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## AdS/YM Programme

The D3/probe D7 system in holography is a remarkably simple calculator of quark physics in a strongly coupled gauge theory...

It is not tied to supersymmetry or conformality and ties chiral
symmetry breaking to the running of the anomalous dimension of qq...

We've constructed simple toy models of ANY nonsupersymmetric gauge + fermion system....

What does it say about Nf dependence of QCD and dilatons (and caveats)?

Composite higgs models... multi-scale theories...

## How Does AdS/CFT Work 1

A weak strong duality that at least works for N=4 SYM and its deformations...


Dilatations

$$
\int d^{4} x \partial^{\mu} \phi \partial_{\mu} \phi, \quad x \rightarrow e^{-\alpha} x, \quad \phi \rightarrow e^{\alpha} \phi
$$

Become spacetime symmetry of AdS

$$
\rho \rightarrow e^{\alpha} \rho
$$

$\rho \quad$ is a continuous mass dimension $\rightarrow$ RG Scale

## How Does AdS/CFT Work 2



$$
\sqrt{-\operatorname{Detg}}=\operatorname{Det}\left[-\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\rho^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \rho^{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rho^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \rho^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\rho^{2}}
\end{array}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}=\rho^{3}
$$



Operators and sources appear as fields in the bulk

Eg

$$
\int d^{4} x m \bar{\psi} \psi
$$

$m$ is the quark mass
$c$ is the quark condensate

A field for the mass/condensate:

$$
S=\int d^{4} x \int d \rho \frac{1}{2} \rho^{3}\left(\partial_{\rho} L\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\partial_{\rho}\left[\rho^{3} \partial_{\rho} L\right]=0
$$

$$
L=m+\frac{c}{\rho^{2}}
$$

## AdS/CFT Contains Non-SUSY Theories

Eg Witten black holes = finite $\mathbf{T}$ theories
Top/down hep-th/0306018


## Sakai-Sugimoto model (D4/D8)




$$
\mathcal{O}=\tilde{\mathcal{O}} \mu^{d} ; \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{On}}
$$

$$
d=\frac{1}{\mathcal{O}} \mu \frac{d \mathcal{O}}{d \mu}
$$

$$
\gamma_{\mathcal{O}}=-\frac{1}{Z_{\mathcal{O}}} \mu \frac{d Z_{\mathcal{O}}}{d \mu}
$$

FIG. 1: Diagrams at one loop order contributing to the anomalous dimension of a gauge invariant scalar operator with $n$ quark legs.

Wave function renormalization of n-legs

Vertex factor (upto constant Cn)

$$
Z_{\psi}=1-C_{2}(R) \xi \frac{\alpha}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon}
$$

$\xi$ is gauge
parameter

$$
Z_{\mathcal{O} n}=\left(1+C_{n}(3+\xi) \frac{\alpha}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right) Z_{\psi}^{n / 2}
$$

$$
C_{n}=n C_{2}(R) / 2
$$

For $\xi$ independence

$$
Z_{\mathcal{O} n}=1+\frac{3 n}{2} C_{2}(R) \frac{\alpha}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon}
$$

$$
\gamma_{\mathcal{O} n}(\mu)=-n \frac{\alpha(\mu)}{\pi}
$$

# Running Dimensions in Holography 

Holographically we can change the dimension of our operator by adding a mass term

$$
\partial_{\rho}\left[\rho^{3} \partial_{\rho} L\right]-\rho \Delta m^{2} L=0
$$

$$
L=\frac{m_{F P}}{\rho^{\gamma}}+\frac{c_{F P}}{\rho^{2-\gamma}}, \quad \gamma(\gamma-2)=\Delta m^{2}
$$

$\Delta m^{2}=-1$ corresponds to $\gamma=1$ and is special - the Breitenlohner Freedman bound instability...

So we can include a running coupling by a $\rho$ dependent mass squared for the scalar.

Top down derivation: many string constructions eg probe D7 branes in D3 backgrounds are examples of this...

Very complex geometries describe the gauge theory glue-dynamics... a single quark in that background is described by a DBI field such as this with the running of the mass determined by the glue-dynamics...

## Dynamic AdS/YM

Timo Alho, NE, KimmoTuominen 1307.4896

$$
S=\int d^{4} x d \rho \operatorname{Tr} \rho^{3}\left[\frac{1}{\rho^{2}+|X|^{2}}|D X|^{2}+\frac{\Delta m^{2}}{\rho^{2}}|X|^{2}\right.
$$

$$
X=L(\rho) e^{2 i \pi^{a} T^{a}} .
$$

$$
d s^{2}=\frac{d \rho^{2}}{\left(\rho^{2}+|X|^{2}\right)}+\left(\rho^{2}+|X|^{2}\right) d x^{2},
$$

$|X|=L$ is now the dynamical field whose solution will determine the condensate as a function of $m$ - the phase is the pion.

We use the top-down IR boundary condition on mass-shell: $\quad X^{\prime}(\rho=X)=0$
$X$ enters into the AdS metric to cut off the radial scale at the value of $m$ or the condensate - no hard wall

The gauge DYNAMICS is input through a guess for $\Delta m$

$$
\Delta m^{2}=-2 \gamma=-\frac{3\left(N_{c}^{2}-1\right)}{2 N_{c} \pi} \alpha
$$

## Formation of the Chiral Condensate

We solve for the vacuum configuration of $L$

$$
\partial_{\rho}\left[\rho^{3} \partial_{\rho} L\right]-\rho \Delta m^{2} L=0 .
$$



Read off $m$ and $q q$ in the UV...
$\Delta m^{2}$ from QCD
Shoot out with

$$
L^{\prime}(\rho=L)=0
$$

## Meson Fluctuations

$$
S=\int d^{4} x d \rho \operatorname{Tr} \rho^{3}\left[\frac{1}{\rho^{2}+|X|^{2}}|D X|^{2}+\frac{\Delta m^{2}}{\rho^{2}}|X|^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \kappa^{2}}\left(F_{V}^{2}+F_{A}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

$$
L=L_{0}+\delta(\rho) e^{i k x} \quad k^{2}=-M^{2}
$$

$$
\partial_{\rho}\left(\rho^{3} \delta^{\prime}\right)-\Delta m^{2} \rho \delta-\left.\rho L_{0} \delta \frac{\partial \Delta m^{2}}{\partial L}\right|_{L_{0}}
$$

$$
+M^{2} R^{4} \frac{\rho^{3}}{\left(L_{0}^{2}+\rho^{2}\right)^{2}} \delta=0
$$



The source free solutions pick out particular mass states... the $\sigma$ and its radial excited states...

The gauge fields let us also study the operators and states

## Decay Constants (a la. AdS/QCD - hep-ph/0501128 [hep-ph])

 Decay constants are determined by allowing a source to couple to a physical state

## $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{V}}{ }^{2}$

## Note not $F_{V} m_{V}$

Now we need to fix the normalizations of the holographic linear perturbations...

For the physical states we canonically normalize the kinetic terms...

For the source solutions we fix $\kappa$ and the norms so that we match perturbative results for eg $\Pi_{\mathrm{VV}}$ in the UV... $N_{V}^{2}=N_{A}^{2}=\frac{g_{5}^{2} d(R) N_{f}(R)}{48 \pi^{2}}$

## Baryons

cf Brodsky, de Teramond hep-th/0501022 [hep-th]

In D3/D7 system some quark-gaugino-quark tri-fermion states are described by world volume fermions on the D7 it does not seem unreasonable to include three quark states in this way therefore.

## Plus our

### 1907.09489 [hep-th]

$$
S_{1 / 2}=\int d^{5} x \rho^{3} \bar{\Psi}\left(\not D_{\mathrm{AAdS}}-m\right) \Psi
$$

The four component fermion satisfies the second order equation

$$
\left(\partial_{\rho}^{2}+\mathcal{P}_{1} \partial_{\rho}+\frac{M_{B}^{2}}{r^{4}}+\mathcal{P}_{2} \frac{1}{r^{4}}-\frac{m^{2}}{r^{2}}-\mathcal{P}_{3} \frac{m}{r^{3}} \gamma^{\rho}\right) \psi=0
$$

where $M_{B}$ is the baryon mass and the pre-factors are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{P}_{1}=\frac{6}{r^{2}}\left(\rho+L_{0} \partial_{\rho} L_{0}\right), \\
& \mathcal{P}_{2}=2\left(\left(\rho^{2}+L_{0}^{2}\right) L \partial_{\rho}^{2} L_{0}+\left(\rho^{2}+3 L_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\partial_{\rho} L_{0}\right)^{2}+4 \rho L_{0} \partial_{\rho} L_{0}+3 \rho^{2}+L_{0}^{2}\right), \\
& \mathcal{P}_{3}=\left(\rho+L_{0} \partial_{\rho} L_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{+} & \sim \mathcal{J} \sqrt{\rho}+\mathcal{O} \frac{M_{B}}{6} \rho^{-11 / 2}, \\
\psi_{-} & \sim \mathcal{J} \frac{M_{B}}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}+\mathcal{O} \rho^{-9 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { IR boundary conditions } \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{ll}
\psi_{+}\left(\rho=L_{I R}\right)=1, & \partial_{\rho} \psi_{+}\left(\rho=L_{I R}\right)=0 \\
\psi_{-}\left(\rho=L_{I R}\right)=0, & \partial_{\rho} \psi_{-}\left(\rho=L_{I R}\right)=\frac{1}{L_{I R}}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## NJL Operators

$$
\mathcal{L}=\bar{\psi}_{L} \not \psi_{L}+\bar{\psi}_{R} \not \psi_{R}+\frac{g^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}} \bar{\psi}_{L} \psi_{R} \bar{\psi}_{R} \psi_{L}
$$

## $\square-\square$

$$
-i m=-\frac{g^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}} \int \frac{k^{2} d k^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\not k+m)}{k^{2}+m^{2}}
$$



$$
1=\frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi^{2}}\left(1-\frac{m^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}} \log \left[\left(\Lambda_{U V}^{2}+m^{2}\right) / m^{2}\right]\right)
$$

## Witten's Holographic Prescription



$$
\frac{g^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}} \bar{\psi}_{L} \psi_{R} \bar{\psi}_{R} \psi_{L} \rightarrow \frac{g^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}}\left\langle\bar{\psi}_{L} \psi_{R}\right\rangle \bar{\psi}_{R} \psi_{L}
$$

$$
m=\frac{g^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}} \sigma
$$

## QCD Dynamics - Nc=3, $\mathrm{Nf}=2, \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathbf{0}$

$$
\mu \frac{d \alpha}{d \mu}=-b_{0} \alpha^{2}, \quad b_{0}=\frac{1}{6 \pi}\left(11 N_{c}-2 N_{F}\right), \quad \gamma=\frac{3 C_{2}}{2 \pi} \alpha=\frac{3\left(N_{c}^{2}-1\right)}{4 N_{c} \pi} \alpha .
$$

| Observables <br> $(\mathrm{MeV})$ | QCD | AdS/SU $(3)$ <br> $2 \mathrm{~F} 2 \bar{F}$ | Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{\rho}$ | 775 | $775^{*}$ | fitted |
| $M_{A}$ | 1230 | 1183 | $-4 \%$ |
| $M_{S}$ | $500 / 990$ | 973 | $+64 \% /-2 \%$ |
| $M_{B}$ | 938 | 1451 | $+43 \%$ |
| $f_{\pi}$ | 93 | 55.6 | $-50 \%$ |
| $f_{\rho}$ | 345 | 321 | $-7 \%$ |
| $f_{A}$ | 433 | 368 | $-16 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $M_{\rho, n=1}$ | 1465 | 1678 | $+14 \%$ |
| $M_{A, n=1}$ | 1655 | 1922 | $+19 \%$ |
| $M_{S, n=1}$ | 990 | $/ 1200-1500$ | 2009 |
| $M_{B, n=1}$ | 1440 | 2406 | $+64 \% /+35 \%$ |

Table 1: The predictions for masses and decay constants (in MeV ) for $N_{f}=2$ massless QCD. The $\rho$-meson mass has been used to set the scale (indicated by the *).

Scale fixed by V-
meson

Pattern sensible

Pion decay constant needs a mass term

Baryon mass high

Radial excitations scale wrongly no string physics included

## Perfecting with HDOs



The weakly coupled gravity dual should only live between the red lines... probably we need HDOs at the UV scale to include matching effects... and stringy effects in the gravity model....
$\frac{g_{S}^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}}|\overline{q q}|^{2}$,
$\frac{g_{V}^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}}\left|\bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} q\right|^{2}$,
$\frac{g_{A}^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}}\left|\bar{q} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} q\right|^{2}$,

$$
\frac{g_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{5}}|q q q|^{2}
$$

| Observables | QCD | Dynamic AdS/QCD | HDO coupling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{MeV})$ |  |  |  |
| $M_{V}$ | 775 | 775 | sets scale |
| $M_{A}$ | 1230 | 1230 | fitted by $g_{A}^{2}=5.76149$ |
| $M_{S}$ | $500 / 990$ | 597 | prediction $+20 \% /-40 \%$ |
| $M_{B}$ | 938 | 938 | fitted by $g_{B}^{2}=25.1558$ |
| $f_{\pi}$ | 93 | 93 | fitted by $g_{S}^{2}=4.58981$ |
| $f_{V}$ | 345 | 345 | fitted by $g_{V}^{2}=4.64807$ |
| $f_{A}$ | 433 | 444 | prediction $+2.5 \%$ |
| $M_{V, n=1}$ | 1465 | 1532 | prediction $+4.5 \%$ |
| $M_{A, n=1}$ | 1655 | 1789 | prediction $+8 \%$ |
| $M_{S, n=1}$ | $990 / 1200-1500$ | 1449 | prediction $+46 \% / 0 \%$ |
| $M_{B, n=1}$ | 1440 | 1529 | prediction $+6 \%$ |

Pretty good... but we've lost some predictivity....

Table 2: The spectum and the decay constants for two-flavour QCD with HDOs from fig. 7 used to improve the spectrum.

## Proton/neutron mass still unconvincing

 2304.10816 [hep-ph]Add in the anomalous dimension for the qqq operator...

$$
\Delta m_{\psi}=\gamma=-\frac{3}{\pi} \alpha
$$

$$
M_{B}=1.40 M_{\rho}=1.08 \mathrm{GeV}
$$

## SU(3) with $\mathrm{Nf}=3,7,11$ using two loop beta function

$-m \pi, m \rho, m \sigma$ vs $m \pi$


Plots by Anja Alfano



Rho mass
at zero
quark mass used to set scale

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{\rho}\left(\rho^{3} \delta^{\prime}\right)-\Delta m^{2} \rho \delta-\left.\rho L_{0} \delta \frac{\partial \Delta m^{2}}{\partial L}\right|_{L_{0}} \\
+M^{2} R^{4} \frac{\rho^{3}}{\left(L_{0}^{2}+\rho^{2}\right)^{2}} \delta=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

In the limit where the gradient of the running vanishes the pion and sigma equations are analytically identical

In the probe models the quark physics knows nothing of the geometry at smaller $r$... where the quarks are decoupled and running is that of pure YMs...

If I fluctuate the brane I just move the YMs running region to lower $r$ though still invisible to the fluctuation.
... where the pure glue running is very non-conformal...

In Kiritsis \& Jarvinen model their fields all extend to $r=0$ and so they don't see a dilaton in the same limit.... who is decoupling correctly?

## $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ with $\mathrm{Nf}=3 . . .11$ - mo vs $\mathrm{m} \pi$



## $\mathrm{Sp}\left(2 N_{c}\right)$ gauge theory with 2 Dirac fundamentals

$$
\ldots\left(\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0.0 \\
0.0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
X=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & L_{0} & 0 & 0 \\
-L_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & L_{0} \\
0 & 0 & -L_{0} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & m_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
-m_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & m_{2} \\
0 & 0 & -m_{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

SU(2)L preserving vacuum. (anti-symmetric in flavour)
$\mathrm{U}(4)$-> $\mathrm{Sp}(4)$. With 5 (6-anomaly) (pseudo-)Goldstones of which pi $(2,2)$ is ready to be made into a composite higgs

$$
X_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \sigma-Q_{5}+i S-i \pi_{5} & Q_{2}-\pi_{2}+i \pi_{1}-i Q_{1} & -Q_{4}+\pi_{4}+i Q_{3}-i \pi_{3} \\
-\sigma+Q_{5}+i \pi_{5}-i S & 0 & Q_{4}+\pi_{4}+i Q_{3}+i \pi_{3} & Q_{2}+\pi_{2}+i Q_{1}+i \pi_{1} \\
\pi_{2}-Q_{2}+i Q_{1}-i \pi_{1}-Q_{4}-\pi_{4}-i Q_{3}-i \pi_{3} & 0 & \sigma+Q_{5}+i S+i \pi_{5} \\
Q_{4}-\pi_{4}+i \pi_{3}-i Q_{3} & -Q_{2}-\pi_{2}-i Q_{1}-i \pi_{1} & -\sigma-Q_{5}-i S-i \pi_{5} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

NJL operators
favour
technicolour

$$
\mathcal{L}=\frac{g_{s}^{2}}{\Lambda_{U V}^{2}}\left(\bar{\Psi}_{L} U_{R} \bar{U}_{R} \Psi_{L}+\bar{\Psi}_{L} D_{R} \bar{D}_{R} \Psi_{L}\right),
$$ breaking...

$$
X_{Q_{0}}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -Q_{0} \\
0 & 0 & Q_{0} & 0 \\
0 & -Q_{0} & 0 & 0 \\
Q_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

A holographic model has 12 real scalars ( $X$ ) and 16 U(4) gauge fields in the bulk...
You need a non-abelian DBI - X is a flavour matrix with all terms having a flavour trace in the action...

We can see the "rotation" from composite higgs to technicolour as the NJL operators go through their critical value

(a) $M_{f}^{2}, f=Q_{123}, Q_{4}, Q_{5}, \sigma$

(b) $M_{f}^{2}, f=\pi_{1245}, S_{+}, S_{-}$

The rotation is of course very sharp (here a 10 TeV cut off for the NJL)

## Multiple Mass Scales

In theories with two different representations of fermions the BF bound violation point $(\gamma=1)$ can be very separated

Eg SU(5) with one two-index symmetric rep (15) + Nf=15 fundamentals

$\ln \mu$


15 condenses first... then we decouple them from the running...

There's a factor of 15 between the scales...

But in the holographic model the walking at the high scale reduces the 15 s IR mass and the gap is only 3ish...
(It lives with light BF bound violation)

## The spectrum against Nf of the 5



Thermal theory should have chiral symmetry breaking in only one sector...

Are these theories too walking to study on the lattice?

Confinement is below the 5 scale?

## Summary

The D3/probe D7 system in holography is a remarkably simple calculator of quark physics in a strongly coupled gauge theory and ties chiral symmetry breaking to the running of the anomalous dimension of qq...

## We've constructed simple toy models of ANY non- <br> supersymmetric gauge + fermion system....

Model contains a dilaton that becomes degenerate with the pion in the walking limit... mass halves at $\mathrm{Nf}=8$
$\mathrm{Sp}(2 \mathrm{Nc})$ composite higgs models... spectrum computations as rotate CH to TC...

Multi-scale theories... two reps can have ~3 difference in chiral symmetry breaking scale - splits from confinement...

