

Trigger and reconstruction

Stewart Martin-Haugh (RAL)

Efficient Computing in High Energy Physics
18 February 2020



**Science and
Technology
Facilities Council**

Reconstruction

- ▶ Scope for novel reconstruction algorithms?
- ▶ Combining ML with traditional reconstruction is interesting
- ▶ What standalone reconstruction kits can we use?



GPUs

- ▶ Event reconstruction is embarrassingly parallel
 - ▶ Two CPUs = 2x throughput
 - ▶ CPU + GPU = ?x throughput
- ▶ For HLT farms or grid site procurement, want $\approx 10x$ speedup
 - ▶ Can we establish a cost metric/model
- ▶ For some existing resources (USHPC), $\approx 1.5x$ speedup may be acceptable (better than not using it)
- ▶ Gain experience even if we're not using it optimally

Coarsening reconstruction

- ▶ Store less data (cf TURBOTLADS¹)
- ▶ Lossy compression of raw data (e.g. with autoencoders)
- ▶ Numerical precision
 - ▶ Double, FP32, FP16, FP8, FP4
 - ▶ Fixed point?



www.shutterstock.com · 1338984698

¹Turbo stream, Trigger level analysis, Data scouting

What can we do in 6 months?

- ▶ Run ML directly on raw data for anomaly detection
- ▶ A simple algorithm implemented in CUDA, SYCL, Kokkos etc as a testbed
- ▶ Establish who in the UK is working on non-x86 architectures
- ▶ Make contact with other communities working on fast pattern recognition/decision making