


Debugging reconstruction


Andy Chappell and Matt Osbiston for the Pandora team

October 2025

10th UK LArTPC Software and Analysis Workshop



Reconstruction session



Credit: These slides build on previous LArSoft workshop slides by Andrew Smith-Jones

Key references: [Pandora ProtoDUNE paper](#)
[Pandora MicroBooNE paper](#)

Reconstruction is hard

- The events you've looked at so far have been relatively simple
- Two track-like trajectories emerging from a common vertex
- Nonetheless, **you may have seen some surprising reconstruction results**, e.g.:

Split tracks

Holes in tracks


Wavy tracks

Merged tracks

Incorrect vertex

- Most neutrino interactions will be **more complex** than this:
 - Track-like and shower-like topologies
 - Re-interactions
 - High particle multiplicity

You will come across mis-reconstructed events!



What can go wrong?


- Any given reconstruction failure can depend on a **wide variety of minute details** of the event under consideration
- However, a number of circumstances exist that more reliably cause problems
 - We'll give some **examples** in the next few slides!
- Combinations of these issues can lead to some bizarre reconstruction errors that make no sense unless you **walk through the sequence of (often small) mistakes** that produced the final outcome

Overlapping and back-to-back trajectories (1)

- Neutrino interactions happen in 3D, but we have (typically) three, 2D projections of the interaction
- Trajectories that are clearly distinct in 3D can appear indistinguishable in a 2D projection
- If the trajectories can be distinguished in two of the projections, it is still possible to effectively reconstruct the 3D trajectories
- If overlap occurs in multiple views however, you'll likely lose a particle

Example:

Tracks merged due to collinearity




Overlapping and back-to-back trajectories (2)

- Neutrino interactions happen in 3D, but we have (typically) three, 2D projections of the interaction
- Trajectories that are clearly distinct in 3D can appear indistinguishable in a 2D projection
- If the trajectories can be distinguished in two of the projections, it is still possible to effectively reconstruct the 3D trajectories

Example:


If one particle has a direction exactly opposite another, it's very likely the resultant straight-line will be reconstructed as a single particle

vertex here – no context to
help, tracks merged




Small opening angles and sparse showers (1)

- For showers, in particular, a **small opening angle between two showers** can make it challenging to determine to which shower the hits belong
- This can result in an **incorrect distribution of hits** among the showers, or to a **complete merging** of the two showers
- This is a common failure mode





Small opening angles and sparse showers (2)

- The opposite of this problem is **shower fragmentation**
- If there are **large gaps between the hits** belonging to a given shower, it can be difficult to merge them together and so showers can be broken into multiple reconstructed particles




Awkward trajectories (1)

- Pandora runs on discrete hits that it receives from signal processing steps that run before it
- However **those hits are extracted from continuous waveforms** produced by the drift electrons as they pass by induction planes and get deposited on the collection plane
- If a **particle trajectory is perpendicular to the wire planes**, its drift electrons interact with a single wire/strip, from which it is challenging to extract hits
 - The result is **a small number of wide hits** (i.e. a high uncertainty in the position along the drift direction)
 - Such hits can be difficult to cluster



Awkward trajectories (2)

- This can also occur if the component parallel to the planes aligns with a wire/strip (though this will only affect one view)
- The final awkward trajectory is what we call the **isochronous case**, where each (most) points along a particle trajectory have a common x coordinate
 - This is not a problem for reconstruction within a single view, but matching clusters between views uses the common x coordinate as a means to relate the clusters and so having all of the hits sharing a common x coordinate can be very unhelpful



Identifying a misbehaving algorithm (1)

- Different algorithms target different topologies and so use different criteria for decision making, which sometimes will be inappropriate
- If you see a reconstruction problem in your fully reconstructed event, it can be very useful to intercept the reconstruction at intermediate points to understand where things started to go wrong
- You can do this using the techniques from the previous exercise
 - 1) Add visualization algorithms at various points in the XML configuration
 - 2) Look to see if the clusters/PFOs at each point appear well reconstructed
 - 3) Make a judgment – for example, highly fragmented trajectories are often fine if the algorithm that targets these fragments hasn't run yet

Identifying a misbehaving algorithm (2)

- If you find an algorithm that broke your event, you have two broad choices:

1. Tune the algorithm

to modify its decision making
to avoid the mistake

2. Develop a new algorithm

specifically designed to fix the
kind of mistake you've found

- It's not realistic to expect you to develop new algorithms today
- We've created a very simplistic reconstruction workflow that introduces failures that we want you to try to fix
 - You can introduce existing algorithms
 - You can tune algorithms, but keep in mind, if you tune things too much for one event, you'll break others