^{3} + \operatorname{per}(1, 2, 3) \right\}.$$

• Using the scattering equations proposed by [Geyer, Mason, Monteiro, Tourkine-16], $(\ell_1^2 \neq 0, \ell_2^2 \neq 0)$, and by modifying the λ -algorithm, we obtain

• We define the following CHY-integrand at two loop [H.G, S. Mizera, G.

$$\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text{Zhang-16.]}} = \frac{1}{(\ell_{1}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{-}, \ell_{1}^{-})^{2}} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^{i} q_{a}^{1} \prod_{b=i+1}^{m} q_{b}^{2} \prod_{c_{a}=m+1}^{n} q_{c}^{3} + \operatorname{per}(1, 2, 3) \right\}.$$

• Using the scattering equations proposed by [Geyer, Mason, Monteiro, Tourkine-16], $(\ell_1^2 \neq 0, \ell_2^2 \neq 0)$, and by modifying the λ -algorithm, we obtain

$$\int \frac{d\mu_{n+4}^{(2)} \mathcal{I}_n^{(2)}}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \xrightarrow[i_1]{\text{pfi in } \{\ell_1, \ell_2\},}_{\text{not in } (\ell_1+\ell_2)} \xrightarrow[i_1]{m+1} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_2}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\underset{i_1}{\longrightarrow}} \stackrel{i$$

• We define the following CHY-integrand at two loop [H.G, S. Mizera, G.

$$\mathcal{I}_{n}^{\text{Zhang-16.]}} = \frac{1}{(\ell_{1}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{-}, \ell_{1}^{-})^{2}} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^{i} q_{a}^{1} \prod_{b=i+1}^{m} q_{b}^{2} \prod_{c_{a}=m+1}^{n} q_{c}^{3} + \operatorname{per}(1, 2, 3) \right\}.$$

• Using the scattering equations proposed by [Geyer, Mason, Monteiro, Tourkine-16], $(\ell_1^2 \neq 0, \ell_2^2 \neq 0)$, and by modifying the λ -algorithm, we obtain

$$\int \frac{d\mu_{n+4}^{(2)} \mathcal{I}_n^{(2)}}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \xrightarrow{\text{pfi in } \{\ell_1, \ell_2\},}_{\text{not in } (\ell_1+\ell_2)} \xrightarrow[i_1]{m+1} \xrightarrow{\ell_1}_{i_1} + \text{per}_{1\dots \ell} + \text{per}_{\ell_1, \ell_2} + \text{per}_{\ell_1, \ell_2, (\ell_1+\ell_2)}\}$$

• In particular, let us consider the following CHY integrand,

• We define the following CHY-integrand at two loop [H.G, S. Mizera, G.

$$\mathcal{I}_{n}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{(\ell_{1}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{+}, \ell_{2}^{-}, \ell_{1}^{-})^{2}} \left\{ \prod_{a=1}^{i} q_{a}^{1} \prod_{b=i+1}^{m} q_{b}^{2} \prod_{c_{a}=m+1}^{n} q_{c}^{3} + \operatorname{per}(1, 2, 3) \right\}.$$

• Using the scattering equations proposed by [Geyer, Mason, Monteiro, Tourkine-16], $(\ell_1^2 \neq 0, \ell_2^2 \neq 0)$, and by modifying the λ -algorithm, we obtain

$$\int \frac{d\mu_{n+4}^{(2)} \mathcal{I}_n^{(2)}}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \xrightarrow[i_1]{\text{pfi in } \{\ell_1, \ell_2\},}_{\text{not in } (\ell_1+\ell_2)} \xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}} \stackrel{i_2}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_2}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_2}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_2}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_2}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_2}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_2}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}}} \stackrel{i_1}{\xrightarrow[i_1]{m_{*i}$$

• In particular, let us consider the following CHY integrand,

$$\frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \int d\mu_{(n+1)+4}^{(2)} \left(\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \ell_2^-, \ell_1^-\right)^{-2} q_{n+1}^1 \prod_{b=1}^n q_b^3 = \frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2 \left(-\ell_1 \cdot k_{n+1}\right)} \sum_{\alpha \in S_n} \underbrace{\frac{1}{\left(\ell_1 + \ell_2\right)^2 \left(\ell_1 + \ell_2 + k_{\alpha_1}\right)^2 \cdots \left(\ell_1 + \ell_2 - k_{\alpha_{n+1}}\right)^2}_{\text{quadratic in } (\ell_1 + \ell_2)} + \left\{\ell_{1,2} \to -\ell_{1,2}\right\}$$

$$\frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \int d\mu_{(n+1)+4}^{(2)} \frac{q_{n+1}^1 \prod_{b=1}^n q_b^3}{(\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \ell_2^-, \ell_1^-)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{pfi in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}\right)}_{n+1}}_{q_1^2 + per_{1\dots n}} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_2 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{array}}_{n+1} + per_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{ \begin{array}{c} l_$$

$$\frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \int d\mu_{(n+1)+4}^{(2)} \frac{q_{n+1}^1 \prod_{b=1}^n q_b^3}{(\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \ell_2^-, \ell_1^-)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{pfi in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \right)}_{n \neq 1} \xrightarrow{\text{pri in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \right)}_{n \neq 1} \xrightarrow{\text{pri in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \cdots f_{l_2} \right)}_{n \neq 1} \xrightarrow{\text{pri in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \cdots f_{l_2} \cdots f_$$

 From the CHY approach, we have obtained an integrand which looks like a Φ³ integrand at one loop with quadratic propagators.

$$\frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \int d\mu_{(n+1)+4}^{(2)} \frac{q_{n+1}^1 \prod_{b=1}^n q_b^3}{(\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \ell_2^-, \ell_1^-)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{pfi in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\frac{1}{p_1} \prod_{a=1}^{l_2} \frac{1}{p_1}}_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n} = \underbrace{\frac{1}{p_1} \prod_{a=1}^{l_2} \frac{1}{p_1} \prod_{a=1}^{l_2} \frac{1}{p_1}}_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}$$

- From the CHY approach, we have obtained an integrand which looks like a Φ³ integrand at one loop with quadratic propagators.
- So, we propose the following CHY integral [H.G.-17]

$$\frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \int d\mu_{(n+1)+4}^{(2)} \frac{q_{n+1}^1 \prod_{b=1}^n q_b^3}{(\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \ell_2^-, \ell_1^-)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{pfi in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_1}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \frac{\text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1}$$

- From the CHY approach, we have obtained an integrand which looks like a Φ³ integrand at one loop with quadratic propagators.
- So, we propose the following CHY integral [H.G.-17]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+})^2 \delta^{(D)} (k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}) \delta^{(D)} (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}) \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \frac{\prod_{b=1}^n \tilde{q}_b^3}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2}, \\ k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}^2 &= k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}^2 = k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-}^2 = k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-}^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \int d\mu_{(n+1)+4}^{(2)} \frac{q_{n+1}^1 \prod_{b=1}^n q_b^3}{(\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \ell_2^-, \ell_1^-)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{pfi in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_1}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \frac{\text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1}$$

- From the CHY approach, we have obtained an integrand which looks like a Φ³ integrand at one loop with quadratic propagators.
- So, we propose the following CHY integral [H.G.-17]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+})^2 \delta^{(D)} (k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}) \delta^{(D)} (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}) \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \frac{\prod_{b=1}^n \tilde{q}_b^3}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2}, \\ k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}^2 &= k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}^2 = k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-}^2 = k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-}^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

• Computing \mathcal{A} (there are several techniques to do that),

$$\frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \int d\mu_{(n+1)+4}^{(2)} \frac{q_{n+1}^1 \prod_{b=1}^n q_b^3}{(\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \ell_2^-, \ell_1^-)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{pfi in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_1}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_1} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \underbrace{f_2}_{l_2} \right)_{n+1} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + \frac{\text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1}$$

- From the CHY approach, we have obtained an integrand which looks like a Φ³ integrand at one loop with quadratic propagators.
- So, we propose the following CHY integral [H.G.-17]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+})^2 \delta^{(D)} (k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}) \delta^{(D)} (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}) \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \frac{\prod_{b=1}^n \tilde{q}_b^3}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2}, \\ k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}^2 &= k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}^2 = k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-}^2 = k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-}^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

• Computing A (there are several techniques to do that),

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{\alpha \in S_n} \underbrace{\frac{1}{(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+})^2 (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+} + k_{\alpha_1})^2 \cdots (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+} - k_{\alpha_n})^2}_{\text{quadratic in } (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+})}$$

$$\frac{1}{\ell_1^2 \ell_2^2} \int d\mu_{(n+1)+4}^{(2)} \frac{q_{n+1}^1 \prod_{b=1}^n q_b^3}{(\ell_1^+, \ell_2^+, \ell_2^-, \ell_1^-)^2} \xrightarrow{\text{pfi in } \ell_1} \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_1}^{l_2} \right)_{n+1}^{l_2} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n} = \underbrace{\left(\underbrace{f_2}^{l_2} \right)_{n+1}^{l_2} + \text{per}_{1\dots n}}_{n+1} + e_{n+1} + e_$$

- From the CHY approach, we have obtained an integrand which looks like a Φ³ integrand at one loop with quadratic propagators.
- So, we propose the following CHY integral [H.G.-17]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+})^2 \delta^{(D)} (k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}) \delta^{(D)} (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}) \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \frac{\prod_{b=1}^n \tilde{q}_b^3}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2}, \\ k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}^2 &= k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}^2 = k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-}^2 = k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-}^2 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

• Computing A (there are several techniques to do that),

$$\mathcal{A} = \sum_{\alpha \in S_n} \frac{1}{(\underbrace{k_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^+ + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2}^+)^2 (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^+ + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2}^+ + k_{\alpha_1})^2 \cdots (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^+ + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2}^- - k_{\alpha_n})^2}_{\text{quadratic in } (k_{\tilde{\ell}_1}^+ + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2}^+)}$$

• Hence, we identify the off-shell momentum, $k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}$, with the loop momentum at one loop, $k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+} := \ell$, namely

QUADRATIC PROPAGATORS

QUADRATIC PROPAGATORS

$$\delta^{(D)}(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}+k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}-\ell)\mathcal{A}=\sum_{\alpha\in \mathcal{S}_n}\frac{1}{\ell^2(\ell+k_{\alpha_1})^2\cdots(\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}=\underbrace{-}_{\cdot,\cdot}\underbrace{+}_{i}\underbrace{+}_{i}\operatorname{per}_{i\ldots n}$$

• How to generalize this idea to Biadjoint Φ^3 theory?

QUADRATIC PROPAGATORS

$$\delta^{(D)}(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}+k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}-\ell)\mathcal{A}=\sum_{\alpha\in \mathcal{S}_n}\frac{1}{\ell^2(\ell+k_{\alpha_1})^2\cdots(\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}=\frac{1}{\ddots \cdots (\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}$$

 How to generalize this idea to Biadjoint Φ³ theory? Schematically, we can think in the Parke-Taylor Factor on the Torus in the following way

QUADRATIC PROPAGATORS

$$\delta^{(D)}(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}+k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}-\ell)\mathcal{A}=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathcal{S}_n}\frac{1}{\ell^2(\ell+k_{\alpha_1})^2\cdots(\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}=\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\overset{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}$$

• How to generalize this idea to Biadjoint Φ^3 theory? Schematically, we can think in the Parke-Taylor Factor on the Torus in the

following way $\mathbf{PT}^{(0)}_{\mathbf{PT}} =$

$$T_{1234}^{(0)} =$$

QUADRATIC PROPAGATORS

$$\delta^{(D)}(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}+k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}-\ell)\mathcal{A}=\sum_{\alpha\in \mathcal{S}_n}\frac{1}{\ell^2(\ell+k_{\alpha_1})^2\cdots(\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}=\frac{1}{\ddots \cdots (\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}$$

 How to generalize this idea to Biadjoint Φ³ theory? Schematically, we can think in the Parke-Taylor Factor on the Torus in the



QUADRATIC PROPAGATORS

$$\delta^{(D)}(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}+k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}-\ell)\mathcal{A}=\sum_{\alpha\in \mathcal{S}_n}\frac{1}{\ell^2(\ell+k_{\alpha_1})^2\cdots(\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}=\frac{1}{\ddots \cdots (\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}$$

 How to generalize this idea to Biadjoint Φ³ theory? Schematically, we can think in the Parke-Taylor Factor on the Torus in the



QUADRATIC PROPAGATORS

$$\delta^{(D)}(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}+k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}-\ell)\mathcal{A}=\sum_{\alpha\in \mathcal{S}_n}\frac{1}{\ell^2(\ell+k_{\alpha_1})^2\cdots(\ell-k_{\alpha_n})^2}=\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}_{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\overset{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\underbrace{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\overset{\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\overset{\circ}{\overset{\circ}{\cdots}}\overset$$

 How to generalize this idea to Biadjoint Φ³ theory? Schematically, we can think in the Parke-Taylor Factor on the Torus in the



[He-Yuan-13, Baadsgaard, Bohr, Bourjaily, Damgaard, Feng-15.]

• As it has been shown in [He-Yuan-13], the Φ^3 biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with ordering α and β , is given by the expression,

• As it has been shown in [He-Yuan-13], the Φ^3 biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with ordering α and β , is given by the expression,

$$m^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu^{(1)}_{n+2} \times \frac{1}{(\ell^+, \ell^-)^2} \, \mathsf{PT}^{(1)}_{\alpha} \times \mathsf{PT}^{(1)}_{\beta}$$

$$\mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} := \sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{cyc}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\pi_1 \pi_2} \sigma_{\pi_2 \pi_3} \cdots \sigma_{\pi_{n-1} \pi_n}} \omega_{\pi_n : \pi_1}^{\ell^+ : \ell^-}.$$
• As it has been shown in [He-Yuan-13], the Φ^3 biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with ordering α and β , is given by the expression,

$$m^{(1)}[lpha|eta] = rac{1}{\ell^2} \; \int d\mu^{(1)}_{n+2} imes rac{1}{(\ell^+,\ell^-)^2} \; {\sf PT}^{(1)}_lpha imes {\sf PT}^{(1)}_eta$$

$$\mathsf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} := \sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{cyc}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\pi_1 \pi_2} \sigma_{\pi_2 \pi_3} \cdots \sigma_{\pi_{n-1} \pi_n}} \omega_{\pi_n : \pi_1}^{\ell^+ : \ell^-}.$$

• As it has been shown in [He-Yuan-13], the Φ^3 biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with ordering α and β , is given by the expression,

$$m^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu^{(1)}_{n+2} \times \frac{1}{(\ell^+, \ell^-)^2} \, \mathsf{PT}^{(1)}_{\alpha} \times \mathsf{PT}^{(1)}_{\beta}$$

$$\mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} := \sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{cyc}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\pi_1 \pi_2} \sigma_{\pi_2 \pi_3} \cdots \sigma_{\pi_{n-1} \pi_n}} \omega_{\pi_n:\pi_1}^{\ell^+:\ell^-}.$$

 Nevertheless, the integrands obtained by m⁽¹⁾[α|β] are linear in the loop momentum. In order to get quadratic propagators one can apply the ideas given here. Let us recall the symmetrized n-gon

Linear propagators

Quadratic propagators

• As it has been shown in [He-Yuan-13], the Φ^3 biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with ordering α and β , is given by the expression,

$$m^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu^{(1)}_{n+2} \times \frac{1}{(\ell^+, \ell^-)^2} \, \mathsf{PT}^{(1)}_{\alpha} \times \mathsf{PT}^{(1)}_{\beta}$$

$$\mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} := \sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{cyc}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\pi_1 \pi_2} \sigma_{\pi_2 \pi_3} \cdots \sigma_{\pi_{n-1} \pi_n}} \omega_{\pi_n:\pi_1}^{\ell^+:\ell^-}.$$

Quadratic propagators
$d\mu^{(0)}_{n+4}$

• As it has been shown in [He-Yuan-13], the Φ^3 biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with ordering α and β , is given by the expression,

$$m^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu_{n+2}^{(1)} \times \frac{1}{(\ell^+, \ell^-)^2} \, \mathsf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \times \mathsf{PT}_{\beta}^{(1)}$$

$$\mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} := \sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{cyc}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\pi_1 \pi_2} \sigma_{\pi_2 \pi_3} \cdots \sigma_{\pi_{n-1} \pi_n}} \omega_{\pi_n:\pi_1}^{\ell^+:\ell^-}.$$



 As it has been shown in [He-Yuan-13], the Φ³ biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with ordering α and β, is given by the expression,

$$m^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu_{n+2}^{(1)} \times \frac{1}{(\ell^+, \ell^-)^2} \, \mathsf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} \times \mathsf{PT}_{\beta}^{(1)}$$

$$\mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} := \sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{cyc}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\pi_1 \pi_2} \sigma_{\pi_2 \pi_3} \cdots \sigma_{\pi_{n-1} \pi_n}} \omega_{\pi_n:\pi_1}^{\ell^+:\ell^-}.$$



• As it has been shown in [He-Yuan-13], the Φ^3 biadjoint partial amplitude at one loop, with ordering α and β , is given by the expression,

$$m^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] = \frac{1}{\ell^2} \int d\mu^{(1)}_{n+2} \times \frac{1}{(\ell^+, \ell^-)^2} \, \mathsf{PT}^{(1)}_{\alpha} \times \mathsf{PT}^{(1)}_{\beta}$$

$$\mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{(1)} := \sum_{\pi \in \operatorname{cyc}(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\sigma_{\pi_1 \pi_2} \sigma_{\pi_2 \pi_3} \cdots \sigma_{\pi_{n-1} \pi_n}} \omega_{\pi_n:\pi_1}^{\ell^+:\ell^-}.$$



 Φ^3 Biadjoint at one loop with Quadratic Propagators

• The natural proposal to obtain Φ^3 biadjoint at one loop with quadratic propagators is given by [H.G., C. Lopez-Arcos, P. Talavera-1707XXX]

Φ^3 Biadjoint at one loop with Quadratic Propagators

• The natural proposal to obtain Φ^3 biadjoint at one loop with quadratic propagators is given by [H.G., C. Lopez-Arcos, P. Talavera-1707XXX]

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{m}^{(1)}[\alpha|\beta] &= \int d\Omega \, \int d\mu_{n+4}^{(0)} \times \frac{1}{(\tilde{\ell}_1^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^+, \tilde{\ell}_2^-, \tilde{\ell}_1^-)^2} \, \mathbf{PT}_{\alpha}^{\tilde{\ell}_1^+: \tilde{\ell}_1^-} \times \mathbf{PT}_{\beta}^{\tilde{\ell}_2^+: \tilde{\ell}_2^-} \\ d\Omega &:= d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}) d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-}) d(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-}) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+} - \ell) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_1^+}) \delta(k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^-} + k_{\tilde{\ell}_2^+}). \end{split}$$

Conclusions and Perspectives

- The DC prescription is a powerful tool to compute CHY-graph. To extend this idea to string theory. For example, to find an alternative method to compute the coefficients in the α' expansion.
- The DC prescription is extended in a natural form to an elliptic curve. How to do that for an hyperelliptic curve? In addition, how to get the scattering equations at two loop given by Mason et al from this approach?
- We have been able to obtain quadratic propagator in Φ^3 at one loop, by including four more massless points. We would like to extend this approach to YM. [O. Schlotterer's talk].
- By dimensional reduction, it is possible to reproduce the Feynman *i* ϵ in the quadratic propagators prescription. To generalize to higher loops.

FEYNMAN INTEGRANDS AND SCATTERING EQUATIONS

QUADRATIC PROPAGATORS AT ONE LOOP

Thank you very much for your attention.