LLP Experimental Overview

John Stupak University of Oklahoma



11/20/19

Overview

- Introduction
- Search results
 - Indirect detection
 - Direct detection
- Summary
- Future prospects
- Conclusion



"There he goes. One of God's own prototypes. A highpowered mutant of some kind never even considered for mass production. Too weird to live, and too rare to die." -Hunter S. Thompson

Experimentalist's motivation for LLPs searches



Experimentalist's motivation for LLPs searches

Overview of CMS EXO results



Very extensive program of searches for prompt and stable particles

Experimentalist's motivation for LLPs searches







- Long-lived particles often have striking signatures with no irreducible SM backgrounds
 - For ~zero background searches, sensitivity scales with L_{int}
- Backgrounds are generally from non-collision sources or instrumental effects (typically quite rare)
 - Monte Carlo not appropriate
 - Data-driven techniques required
- Triggering and reconstruction of unconventional signatures can be highly non-trivial

Decay Position

For a given proper lifetime LP decays occur in variety of detector systems



[Heather Russell]

Detector Signatures

Each subsystem has a different signature

Flavors of displaced jets:



Recent LLP Results

Date	Experiment	Reference	LLP	Signature
10/19	LHCb	1910.06926	dark photon	dimuon DV
9/19	CMS	1909.06166	neutralino	non-pointing photon (elliptical shower and delayed arrival in ECAL)
9/19	ATLAS	1909.01246	dark photon	displaced lepton jet
7/19	ATLAS	1907.10037	gluino/squark R-hadron	dilepton ID DV
6/19	CMS	1906.06441	gluino R-hadron	displaced jet (delayed arrival in ECAL)
5/19	ATLAS	1905.10130	monopole/multi-charged particle	high-ionization (TRT, ECal)
5/19	ATLAS	1905.09787	heavy neutral lepton	dilepton ID DV
3/19	ATLAS	ATLAS-CONF-2019-006	stop R-hadrons	displaced jet (ID DV) + displaced muon
2/19	ATLAS	1902.03094	dark scalar	displaced jet (low EM-fraction)
2/19	ATLAS	1902.01636	gluino/squark R-hadron, chargino, stau	high-ionization (pixel) and delayed arrival (HCal, MS)
12/18	ATLAS	1812.03673	multi-charged particle	high-ionization (pixel, HCal, MS)
11/18	CMS	1811.07991	gluino/stop R-hadron	displaced jet (ID DV)
11/18	ATLAS	1811.07370	dark scalar, singlino	displaced jet (MS DV)
11/18	ATLAS	1811.02542	dark vector	Z(II) + displaced jet (low EM-fraction)
10/18	CMS	1810.10069	dark pion	emerging jets
8/18	ATLAS	1808.06358	gluino R-hadron	high-ionization (pixel)
9/18	CMS	1808.03078	neutralino, gluino, stop R-hadron	displaced jet (2 ID DVs)
8/18	ATLAS	1808.03057	dark vector, neutralino	dimuon MS DV
6/18	ATLAS	1806.07355	dark scalar	V + displaced jet (b-tagging)
4/18	CMS	1804.07321	chargino	disappearing track

Indirect Detection

[1810.10069]

Emerging Jets

- Search for heavy mediator between SM and hidden sector with QCD-like confining force
- Dark quark showers and hadronizes in the hidden sector before gradually decaying back to the SM
 - Many displaced vertices (+ MET)
- Strategy
 - Conventional trigger: H_T ≯ 90 GeV
 - Exploit large impact parameter of signal tracks
 - Define 8 sets of emerging jet tagging criteria
 - 7 SRs and 2 VRs (non-Displaced Di-Jet





John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

SRs

VRs

Set number

SM QCD-enhanced

Emerging Jets

- Dominant background: QCD multi-jet events with long-lived B mesons or track mis-measurement
 - Separate light- and heavy-flavor enhanced γ +jet samples used to determine mistag rates $\varepsilon_{\text{light}}(N_{\text{track}})$ and $\varepsilon_b(N_{\text{track}})$ for each emerging jet definition
 - CR defined for each SR/VR (same requirements, except N-1 emerging jet tags)
 - Heavy flavor fraction f_b determined with fit to b-tag discriminant templates
 - Apply mistag rates to jets in CR: $\varepsilon_f = \varepsilon_b f_b + \varepsilon_{\text{light}} (1 f_b)$



Set number	Expected	Observed
1	$168 \pm 15 \pm 5$	131
2	$31.8 \pm 5.0 \pm 1.4$	47
3	$19.4 \pm ~7.0 \pm ~5.5$	20
4	$22.5 \pm \ 2.5 \pm \ 1.5$	16
5	$13.9 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.6$	14
6	$9.4\pm2.0\pm0.3$	11
7	$4.40 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.28$	2

[1810.10069]



p

p

- Search for RPV stop \rightarrow qµ decays
 - First LLP result to analyze 2018 data
- Selects events with displaced ID vertex and displaced muon (ID+MS)
 - Conventional triggers: µ and calorimeter-based MET

q

q

 λ'_{23k}

ATLAS Large Radius Tracking

- Standard ATLAS track reconstruction efficiency falls steeply for R_{prod} > 10 mm (not so for CMS)
- Large Radius Tracking (LRT) largely recovers this inefficiency
 - Computationally intensive → select O(few %) of data for this special processing with signaturespecific filters
- Run DV reconstruction algorithm on the combined standard + large-radius track collection



	Standard	Large radius
$\frac{1}{1} Maximum d_0 (mm)$	10	300
Maximum $z_0 \pmod{m}$	250	1500
Maximum $ \eta $	2.7	5
Maximum shared silicon modules	1	2
Minimum unshared silicon hits	6	5
Minimum silicon hits	7	7
Seed extension	Combinatorial	Sequential



• LRT filter:

• MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection
Preselection	$p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5,$	$r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm},$
	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & \min < a_0 < 500 & \min, \\ z_0 < 500 & \min, \end{vmatrix}$	$\operatorname{min}(r_{\rm DV} - r_{\rm PV}) > 4 \operatorname{min}, \chi / N_{\rm DoF} < 5,$ Pass material map veto
Full selection	Pass cosmic-muon, fake-muon,	$n_{\mathrm{Tracks}}^{\mathrm{DV}} \ge 3,$
	and heavy-flavor vetoes	$m_{ m DV} > 20~{ m GeV}$

• LRT filter:

MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV



• LRT filter:

MS µ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection	
Preselection	$p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5,$	$r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm},$	
	$2 \text{ mm} < d_0 < 300 \text{ mm},$	$\min(\vec{r}_{\rm DV} - \vec{r}_{\rm PV}) > 4 \text{ mm}, \chi^2/N_{\rm DoF} < 5,$	
	$ z_0 < 500 \text{ mm}$	Pass material map veto	
Full selection	Pass cosmic-muon, fake-muon,	$n_{\mathrm{Tracks}}^{\mathrm{DV}} \geq 3,$	 random track crossings
	and heavy-flavor vetoes	$m_{\rm DV} > 20 { m ~GeV}$	
			material interactions

• LRT filter:

MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

2 orthogonal channels

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection	
Preselection $p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5,$		$r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm},$	
	$2 \text{ mm} < d_0 < 300 \text{ mm},$	$\min(\vec{r}_{\rm DV} - \vec{r}_{\rm PV}) > 4 \text{ mm}, \chi^2/N_{\rm DoF} < 5,$	
	$ z_0 < 500 \text{ mm}$	Pass material map veto	
Full selection	Pass cosmic-muon, fake-muon,	$n_{\mathrm{Tracks}}^{\mathrm{DV}} \geq 3,$	
	and heavy-flavor vetoes	$m_{\rm DV} > 20~{ m GeV}$	

Selection level	$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$ Trigger SR	Muon Trigger SR
Preselection	Selected by $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger,	Selected by muon trigger,
	Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ > 180 GeV,	Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ < 180 GeV,
	Selected PV, preselected muon,	Selected PV, preselected muon,
		Highest- $p_{\rm T}$ muon matches trigger muon
Full selection	\geq 1 full-selection muon, \geq 1 full-selection DV	

• LRT filter:

MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

2 orthogonal channels

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection	
Preselection $p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5,$		$r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm},$	
	$2 \text{ mm} < d_0 < 300 \text{ mm},$	$ \min(\vec{r}_{\rm DV} - \vec{r}_{\rm PV}) > 4 \text{ mm}, \chi^2/N_{\rm DoF} < 5,$	
	$ z_0 < 500 \text{ mm}$	Pass material map veto	
Full selection	Pass cosmic-muon, fake-muon,	$n_{ ext{Tracks}}^{ ext{DV}} \geq 3,$	
	and heavy-flavor vetoes	$m_{\rm DV} > 20~{ m GeV}$	

Selection level	$E_{ m T}^{ m miss}$ Trigger SR	Muon Trigger SR
Preselection	Selected by $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger,	Selected by muon trigger,
	Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ > 180 GeV, Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ < 180 GeV	
	Selected PV, preselected muon,	Selected PV, preselected muon,
		Highest- $p_{\rm T}$ muon matches trigger muon
Full selection ≥ 1 full-selection muon, ≥ 1 full-		nuon, ≥ 1 full-selection DV

• LRT filter:

MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

2 orthogonal channels

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection	Selection level	E ^{miss} Trigger SR	Muon Trigger SR
Preselection	$p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5,$ $2 \text{ mm} < d_0 < 300 \text{ mm},$	$r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, min(\vec{r}_{\rm DV} - \vec{r}_{\rm PV}) > 4 \text{ mm}, \chi^2/N_{\rm DoF} < 5,$	Preselection	Selected by $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger, Cluster-based $E_{\rm m}^{\rm miss} > 180$ GeV.	Selected by muon trigger, Cluster-based $E_{\pi}^{\text{miss}} < 180$ GeV.
Full selection	$ z_0 < 500 \text{ mm}$ Pass cosmic-muon, fake-muon,	Pass material map veto $n_{\text{Tracks}}^{\text{DV}} \ge 3,$		Selected PV, preselected muon,	Selected PV, preselected muon, Highest- <i>p</i> _T muon matches trigger muon
	and heavy-flavor vetoes	$ \qquad m_{\rm DV} > 20 \ {\rm GeV}$	Full selection	\geq 1 full-selection r	$\frac{1}{\text{nuon}, \geq 1 \text{ full-selection DV}}$

Background sources of displaced muons and vertices are uncorrelated

preselected events

DV control region	DV validation region	DV signal region
no preselected DVs	preselected DV(s), no selected DVs	selected DV(s)

• LRT filter:

MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

2 orthogonal channels

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection	Selection level	E ^{miss} Trigger SR	Muon Trigger SR
Preselection	$p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5,$ 2 mm < $ d_{\rm c} < 300 \text{ mm}$	$ r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm},$ $ \min(\vec{r}_{\rm mu} - \vec{r}_{\rm mu}) > 4 \text{ mm} \sqrt{2}/N_{\rm mu} < 5$	Preselection	Selected by $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger,	Selected by muon trigger,
	z < 500 mm,	$\operatorname{Imm}(IDV IPV) > 4 \operatorname{Imm}, \chi IVDOF < 0,$ $\operatorname{Pass material map voto}$		Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ > 180 GeV,	Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ < 180 GeV,
	$ z_0 < 500$ mm			Selected PV, preselected muon,	Selected PV, preselected muon,
Full selection	Pass cosmic-muon, fake-muon, and heavy-flavor vetoes	$n_{\text{Tracks}}^{DV} \ge 3,$ $m_{\text{DV}} \ge 20 \text{ GeV}$			Highest- $p_{\rm T}$ muon matches trigger muon
			Full selection	\geq 1 full-selection r	nuon, ≥ 1 full-selection DV

Background sources of displaced muons and vertices are uncorrelated

fails cosmic vetofails cosmic vetofails cosmic vetofails cosmic vetopasses full
muon selectionpasses full
muon selectionfails HF muon vetofails HF muon vetofails HF muon vetofails HF muon vetofails HF muon veto

preselected events

• LRT filter:

MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

2 orthogonal channels

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection	Selection level	E ^{miss} Trigger SR	Muon Trigger SR
Preselection	$p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5, 2 \text{ mm} < d_0 < 300 \text{ mm},$	$\begin{vmatrix} r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, \\ \min(\vec{r}_{\rm DV} - \vec{r}_{\rm PV}) > 4 \text{ mm}, \ \chi^2 / N_{\rm DoF} < 5, \end{vmatrix}$	Preselection	Selected by $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger,	Selected by muon trigger, Cluster based $E^{\text{miss}} < 180 \text{ GeV}$
	$ z_0 < 500 \text{ mm}$	Pass material map veto		Selected PV, preselected muon,	Selected PV, preselected muon,
Full selection	Pass cosmic-muon, fake-muon, and heavy-flavor vetoes	$n_{\text{Tracks}}^{D_{V}} \ge 3,$ $m_{\text{DV}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$			Highest- $p_{\rm T}$ muon matches trigger muon
			Full selection	\geq 1 full-selection muon, \geq 1 full-selection DV	

Background sources of displaced muons and vertices are uncorrelated



preselected events

11/20/19

measure transfer factors in DV CR

• LRT filter:

MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

2 orthogonal channels

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection	Selection level	E _T ^{miss} Trigger SR	Muon Trigger SR
Preselection	$p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5, 2 \text{ mm} < d_0 < 300 \text{ mm}, z_0 < 500 \text{ mm}$	$r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm},$ $\min(\vec{r}_{\rm DV} - \vec{r}_{\rm PV}) > 4 \text{ mm}, \chi^2/N_{\rm DoF} < 5,$ Pass material map veto	Preselection	Selected by $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger, Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ > 180 GeV,	Selected by muon trigger, Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ < 180 GeV,
Full selection	Pass cosmic-muon, fake-muon, and heavy-flavor vetoes	$n_{\text{Tracks}}^{\text{DV}} \ge 3,$ $m_{\text{DV}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$		Selected PV, preselected muon,	Selected PV, preselected muon, Highest- $p_{\rm T}$ muon matches trigger muon
			Full selection	\geq 1 full-selection muon, \geq 1 full-selection DV	

Background sources of displaced muons and vertices are uncorrelated



preselected events

11/20/19

• LRT filter:

MS μ w/ p_T > 60 GeV OR MET > 180 GeV

2 orthogonal channels

Selection level	Muon selection	Displaced vertex selection	Selection level	E ^{miss} Trigger SR	Muon Trigger SR	
Preselection	$p_{\rm T} > 25 \text{ GeV}, \eta < 2.5,$	$r_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm}, z_{\rm DV} < 300 \text{ mm},$ $min(\vec{x}_{\rm mm} _{\rm N}^2/N) < 5$	Preselection	Selected by $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ trigger,	Selected by muon trigger,	
	$ z_0 < 500 \text{ mm}$	$\operatorname{Him}(^{7}_{\mathrm{DV}} - ^{7}_{\mathrm{PV}}) > 4 \operatorname{Him}, \chi / ^{7}_{\mathrm{DoF}} < 5,$ Pass material map veto		Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ > 180 GeV,	Cluster-based $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$ < 180 GeV,	
Thell and at an				Selected PV, preselected muon,	Selected PV, preselected muon,	
Full selection	and heavy-flavor vetoes	$n_{\text{Tracks}} \ge 3,$ $m_{\text{DV}} > 20 \text{ GeV}$			Highest- $p_{\rm T}$ muon matches trigger muon	
			Full selection	\geq 1 full-selection r	\geq 1 full-selection muon, \geq 1 full-selection DV	

Background sources of displaced muons and vertices are uncorrelated



preselected events

11/20/19



good agreement between observations and expected background

	N _{exp}	Nobs
MET-triggered channel	0.34 ± 0.16 (stat) ± 0.16 (syst)	0
Muon-triggered channel	1.88 ± 0.20 (stat) ± 0.28 (syst)	1



Displaced Jet (Low EM-Fraction)

- Search for LLP decays in the HCal
 - Low EMCal/HCal energy ratio (EM fraction)
 - No associated tracker activity
 - Narrow energy deposits
- Main backgrounds:
 - Jets composed of mostly neutral hadrons
 - Beam-induced background (BIB)
 - Muons (traveling parallel to the beam) undergo hard bremsstrahlung in HCAL



Displaced Jet (Low EM-Fraction)

- Search for LLP decays in the HCal
 - Low EMCal/HCal energy ratio (EM fraction)
 - No associated tracker activity
 - Narrow energy deposits
- Main backgrounds:
 - Jets composed of mostly neutral hadrons
 - Beam-induced background (BIB)
 - Muons (traveling parallel to the beam) undergo hard bremsstrahlung in HCAL





John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

dedicated L1 and HLT trigger

Displaced Jet (Low EM-Fraction)

- Search for LLP decays in the HCal
 - Low EMCal/HCal energy ratio (EM fraction)
 - No associated tracker activity
 - Narrow energy deposits
- Main backgrounds:
 - Jets composed of mostly neutral hadrons
 - Beam-induced background (BIB)
 - Muons (traveling parallel to the beam) undergo hard bremsstrahlung in HCAL

dedicated L1 and HLT trigger

multilayer perceptron (estimate LLP decay position)



dedicated L1 and

HLT trigger

multilayer perceptron (estimate LLP decay position)

Displaced Jet (Low EM-Fraction)

- Search for LLP decays in the HCal
 - Low EMCal/HCal energy ratio (EM fraction)
 - No associated tracker activity
 - Narrow energy deposits
- Main backgrounds:

11/20/19

- Jets composed of mostly neutral hadrons
- Beam-induced background (BIB)
 - Muons (traveling parallel to the beam) undergo hard bremsstrahlung in HCAL



dedicated L1 and

HLT trigger

multilayer perceptron (estimate LLP decay position)

Displaced Jet (Low EM-Fraction)

- Search for LLP decays in the HCal
 - Low EMCal/HCal energy ratio (EM fraction)
 - No associated tracker activity
 - Narrow energy deposits
- Main backgrounds:
 - Jets composed of mostly neutral hadrons
 - Beam-induced background (BIB)
 - Muons (traveling parallel to the beam) undergo hard bremsstrahlung in HCAL





Displaced Jet (Low EM-Fraction)

ABCD method used to estimate residual QCD background:



Displaced Jet (Low EM-Fraction)

ABCD method used to estimate residual QCD background:



Displaced Jet (Low EM-Fraction)

H(125)

H(600)




Displaced Jet (Timing)

- Search for delayed jets (due to slow/heavy LLP and indirect path)
 - Few ns for TeV scale LLP with L \approx 1 m
 - First search to use ECal timing to identify delayed jets
- Backgrounds

$$t_{\rm jet} = {\rm median}\left(t_{\rm crystal}^i\right)$$

- ECal time resolution tails (inter-calibration uncertainty, crystaldependent scintillator rise time variations, run-by-run shifts associated with readout electronics)
- Electronic noise
- Direct APD hits (~11 ns faster than scintillation light)
- In-time PU (spread in collision time, varying flight paths)
- Out-of-time PU
- Satellite bunches (RF buckets separated by 2.5 ns)
- Beam halo
- Cosmic muons

```
11/20/19
```



```
\begin{split} E_{\rm ECAL} &> 20\,{\rm GeV} \\ N_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm cell} &> 25 \\ {\rm HEF} &> 0.2 \text{ and } E_{\rm HCAL} > 50\,{\rm GeV} \\ t_{\rm jet}^{\rm RMS}/t_{\rm jet} &< 0.4 \text{ and } t_{\rm jet}^{\rm RMS} < 2.5\,{\rm ns} \\ {\rm PV}_{\rm track}^{\rm fraction} &< 0.08 \\ E_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm CSC}/E_{\rm ECAL} &< 0.8 \\ t_{\rm jet} &> 3\,{\rm ns} \\ \hline Event \ level \ selection \\ {\rm At \ least \ one \ signal \ jet} \\ p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} &> 300\,{\rm GeV} ~~ {\rm trigger} \\ {\rm Quality \ filters} \\ {\rm max}(\Delta\phi_{\rm PC}) &< \pi/2 \\ {\rm max}(\Delta\phi_{\rm RPC}) &< \pi/2 \end{split}
```

Displaced Jet (Timing)

- Search for delayed jets (due to slow/heavy LLP and indirect path)
 - Few ns for TeV scale LLP with L \approx 1 m
 - First search to use ECal timing to identify delayed jets
- Backgrounds

$$t_{\rm jet} = {\rm median}\left(t_{\rm crystal}^i\right)$$

- ECal time resolution tails (inter-calibration uncertainty, crystaldependent scintillator rise time variations, run-by-run shifts associated with readout electronics)
- Electronic noise
- Direct APD hits (~11 ns faster than scintillation light)
- In-time PU (spread in collision time, varying flight paths)
- Out-of-time PU
- Satellite bunches (RF buckets separated by 2.5 ns)
- Beam halo
- Cosmic muons

11/20/19



```
 \begin{split} & E_{\rm ECAL} > 20 \, {\rm GeV} \\ & N_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm cell} > 25 \\ & {\rm HEF} > 0.2 \, {\rm and} \, E_{\rm HCAL} > 50 \, {\rm GeV} \\ & t_{\rm jet}^{\rm RMS} / t_{\rm jet} < 0.4 \, {\rm and} \, t_{\rm jet}^{\rm RMS} < 2.5 \, {\rm ns} \\ & {\rm PV}_{\rm track}^{\rm fraction} < 0.08 \\ & E_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm CSC} / E_{\rm ECAL} < 0.8 \\ & t_{\rm jet} > 3 \, {\rm ns} \\ & Event \, level \, selection \\ & {\rm At} \, least \, {\rm one} \, signal \, {\rm jet} \\ & p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 300 \, {\rm GeV} ~ {\color{red}{\textcircled{}}} {\rm trigger} \\ & {\rm Quality} \, {\rm filters} \\ & {\rm max}(\Delta \phi_{\rm DT}) < \pi/2 \\ & {\rm max}(\Delta \phi_{\rm RPC}) < \pi/2 \end{split}
```

Displaced Jet (Timing)

- Search for delayed jets (due to slow/heavy LLP and indirect path)
 - Few ns for TeV scale LLP with L \approx 1 m
 - First search to use ECal timing to identify delayed jets
- Backgrounds

$$t_{\rm jet} = {\rm median}\left(t_{\rm crystal}^i\right)$$

(μ²⁰⁰ δ) λ¹⁵⁰

100

50

- ECal time resolution tails (inter-calibration uncertainty, crystaldependent scintillator rise time variations, run-by-run shifts associated with readout electronics)
- Electronic noise
- Direct APD hits (~11 ns faster than scintillation light)
- In-time PU (spread in collision time, varying flight paths)
- Out-of-time PU
- Satellite bunches (RF buckets separated by 2.5 ns)
- Beam halo

11/20/19

Cosmic muons

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-50}^{6} \int_{-100}^{6} \int_{-100}^{6}$$

Displaced Jet (Timing)

- Search for delayed jets (due to slow/heavy LLP and indirect path)
 - Few ns for TeV scale LLP with L \approx 1 m
 - First search to use ECal timing to identify delayed jets
- Backgrounds

$$t_{\rm jet} = {\rm median}\left(t_{\rm crystal}^i\right)$$

- ECal time resolution tails (inter-calibration uncertainty, crystaldependent scintillator rise time variations, run-by-run shifts associated with readout electronics)
- Electronic noise
- Direct APD hits (~11 ns faster than scintillation light)
- In-time PU (spread in collision time, varying flight paths)
- Out-of-time PU
- Satellite bunches (RF buckets separated by 2.5 ns)
- Beam halo
- Cosmic muons



```
\begin{split} E_{\rm ECAL} &> 20\,{\rm GeV} \\ N_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm cell} &> 25 \\ {\rm HEF} &> 0.2 \text{ and } E_{\rm HCAL} > 50\,{\rm GeV} \\ t_{\rm jet}^{\rm RMS}/t_{\rm jet} &< 0.4 \text{ and } t_{\rm jet}^{\rm RMS} < 2.5\,{\rm ns} \\ {\rm PV}_{\rm track}^{\rm fraction} &< 0.08 \\ E_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm CSC}/E_{\rm ECAL} < 0.8 \\ t_{\rm jet} &> 3\,{\rm ns} \\ Event \ level \ selection \\ {\rm At \ least \ one \ signal \ jet} \\ p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} &> 300\,{\rm GeV} ~~ {\rm trigger} \\ {\rm Quality \ filters} \\ {\rm max}(\Delta\phi_{\rm DT}) < \pi/2 \\ {\rm max}(\Delta\phi_{\rm RPC}) < \pi/2 \end{split}
```

Displaced Jet (Timing)

- Search for delayed jets (due to slow/heavy LLP and indirect path)
 - Few ns for TeV scale LLP with L \approx 1 m
 - First search to use ECal timing to identify delayed jets
- Backgrounds

$$t_{\rm jet} = {\rm median}\left(t_{\rm crystal}^i\right)$$

- ECal time resolution tails (inter-calibration uncertainty, crystaldependent scintillator rise time variations, run-by-run shifts associated with readout electronics)
- Electronic noise
- Direct APD hits (~11 ns faster than scintillation light)
- In-time PU (spread in collision time, varying flight paths)
- Out-of-time PU
- Satellite bunches (RF buckets separated by 2.5 ns)
- Beam halo
- Cosmic muons

 $(\tilde{g})^{200}_{150}_{100$

```
E_{\text{ECAL}} > 20 \text{ GeV}
N_{\text{ECAL}}^{\text{cell}} > 25
\text{HEF} > 0.2 \text{ and } E_{\text{HCAL}} > 50 \text{ GeV}
t_{\text{jet}}^{\text{RMS}}/t_{\text{jet}} < 0.4 \text{ and } t_{\text{jet}}^{\text{RMS}} < 2.5 \text{ ns}
PV_{\text{track}}^{\text{fraction}} < 0.08
E_{\text{ECAL}}^{\text{CSC}}/E_{\text{ECAL}} < 0.8
t_{\text{jet}} > 3 \text{ ns}
Event \ level \ selection
At least one signal jet
p_{\text{T}}^{\text{miss}} > 300 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{trigger}
Quality filters
\max(\Delta\phi_{\text{DT}}) < \pi/2
\max(\Delta\phi_{\text{RPC}}) < \pi/2
```

Trackei

ECAL

0

HEF > 0.2 and $E_{\text{HCAL}} > 50 \text{ GeV}$

 $t_{\text{jet}}^{\text{RMS}}/t_{\text{jet}} < 0.4 \text{ and } t_{\text{jet}}^{\text{RMS}} < 2.5 \text{ ns}$

Event level selection

 $p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 300 \,{\rm GeV}$ \blacksquare trigger

50

HCAL

150

x (cm)

100

Displaced Jet (Timing)

- Search for delayed jets (due to slow/heavy LLP and indirect path)
 - Few ns for TeV scale LLP with L \approx 1 m
 - First search to use ECal timing to identify delayed jets
- Backgrounds

$$t_{\rm jet} = {\rm median}\left(t_{\rm crystal}^i\right)$$

(μ) 200 κ) 150

100

50

-50

-100

-150

-200 -150 -100 -50

 $E_{\rm ECAL} > 20 \,{\rm GeV}$

 $PV_{track}^{fraction} < 0.08$

 $E_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm CSC}/E_{\rm ECAL} < 0.8$

At least one signal jet

 $N_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm cell} > 25$

 $t_{\rm iet} > 3 \, \rm ns$

Quality filters

 $\max(\Delta\phi_{\rm DT}) < \pi/2$

 $\max(\Delta \phi_{\text{RPC}}) < \pi/2$

- ECal time resolution tails (inter-calibration uncertainty, crystaldependent scintillator rise time variations, run-by-run shifts associated with readout electronics)
- Electronic noise
- Direct APD hits (~11 ns faster than scintillation light)
- In-time PU (spread in collision time, varying flight paths)
- Out-of-time PU
- Satellite bunches (RF buckets separated by 2.5 ns)
- Beam halo —

11/20/19

Cosmic muons

19

Displaced Jet (Timing

- Search for delayed jets (due to slow/heavy LLP and indirect path)
 - Few ns for TeV scale LLP with $L \approx 1 \text{ m}$
 - First search to use ECal timing to identify delayed jets
- Backgrounds

$$t_{\rm jet} = {\rm median}\left(t_{\rm crystal}^i\right)$$

(μ)²⁰⁰ δ¹⁵⁰

100

50

-50

-100

-150

-200 -150 -100 -50 0

- ECal time resolution tails (inter-calibration uncertainty, crystaldependent scintillator rise time variations, run-by-run shifts associated with readout electronics)
- Electronic noise
- Direct APD hits (~11 ns faster than scintillation light)
- In-time PU (spread in collision time, varying flight paths)
- Out-of-time PU
- Satellite bunches (RF buckets separated by 2.5 ns)
- Beam halo

11/20/19

Cosmic muons

```
x (cm)
E_{\rm ECAL} > 20 \,{\rm GeV}
N_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm cell} > 25
HEF > 0.2 and E_{\text{HCAL}} > 50 \text{ GeV}
t_{\text{jet}}^{\text{RMS}}/t_{\text{jet}} < 0.4 \text{ and } t_{\text{jet}}^{\text{RMS}} < 2.5 \text{ ns}
PV_{track}^{fraction} < 0.08
E_{\rm ECAL}^{\rm CSC}/E_{\rm ECAL} < 0.8
t_{\rm iet} > 3 \, \rm ns
             Event level selection
At least one signal jet
p_{\rm T}^{\rm miss} > 300 \,{\rm GeV} \blacksquare trigger
Quality filters
\max(\Delta \phi_{\rm DT}) < \pi/2
\max(\Delta \phi_{\text{RPC}}) < \pi/2
```

Trackei

ECAL

50

100

HCAL

150

```
John Stupak - University of Oklahoma
```

Displaced Jet (Timing)

Background predictions from ABCD method (3x)

1
$_{0.02}^{0.06}$ (stat) $_{-0.01}^{+0.05}$ (syst)
0.09 (ctat) + 0.02 (cust)
$_{0.05}$ (stat) $_{-0.02}$ (syst)
$^{1.8}_{1.0}$ (stat) $^{+1.8}_{-1.0}$ (syst)





11/20/19

Displaced Jet (Timing)

Background predictions from ABCD method (3x)



CMS

10²

10

John Stupak - University of

137 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

12

t (ne'

10⁵

 $C\tau_0$ (mm)

Observation

Cosmic ray muon background Core and satellite bunch background

Beam halo muon background GMSB $m_{\pi} = 2400 \text{ GeV}, c\tau_0 = 1 \text{ m}$

GMSB $m_{\pi} = 2400 \text{ GeV}, c_{\tau_0} = 10 \text{ m}$

[1909.06166]

Delayed/Non-Pointing Photon

- Search for LLP decays to a photon
- Similar few ns delay (up to ~10)
 - Utilizes dedicated out-of-time photon reconstruction
 - Exploits non-normal ECal incidence angle
 → elliptical shower
- Trigger:
 - 2016 conventional γγ (p_T > 42, 25 GeV)
 - 2017 $\gamma\gamma$ OR dedicated γ (p_T > 60 GeV, elliptical shower) + H_T > 350 GeV
- Offline:
 - \geq 3 jets plus:
 - 2016: 2 displaced photons
 - 2017: 2 displaced photons OR 1 displaced photon + H_T



11/20/19

[1909.06166]

Delayed/Non-Pointing Photon

41.5 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

2017y

20

t, (ns)

Data $[p_{T}^{miss} < 100 \text{ GeV}]$

Data $[p_{\tau}^{miss} \ge 100 \text{ GeV}]$

ct: 2 m [$p_{\tau}^{miss} \ge 100 \text{ GeV}$]

 $(Scaled \times 0.056)$

GMSB A: 200 TeV

Dominant backgrounds: γ +jet and QCD

- Jet and photon requirements ~eliminate non-collision backgrounds
- Background predicted with ABCD method







22

[1905.09787]

Dilepton DV

- Search for LL Heavy Neutral Lepton (HNL) with small mixing with muon neutrino
- Utilizes LRT and same DV reconstruction algorithm as the displaced jet search
 - LRT filter: 1 prompt and 1 displaced muon
- SR requires DV with:
 - Exactly 2 OS tracks
 - Tight* muon
 - Tight* electron or muon
 - *m* > 4 GeV
 - 4 < *R* < 300 mm

*minus usual requirement on number of pixel hits





Dilepton DV

- Backgrounds
 - Material intersections and metastable states studied in CR
 - Found to be negligible for $m_{DV} > 4 \text{ GeV}$
 - Random track crossing background modeled with ABCD method
 - N_l in DV vs. SS/OS DV tracks



$$N_A = N_B \frac{N_C}{N_D}$$

leptons in DV	same-charge DV	opposite-charge DV opposite-charge DV estimated
2	B 0	0 (signal region) A < 2.3 at 90% CL
0	D 169254	168037 C

[1905.09787]

Dilepton DV

LL exclusion (decay lengths \approx 1-30 mm)



Direct Detection

[1905.10130]

Magnetic Monopole

- Monopoles are extremely highly-ionizing particles
 - Produce many high-threshold hits in TRT
 - Stop in ECal, after leaving a pencil-shaped energy deposit
- Dedicated trigger: Based on number and fraction of high-threshold (HT) hits in TRT RoI (w/ HCal energy veto)
- Offline selection: EM cluster seed with $E_T > 18$ GeV
- Background modeling: ABCD method
 - Fraction of nearby HT TRT hits
 - EM cluster energy dispersion





John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

0 events observed

Heavy Stable Charged Particle

- Search for several varieties of heavy stable charged particles (HSCP:) squark/gluino R-hadron, chargino, stau
 - Slow, muon-like particle
- Earlier searches from ATLAS and CMS show intriguing trend



Admittedly, a bit of cherry picking here

Heavy Stable Charged Particle

- Conventional triggers: muon and calorimeter-based MET
- Pixel detector: $dE/dx \rightarrow \beta\gamma$
 - Calibration via low-momentum p, π[±], K[±]
 - Resolution $\approx 14\%$
- HCal + MS: time of flight $\rightarrow \beta$
 - Calibration via high-momentum μ
 - HCal resolution ≈ 0.07
 - Combined resolution ≈ 0.02



Signal region	Trigger	Candidate	Candidates	Final requirements				
		selection	per event	$ \eta $	$p \; [\text{GeV}]$	$\beta_{ m ToF}$	$(\beta\gamma)_{dE/dx}$	Mass
SR-Rhad-MSagno	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	ID+CALO	≥ 1	≤ 1.65	≥ 200	≤ 0.75	≤ 1.0	IOF & dE/dx
SR-Rhad-FullDet	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/\mu$	LOOSE	≥ 1	≤ 1.65	≥ 200	≤ 0.75	≤ 1.3	ToF & dE/dx
SR-Rhad-FullDet	$E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{miss}}/\mu$	ID+CALO	≥ 1	≤ 1.65	≥ 200	≤ 0.75	≤ 1.0	ToF & dE/dx
SR-2Cand-FullDet	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/\mu$	LOOSE	= 2	≤ 2.00	≥ 100	≤ 0.95	-	ToF
SR-1Cand-FullDet	$E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}/\mu$	TIGHT	= 1	≤ 1.65	≥ 200	≤ 0.80	-	ToF

ID+calo does not use MS information



Heavy Stable Charged Particle

- Background modeling
 - Probability distribution functions derived for momentum, β_{ToF} , and $(\beta\gamma)_{dE/dx}$ in data sidebands
 - Randomly sampled to determine m_{ToF} (and $m_{dE/dx}$) shape
 - Normalized to data in low mass CR



Something to keep an eye on

Disappearing Track

- Search for charged particles which decay within the tracker \rightarrow "disappearing" track
 - Motivated by anomaly mediated SUSY breaking:
 - Small chargino/neutralino mass gap: chargino \rightarrow neutralino + soft π^{\pm}
- Trigger: exploit ISR to create MET
 - Dedicated: MET > 75 GeV + isolated track with $p_T > 50$ GeV
 - Conventional: Higher MET threshold w/o track requirement
- Offline event selection:
 - MET > 100 GeV
 - Jet w/ p_T > 110 GeV
 - Back to back with MET
 - Δφ_{max}(j_i, j_k) < 2.5



[1804.07321]

Disappearing Track

- Disappearing track selection:
 - ≥7 consecutive hits in innermost tracker layers (13 total)
 - ≥3 missing hits in outer tracker layers
 - Isolated from calorimeter deposits
 - Tight impact parameter requirements (combinatorial fakes)
- Dominant backgrounds:
 - Tracks from *e* (*τ*_h) which undergo hard bremsstrahlung (material interaction)
 - Fake tracks naturally no corresponding calorimeter deposit
 - Both backgrounds estimated using fully data-driven methods



Run period	Estimated r	Observed events		
	Leptons	Spurious tracks	Total	Observed events
2015	0.1 ± 0.1	$0^{+0.1}_{-0}$	0.1 ± 0.1	1
2016A	$2.0\pm0.4\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.2\pm0.4$	$2.4\pm0.5\pm0.4$	2
2016B	$3.1\pm0.6\pm0.2$	$0.9\pm0.4\pm0.9$	$4.0\pm0.7\pm0.9$	4
Total	$5.2\pm0.8\pm0.3$	$1.3\pm0.4\pm1.0$	$6.5\pm0.9\pm1.0$	7

11/20/19

Summary of Results

Summary of Results



John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

11/20/19

Gluino R-Hadron



John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

$H \rightarrow XX \rightarrow 4b$



John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

Future Prospects

Disappearing Track

- ATLAS disappearing track reconstruction requires hits on all 4 pixel layers, vetoes hits in SCT
- In pure Higgsino scenario, chargino proper lifetime is just 7 mm $\leq c\tau \leq 14$ mm
 - Use 3-hit "tracklets"
 - Fake rate increases drastically
 - Reconstruct soft ($p_T \gtrsim 300 \text{ MeV}$) π^{\pm} with dedicated algorithm in RoI around tracklet
 - Require consistency with 2 track DV
 - Ready for Run 2 data reprocessing (imminent)



Disappearing Track

- For HL-LHC, ATLAS tracker will be replaced
 - 5 pixel layers
 - 4 double-sided silicon strip layers
 - |η|< 4
- Compared to Run 2 PU, tracklet fake rate will increase by factor ~200
 - Totally dominates the background



[CMS PAS FTR-18-018]

L1 Trigger

- Triggering for H(125) \rightarrow XX \rightarrow 4j signal with X proper lifetimes c $\tau = O(10 \text{ mm})$ is a significant challenge
- CMS plans to have L1 track trigger for HL-LHC
 - Baseline design could be extended to reconstruct tracks with impact parameters in few cm range
 - Track jet clustering can be done in firmware, enabling displaced jet tagging at L1!



Conclusion

- Many recent/ongoing searches for LLPs at the LHC
 - Interest only likely to grow as conventional searches continue to come up null, and data doubling time increases
- A wide variety of LLP signatures currently covered at the LHC
 - But certainly plenty of gaps and/or room for improvement



Backup

Stop R-Hadron



John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

Chargino



11/20/19

Monopole



John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

LLP Schematic



Heavy Stable Charged Particle



	Lower mas	s requirements				
Selection	$m_{\rm ToF}^{\rm min}$ [GeV	$] m_{dE/dx}^{\min} $ [GeV]	$N_{\rm est.} \pm \sigma_{N_{\rm est.}}$	$N_{\rm obs.}$	p_0	Significance
SR-Rhad-MSagno	350	300	$8.0{\pm}3.0$	8	0.5	
	550	450	$1.8 {\pm} 0.6$	4	0.056	1.59
	700	600	$0.7 {\pm} 0.3$	2	0.11	1.24
	850	750	$0.4{\pm}0.1$	2	0.028	1.92
	350	300	11 ± 2	14	0.22	0.77
CP-Phod-EullDot	550	450	$2.8 {\pm} 0.7$	6	0.081	1.40
SK-KNAQ-FUIIDet	700	600	$1.4{\pm}0.4$	2	0.28	0.57
	850	750	$0.95 {\pm} 0.2$	2	0.18	0.93
	175		240 ± 20	227	0.5	
CP-1Cond-EullDot	375		17 ± 2	16	0.5	
SK-ICand-FullDet	600		2.2 ± 0.2	1	0.5	
	825		$0.48 {\pm} 0.07$	0	0.5	
SR-2Cand-FullDet	150		1.5 ± 0.3	0	0.5	
	350		$0.06 {\pm} 0.01$	0	0.5	
	575		$0.007 {\pm} 0.002$	0	0.5	
	800		0.0017 ± 0.0009	0	0.5	


[1810.10069]

16.1 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

Emerging Jets



b quark fraction

2.8

0.6

2.9

5.0

0.9

1.6

1.0

	CMS	Simulat	<i>tion</i> (m	eV)	(13 TeV)					
000	3	3	3	3	3	3	3		0.4	
500	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	-	0.35	
300	3	3	3	3	3	3	7		0.2	
225	6	6	6	6	7	7	7		0.3	
150	5	5	6	6	7	7	7	-	0.25	
100	6	6	6	7	7	7	7		0.2	_
60	5	5	5	7	7	7	7		0.2	
45	5	5	5	7	7	7	7	-	0.15	
25	5	5	5	7	7	7	7		0.1	
5	5	7	7	7	7	7	7		0.1	
2	_ 1	1	4	4	4	4	4	-	0.05	
1	1	1	4	4	4	4	4		0	
	400	600	800	1000	1250	1500 М _{Х_{DK}}	²⁰⁰⁰ [GeV]		U	

					-				-02 -1	-	. 🗱
	60	5	5	5	7	7	7	7	0.2 🔍	-	
╺┎╴┊	45	5	5	5	7	7	7	7	0.15	0	
	25	5	5	5	7	7	7	7	-01		
	5	5	7	7	7	7	7	7	0.1	Unc. P	
	2	_ 1	1	4	4	4	4	4	0.05	 -4	
0.7 0.8 0.9 1 α _{2D}	1	1	1	4	4	4	4	4	0		1000 1200 1400 1000 100
10		400	600	800	1000	1250	1500 m _{X DR}	[GeV]	U		
Source of unc	ertaint	y (%)				-					
fraction	non-b	quar	k con	nposi	ition						
3			1.4	-		-					1
6			4.4								
9		2	28.3								\ /
)			4.4								
9			4.0								
6			2.1								
)			6.3								

Cotnumbor	Exported	Observed	Signal	Model parameters			
Set number	Expected	Observed	Sigilai	$m_{X_{DK}}$ [GeV]	$m_{\pi_{\rm DK}}$ [GeV]	$c au_{\pi_{\mathrm{DK}}}$ [mm]	
1	$168 \pm 15 \pm 5$	131	36.7 ± 4.0	600	5	1	
2	$31.8 \pm 5.0 \pm 1.4$	47	$(14.6 \pm 2.6) \times 10^2$	400	1	60	
3	$19.4 \pm ~7.0 \pm ~5.5$	20	15.6 ± 1.6	1250	1	150	
4	$22.5 \pm \ 2.5 \pm \ 1.5$	16	$15.1\pm~2.0$	1000	1	2	
5	$13.9 \pm 1.9 \pm 0.6$	14	35.3 ± 4.0	1000	2	150	
6	$9.4\pm2.0\pm0.3$	11	20.7 ± 2.5	1000	10	300	
7	$4.40 \pm 0.84 \pm 0.28$	2	5.61 ± 0.64	1250	5	225	



16.1 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

Source	Uncertainty (%)
Track modeling	<1-3
MC event count	2 - 17
Integrated luminosity	2.5
Pileup	<1-5
Trigger	6 – 12
JES	<1-9
PDF	<1-4

11/20/19

Set number

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

Delayed/Non-Pointing Photon



optimized ABCD boundaries:

 C'	····	[$\Lambda \leq 300 \text{TeV}$	V	$\Lambda > 300 { m TeV}$			
	<i>ct</i> (m)	2016	2017γ	$2017\gamma\gamma$	2016	2017γ	$2017\gamma\gamma$	
	(0, 0.1)	0,250	0.5,300	0.5 , 150	0,250	0.5,300	0.5,200	
	(0.1 , 100)	1.5 , 100	1.5 , 200	1.5 , 150	1.5 , 150	1.5 , 300	1.5 , 200	

Systematic uncertainty	Sig/Bkg	Bins	2016	2017	Correlation
Integrated luminosity	Sig	A,B,C,D	2.5%	2.3%	Uncorrelated
Photon energy scale	Sig	A,B,C,D	1%	2%	Correlated
Photon energy resolution	Sig	A,B,C,D	1%	1%	Correlated
Jet energy scale	Sig	A,B,C,D	1.5%	2%	Correlated
Jet energy resolution	Sig	A,B,C,D	1.5%	1.5%	Uncorrelated
Photon time bias	Sig	A,B,C,D	1.5%	1%	Correlated
Photon time resolution	Sig	A,B,C,D	0.5%	0.5%	Correlated
Trigger efficiency	Sig	A,B,C,D	2%	<1%	Uncorrelated
Photon identification	Sig	A,B,C,D	2%	3%	Correlated
Closure in bin C ($c\tau \leq 0.1 \text{ m}$)	Bkg	С	2%	3.5%	Correlated
Closure in bin C ($c\tau > 0.1$ m)	Bkg	С	90%	90%	Correlated

	2017γ category								
Bin bound	lary ^{niss} (CN)1	А	В	С	D				
$[\iota_{\gamma}$ (IIS), p_{γ}	<u>[(Gev)]</u> N ^{data}	458 372	281	41	67 655				
(0.5, 300)	$N_{\rm bkg}^{\rm post-fit}$	458370 ± 660	281 ± 15	41.4 ± 2.4	67660 ± 280				
	N ^{post-fit} _{bkg(no C)}	460369 ± 660	281 ± 16	41.5 ± 2.7	67660 ± 280				
	Ndata	524652	1364	1	332				
(1.5, 200)	$N_{ m bkg}^{ m post-fit}$	524650 ± 710	1364 ± 36	0.9 ± 0.8	330 ± 20				
	N ^{post-fit} bkg(no C)	524650 ± 700	1364 ± 35	0.9 ± 1.0	330 ± 20				
	$N_{\rm obs}^{\rm data}$	525 694	322	0	333				
(1.5, 300)	$N_{\rm bkg}^{\rm post-fit}$	525690 ± 700	322 ± 17	0.19 ± 0.21	330 ± 20				
	N ^{post-fit} bkg(no C)	525690 ± 700	322 ± 17	0.20 ± 0.24	330 ± 20				
		$2017\gamma\gamma$	category						
	$N_{\rm obs}^{\rm data}$	21 640	362	56	3201				
(0.5, 150)	$N_{\rm bkg}^{\rm post-fit}$	21640 ± 140	364 ± 17	54.0 ± 3.0	3200 ± 60				
	N ^{post-fit} _{bkg(no C)}	21640 ± 140	362 ± 18	53.6 ± 3.3	3200 ± 60				
	Ndata	21 863	139	24	3233				
(0.5, 200)	$N_{\rm bkg}^{\rm post-fit}$	21860 ± 140	142 ± 11	21.1 ± 1.7	3240 ± 60				
	N _{bkg(no C)}	21860 ± 140	139 ± 11	20.6 ± 1.8	3230 ± 60				
	Ndata	24 824	418	0	17				
(1.5, 150)	$N_{\rm bkg}^{\rm post-fit}$	24820 ± 150	420 ± 20	0.25 ± 0.28	16.7 ± 4.4				
	N ^{post-fit} bkg(no C)	24820 ± 150	420 ± 20	0.29 ± 0.36	17.0 ± 4.4				
	$N_{\rm obs}^{\rm data}$	25 079	163	0	17				
(1.5, 200)	$N_{ m bkg}^{ m post-fit}$	25080 ± 150	163 ± 12	0.11 ± 0.12	16.9 ± 4.4				
	N ^{post-fit} bkg(no C)	25080 ± 150	163 ± 12	0.11 ± 0.14	17.0 ± 4.4				

[1804.07321]

Disappearing Track



Displaced Jet (Timing)



Displaced Jet (ID DV)



[ATLAS-CONF-2019-006] Displaced Jet (ID DV)



[1902.03094]

Displaced Jet (Low-EM Fraction)



2/15/19

Dilepton DV





John Stupak - University of Oklahoma

[1910.06926]

[1909.01246]

Displaced Lepton Jet



56

ATLAS Detector



ABCD

- Info from Will Buttinger: <u>https://</u> <u>twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/Main/</u> <u>ABCDMethod</u>
 - Including info on likelihood-based approach (which can account for signal contamination)
 - Simultaneous signal and background fit
 - Signal normalization controlled by µ
 - Background constrained to obey ABCD relation (within uncertainty)



(c) Possible validation regions 2

(d) Possible validation regions 3

Figure 10: Illustrations of the nominal signal and control regions, and possible validation and accompanying control regions. The ability to define the validation regions depends on the discreteness of the observables defining the plane.