

S-Matrix Uniqueness from Soft Theorems

Laurentiu Rodina

IPhT, CEA Saclay

Symmetries of S-matrix and Infrared Physics
Higgs Center, University of Edinburgh

July 18, 2018

- Probe the power of soft theorems, taking some inspiration from three topics: holography, the S-matrix, black hole information paradox
- The S-matrix is naturally a holographic object
- "Holographic S-matrix" from asymptotic symmetries (= soft theorems)?
- Black hole information from soft hair? Superficial question: how much information can soft particles actually carry?
- Concrete question: how much of an amplitude can be fixed by soft theorems?

IR vs UV information

- Naive answer: IR and UV are disjoint; soft theorems go to finite order so can only constrain some IR part of an amplitude

$$A = \text{IR}(\text{soft theorem satisfying}) + \text{UV}(\text{soft theorem avoiding})$$

- In fact soft theorems (together with locality) fully determine a wide range of amplitudes (YM, GR, NLSM, DBI, dilaton effective theories, extended theories like $\text{NLSM} \oplus \phi^3$, mixed theories like EYM; also including some low lying higher derivative corrections, like F^3 and F^4 for YM)
- Soft theorems can be relaxed

Three types of soft behavior

- Start with local ansatz B_n , take soft limit as $p_n^\mu = zp_n^\mu$, $z \rightarrow 0$

- Soft theorems

$$B_n \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{z}S_0 + z^0S_1 + \dots\right)A_{n-1}$$

- Soft operators

$$B_n \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{z}S_0 + z^0S_1 + \dots\right)B_{n-1}$$

- "Soft gauge invariance"

$$B_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{z}B_n^{-1} + z^0B_n^0 + \dots$$

with B_n^{-1} and B_n^0 gauge invariant only in particle n .

Soft theorem avoiding terms and enhanced soft limits

- Imposing soft theorems up to order $\mathcal{O}(z^k)$ on an ansatz:

$$B_n = \text{IR}[A_n] + [\mathcal{O}(z^{k+1}) \text{ terms}]$$

- At low enough mass dimension, the soft theorem avoiding terms cannot exist, so the amplitude is completely fixed
- Proof not immediate from power counting because of momentum conservation
- Some special objects have enhanced soft limit behavior compared to what power counting suggests [Cheung 16', Arkani-Hamed 16']

$$\text{Non-linear sigma model} = s_{13} \sim \mathcal{O}(z)$$

$$\text{Dirac-Born-Infeld} = s_{12}^2 + s_{13}^2 + s_{14}^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(z^2)$$

$$\text{Special Galileon} = s_{12}s_{13}s_{14} \sim \mathcal{O}(z^3)$$

- Imposing soft theorems leads to one of three outcomes:

$$B_n = A_n,$$

$$B_n = \text{IR}[A_n] + f(e, 1/K)A_{\text{enhanced}},$$

or

$$B_n = \text{IR}[A_n] + [\text{trivial objects}],$$

- Can easily determine which answer you get from propagator structure, mass dimension, etc.

Yang-Mills from gauge invariance

- Consider a general (ordered) local function at four points, with mass dimension matching the expected amplitude:

$$B_4(p^2) = a_1 \frac{e_1 \cdot e_2 \ e_3 \cdot p_1 \ e_4 \cdot p_2}{p_1 \cdot p_2} + a_2 \frac{e_1 \cdot e_2 \ e_3 \cdot e_4 \ p_2 \cdot p_3}{p_1 \cdot p_2} + 60 \text{ terms}$$

- Impose gauge invariance in particle 1...4, solve linear system in the a_i 's
- Unique solution which matches the amplitude! [Arkani-Hamed 16']
- Similar story for GR, and NLSM, DBI or special Galileon using the Adler zero
- Unitarity follows automatically
- Doesn't work for higher derivative corrections

Yang-Mills from soft theorems

- Consider the same ansatz, and impose soft theorems:

$$B_4(p^2) \rightarrow (S_0 + S_1)A_3$$

- Unique solution $B_4 = A_4$
- Easy exercise: any term you write at this mass dimension will have a $1/z$ or z^0 piece, so it is controlled by at least one soft theorem

$$\frac{e_1 \cdot e_2 e_3 \cdot p_1 e_4 \cdot p_2}{p_1 \cdot p_2}, \quad \frac{e_1 \cdot e_2 e_3 \cdot e_4 p_1 \cdot p_2}{p_1 \cdot p_4}$$

Yang-Mills higher derivative corrections

- Label the extra powers of momenta in the numerator by κ (so $\kappa = 0$ corresponds to the usual amplitude)
- Take a higher mass dimension ansatz, $\kappa = 2$, use F^3 as "seed":

$$B_n^{+2} \rightarrow (S_0 + S_1)A_{n-1}^{F^3}$$

- Still true for $\kappa = 4$, using the 5 possible amplitudes ($1 \times (F^3)^2 + 4 \times F^4$):

$$B_n^{+4} \rightarrow (S_0 + S_1)A_{n-1}^{F^4}$$

- One low point exception: $\kappa = 4$ at $n = 6$, where you find

$$B_6^{+4} = \text{IR}[A_6^{F^4}] + f(e)A_6^{\text{NLSM}}$$

Uniqueness

Soft operators

- Soft theorems contain lots of info through the lower point amplitude, so maybe this is not so surprising. Can we get away with less?
- Instead of full soft theorem, only require:

$$B_n \rightarrow (S_0 + S_1)B_{n-1}$$

- Fixes both B_n and B_{n-1} (and still true for higher corrections*)
- Crucially this even fixes the low point amplitude, so all the information is contained in the soft operator

Uniqueness

“Soft” gauge invariance

- If we got this far, how about using even less info?
- Just impose gauge invariance up to sub-leading order in the soft particle.

$$B_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{z} B_n^{-1} + z^0 B_n^0 + \dots \quad (1)$$

- Impose B_n^{-1} , B_n^0 gauge invariant in n ; repeat for other particles
- Still unique solution
- Conclusion: soft particles carry enough information to fully constrain the amplitude

- Same story, soft theorems fix amplitudes up to $\kappa = 4$
- Exception at $n = 6$ leads to DBI:

$$B_6^{+4} = \text{IR}[A_6^{+4}] + f(e)A_6^{\text{DBI}}$$

- Conjecture this is true for soft operators and soft gauge invariance

- Impose double soft theorems (including non-adjacent one)
- Amplitudes up to $\kappa = 4$ are fixed
- Conjecture still true for soft operators
- Soft operator approach finds a new solution at $\kappa = 2$, missed by Z-theory [Carrasco 17']

$$B_6^{+2} \rightarrow (S_0 + S_1)B_4^{+2} \Rightarrow B_4 = s_{12}s_{14}$$

- Soft Adler zero also fixes amplitudes:

$$B_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{z}B_n^{-1} + z^0 B_n^0 + zB_n^1 + \dots$$

impose B_n^i scales as $\mathcal{O}(z)$ only in $p_n \rightarrow 0$ and $p_{n-1} \rightarrow 0$

- New mixed amplitude found via CHY [Cachazo 16']

$$A_n \rightarrow z \sum s A_{n-1}^{\text{NLSM} \oplus \phi^3} + \mathcal{O}(z^2)$$

- Can fix $A^{\text{NLSM} \oplus \phi^3}$ by imposing NLSM soft theorems

- Same story as before: up to $\kappa = 4$ amplitudes via soft theorems
- New feature: for $\kappa = 0$ amplitudes leading+subleading theorems are enough: subsubleading does not contain new info

- Strange fact: no subleading double soft theorem for BI? Leading not enough
- But can use the BI extended theory [Cachazo 16']

$$A_n \rightarrow \sum_i \sum_j s_{in} s_{jn} S_{0;j} A_{n-1}^{\text{BI} \oplus \text{YM}}$$
$$B_n = \text{IR}[A_n^{\text{BI}}] + f(e) A_n^{\text{DBI}}$$

- Can fix by adding gauge invariance
- Not totally useless, $A_{n-1}^{\text{BI} \oplus \text{YM}}$ can be derived from soft behavior of gluons+BI photons.

- Two types of dilatons - "gravity" dilaton, and a "conformal" dilaton [Di Vecchia][Wen]
- Conformal dilaton satisfies:

$$S_0 = D - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial k_i^\mu} + \frac{D-2}{2} \right)$$

$$S_1 = -q^\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(2k_i^\mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k_i^\mu \partial k_i^\lambda} - k_{i\lambda} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial k_{i\nu} \partial k_i^\nu} \right) + \frac{D-2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial k_i^\lambda} \right)$$

- Further two types of dilaton theories depending on how conformal invariance is broken
- We can get both by modifying our ansatz: w/o singularities for explicit breaking, w/ singularities for spontaneous breaking

- Again the same story works, for example at $\kappa = 2$ by imposing

$$B_7(p^6) \rightarrow (S_0 + S_1)B_6(p^6)$$

we find

$$B_7(p^6) = f(D) \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 7} s_{ij}^3 + g(D) \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq 7} s_{ijk}^3$$

- A new feature: more derivatives fixed as multiplicity increases
- Like for DBI, the subleading theorem follows from the leading one (without assuming unitarity) [Wen 16']

Dilaton

Spontaneous breaking

- Now allow poles
- $\kappa = 0$ is the same as before, but at $\kappa = 2$ the DBI amplitude can appear
- We obtain:

$$B_6(p^6) = A_6^{\text{explicit}}(p^6) + A_6^{\text{DBI}}(p^8)$$

- But now it's not a bug, it's a feature: DBI appears in the action of this theory

Application

Uniqueness from BCFW

- Consider the following $[i, j]$ D-dimensional BCFW shift:

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &\rightarrow \hat{e}_i & p_i &\rightarrow p_i + z\hat{e}_i \\ e_j &\rightarrow \hat{e}_j + zp_i \frac{\hat{e}_i \cdot e_j}{p_i \cdot p_j} & p_j &\rightarrow p_j - z\hat{e}_i \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{e}_i = e_i - p_i \frac{e_i \cdot p_j}{p_i \cdot p_j}$.

- Claim 1: there are unique objects which have the usual BCFW scaling under this shift ($1/z$ for adjacent, $1/z^2$ for non-adjacent or permutation invariant functions - no bad shift)
- Claim 2: these objects are scattering amplitudes (for YM or GR)
- The first claim is provable via soft limits
- The second claim can only be proven now that we have uniqueness from soft theorems

Application

Uniqueness from BCFW

- Unique object "C" order by order

$$C \rightarrow \frac{1}{z} C^{-1} + z^0 C^0 + z C^1 + \dots$$

- Can check that $(S_0 + S_1)A_n$ also has the usual scaling, so by uniqueness:

$$C \rightarrow \frac{1}{z} S_0 A_n + z^0 S_1 A_n + z C^1 + \dots$$

- By uniqueness from soft theorems the unique BCFW object must be the amplitude
- Can use the shift to build general dimension amplitudes

Conclusion

- Conceptually interesting that soft behavior is so constraining; gives a common purpose to all soft theorems
- Thoughts about soft theorem avoiding terms in YM, GR lead to NLSM, DBI
- Easy new method to compute amplitudes using maximal ignorance
- But we also find a few new things
- The $\kappa = 2$ derivative correction to the NLSM

$$A_4 = p_1 \cdot p_2 p_1 \cdot p_4$$

- For DBI subsubleading theorem follows automatically from the others
- Can find arbitrarily high derivative corrections to dilaton
- Instance of scale invariance \Rightarrow conformal invariance, even without assuming unitarity

Conclusion

Future questions

- Extra constraints from double, triple etc. soft limits?
- General inverse soft factor method?
- Does this work in 4D?
- Holographic S matrix directly from asymptotic symmetries?
- General D symmetry responsible for soft theorems?
- Soft particles contain all amplitude information: is this extra motivation for BH info in soft particles, or just similar sounding words?