### Lattice QCD thermodynamics

# Gergely Endrődi

University of Bielefeld







August 31 - September 03, 2021



## Strong force



quarks and gluons "confined" in the proton

#### Collision experiments [CERN outreach]



## **Cold versus hot**

#### heavy ion collisions





- two distinct phases of matter cold, confined vs. hot, deconfined hadronic vs. quark-gluon plasma
- phase transition in between
- theory: QCD

what is the nature of these phases? what is the reason behind confinement and deconfinement?

## Strongly interacting matter in extreme conditions

• heavy ion collisions  $T \lesssim 200$  MeV,  $n \lesssim 0.12$  fm<sup>-3</sup>,  $Z/A \approx 0.4$ 



## Strongly interacting matter in extreme conditions

• heavy ion collisions  $T \lesssim 200$  MeV,  $n \lesssim 0.12$  fm<sup>-3</sup>,  $Z/A \approx 0.4$ 

▶ neutron stars (mergers)  $T \lesssim 1$  keV ( $\lesssim 50$  MeV),  $n \lesssim 2$  fm<sup>-3</sup>,  $Z/A \gtrsim 0.025$ 



## Strongly interacting matter in extreme conditions

- ► heavy ion collisions  $T \leq 200$  MeV,  $n \leq 0.12$  fm<sup>-3</sup>,  $Z/A \approx 0.4$
- ▶ neutron stars (mergers)  $T \lesssim 1$  keV ( $\lesssim 50$  MeV),  $n \lesssim 2$  fm<sup>-3</sup>,  $Z/A \gtrsim 0.025$
- ▶ eary universe, QCD epoch  $T \lesssim 200$  MeV,  $n_B/s \approx 10^{-11}$ ,  $n_Q = 0$ ,  $n_\ell/s \lesssim 0.01$



 off-central heavy-ion collisions & Kharzeev, McLerran, Warringa '07 impact: chiral magnetic effect, anisotropies, elliptic flow ...

Fukushima '12 / Kharzeev, Landsteiner, Schmitt, Yee '14



- magnetars & Duncan, Thompson '92 impact: equation of state, mass-radius relation & Ferrer et al '10 gravitational collapse/merger & Anderson et al '08





- magnetars & Duncan, Thompson '92 impact: equation of state, mass-radius relation & Ferrer et al '10 gravitational collapse/merger & Anderson et al '08
- in the early universe, generated through phase transition in electroweak epoch & Vachaspati '91 & Enqvist, Olesen '93



- magnetars & Duncan, Thompson '92 impact: equation of state, mass-radius relation & Ferrer et al '10 gravitational collapse/merger & Anderson et al '08
- in the early universe, generated through phase transition in electroweak epoch & Vachaspati '91 & Enqvist, Olesen '93
- strength:  $B \approx 10^{15} \text{ T} \approx 10^{20} B_{\text{earth}} \approx 5 m_{\pi}^2$

 $\rightsquigarrow$  competition between strong force and electromagnetism

# Outline

- lecture 1: introduction to QCD and thermodynamics
- lecture 2: hot Yang-Mills theory on the lattice
- lecture 3: hot QCD on the lattice
- lecture 4: QCD in extreme conditions on the lattice

#### Literature

introduction to lattice
Gattringer, Lang Lect. Notes Phys. '10
Rothe '05
finite temperature field theory
Laine, Vuorinen Lect. Notes Phys. '16
Kapusta, Gale '06
numerical methods
DeGrand, DeTar '06
Newman, Barkema '99

# **Outline lecture 1**

- QCD, path integral and stochastic integration
- phase transitions and the Ising model
- finite temperature QFT

## QCD and the path integral

quark field

 $\psi_{f,\alpha,c}$ 

gluon field

$$A_{\mu} = A_{\mu}^{a} T^{a}$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{ ext{QCD}} = rac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} F_{\mu
u} F_{\mu
u} + ar{\psi} [\gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu + i g_{s} A_\mu) + m] \psi$$

field strength

$$F_{\mu\nu} = F^a_{\mu\nu}T^a, \qquad F^a_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A^a_
u - \partial_
u A^a_\mu + g_s f^{abc} A^b_\mu A^c_
u$$

## Path integral

- ▶ weak, electrodynamic interactions: g, g<sub>W</sub> ≪ 1: perturbation theory applicable
- strong interactions g<sub>s</sub> ~ 1: need a *nonperturbative* approach
- path integral & Feynman Rev. Mod. Phys. '48

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}ar{\psi} \, \mathcal{D}\psi \, \mathcal{D}A_\mu \, \exp(-S[ar{\psi},\psi,A_\mu])$$

with the action

$${\cal S} = \int {
m d}^4 x \, {\cal L}(ar \psi, \psi, {\cal A}_\mu)$$

► largest weight ↔ minimum of action (equations of motion)

# **Stochastic integration**

### Numerical integration

we want to calculate the integral

$$F=\int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x\,P(x)\,f(x),$$

$$\int_0^1 P(x) = 1$$



uniform sampling:

generate  $x_n \in [0, 1]$  uniform random variables

$$F = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} P(x_n) f(x_n)$$

endrodi@pcend:~\$ od -N2 -An < /dev/random 046620  importance sampling: generate x<sub>n</sub> with probability P(x<sub>n</sub>)

$$F = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(x_n)$$

 $\rightarrow$  animation

what if we cannot generate x<sub>n</sub> according to P?
 Markov chain

$$x_0 \to x_1 \to x_2 \to x_3 \to \dots$$
  
 $F = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x_n)$ 

 $\rightarrow$  animation

## Path integral

 $\blacktriangleright$  same kinds of integrals, but in  $\infty$  dimensions:

$$\langle F 
angle = \int \mathcal{D}\phi P[\phi] f[\phi]$$

x point  $\leftrightarrow \phi = \phi(x, y, z, t)$  field configuration P[ $\phi$ ] = exp( $-S[\phi]$ )

discretize space and time & Wilson PRD '74



▶  $10^9$ -dimensional integrals  $\rightsquigarrow$  high-performance computing

# **QCD** vacuum

#### how do the relevant field configurations look like?

 $\rightarrow$ 



#### **Phase transitions**

# **Types of transitions**

▶ 2<sup>nd</sup> order phase transitions: opalescence

▶ 1<sup>st</sup> order phase transitions: bubbles

crossover transition: no singularity







Ehrenfest classification:

n-th order phase transition  $\Leftrightarrow$ n-th derivative of log  $\mathcal{Z}$  is discontinuous

▶ partition function is analytic in finite volume ~→ singularities only arise in log Z as V → ∞ (practically: V macroscopic)

# Ising model

# 2D Ising model

► two-dimensional lattice i ∈ Z<sup>2</sup> degrees of freedom s<sub>i</sub> = ±1 exact solution Ponsager Phys. Rev. '44 numerical analysis Newman, Barkema



Hamiltonian with nearest-neigbor (i, j) interaction and magnetic field h

$$H[s] = -\sum_{\langle i,j
angle} s_i s_j - h \sum_i s_i$$

partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{e}^{-H/T} = \sum_{\{s\}} \mathrm{e}^{-H[s]/T}$$

expectation values

$$\langle A \rangle = rac{1}{V} rac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \sum_{\{s\}} A[s] e^{-H[s]/T}$$

# Spontaneous symmetry breaking

$$H[s] = \overbrace{-\sum_{\langle i,j\rangle} s_i s_j}^{H_0[s]} - h \sum_{i}^{M[s]} s_i$$

• at h = 0, system is invariant under parity

$$\mathcal{P}s_i = -s_i \qquad H_0[\mathcal{P}s] = H_0[s]$$

but dominant configurations are not invariant at low T

$$M[\mathcal{P}s] = -M[s]$$

parity symmetry restored at high T

• phase transition at  $T = T_c$ 

#### $\rightarrow$ animation

# Explicit symmetry breaking

► Hamiltonian  $H[s] = \overbrace{-\sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} s_i s_j}^{H_0[s]} - h \overbrace{\sum_{i} s_i}^{M[s]} s_i$ 

• at  $h \neq 0$  parity invariance is lost

$$\mathcal{P}s_i = -s_i \qquad H[\mathcal{P}s] \neq H[s]$$

magnetization always aligned with h

hM[s] > 0

transition smoothed out

 $\rightarrow$  animation

## Magnetization

sketch of results in infinite volume



magnetization as derivative

$$\langle M \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{s\}} M[s] e^{-H[s]/T} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial h}$$

23 / 37

## Magnetization

sketch of results in infinite volume



magnetization as derivative

$$\langle M \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}} \sum_{\{s\}} M[s] e^{-H[s]/T} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \log \mathcal{Z}}{\partial h}$$

► Ehrenfest: (M) continuous, ∂ (M) /∂T discontinuous → second order phase transition

#### **Order parameter**

how to define spontaneous symmetry breaking in terms of an expectation value?

$$h = 0$$
  $V < \infty$ :  $\langle M \rangle = 0$   $\forall T$ 

spontaneous breaking by explicit breaking

$$\lim_{h\to 0^{\pm}}\lim_{V\to\infty}\langle M\rangle \gtrless 0 \qquad T < T_c$$



## **Order parameter**

▶ a little cheating: instead of lim<sub>h→0<sup>+</sup></sub> lim<sub>V→∞</sub> ⟨M⟩ use lim<sub>V→∞</sub> ⟨|M|⟩ at h = 0



Ibarra-García-Padilla et al. EJP '16

critical behavior is the same for both observables
 *P* Newman, Barkema

## Susceptibility

magnetization

$$\langle M \rangle = \frac{1}{V} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{\{s\}} M[s] e^{-H[s]/T} = \frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \log Z}{\partial h}$$

susceptibility

$$\chi_{M} = \frac{\partial \langle M \rangle}{\partial h} = V \left[ \langle M^{2} \rangle - \langle M \rangle^{2} \right]$$



Ibarra-García-Padilla et al. EJP '16

## Critical behavior in the thermodynamic limit

second order phase transition: correlation length \$\xi\$ diverges
 critical exponents (valid at \$V \rightarrow \infty)\$

$$\xi \propto |T - T_c|^{-\nu}$$

$$\langle |M| \rangle \propto |T - T_c|^{\beta} \qquad \chi_M \propto |T - T_c|^{-\gamma} \qquad \langle |M| \rangle_{T = T_c} \propto h^{1/\delta}$$

 universality: symmetries and system dimension set the exponents

## Critical behavior towards the thermodynamic limit

- how to measure these in finite volume?
- in finite volume, system becomes ordered already when ξ ≈ L (Fisher scaling hypothesis)



# **First-order phase transitions**

### **First-order phase transitions**

- latent heat and metastability
- distribution at  $T = T_c$  and bubbles



#### **First-order phase transitions**



## Finite size scaling

partition function

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathrm{d}M \, P(M)$$

susceptibility

$$\chi_{M} = V \left[ \langle M^{2} \rangle - \langle M \rangle \right]$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  close to  $T_c$ 

$$F_{\pm} = F_0 \mp f \cdot (T - T_c)$$

susceptibility for large volumes

$$\chi_M = V \frac{c_+ c_-}{(e^{f(T-T_c)}c_+ + e^{-f(T-T_c)}c_-)^2} (M_+ - M_-)^2$$

peak at  $T_c + \mathcal{O}(1/V)$ , height  $\mathcal{O}(V)$  and width  $\mathcal{O}(1/V)$ 

$$\chi_M(L,T_c(L))\propto L^d$$



#### Crossover

distribution changes smoothly

$$P(M) \approx \exp\left[-F_1 - rac{(M-M_1)^2}{2c_1^2}
ight]$$

as  $M_1(T)$  passes from one value to another

$$\chi_M(L, T_c(L)) \propto L^0$$

• example for crossover: Ising model at  $h \neq 0$ 



## Susceptibility at a phase transition: summary

• susceptibility  $\chi$  of order parameter

finite size scaling

 $\chi(L, T_c(L)) \propto L^{\rho}$ 

$\rho$	transition type
0	crossover
$\gamma/\nu$	second order
d	first order

transition strength

$$d > \gamma/
u > 0$$
  $1^{
m st} > 2^{
m nd} > {
m crossover}$ 

## Finite temperature field theory

## **Equation of state**

free energy (density)

$$F = -T \log \mathcal{Z}$$
  $f = \frac{F}{V}$ 

entropy density

$$s = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial F}{\partial T}$$



$$p = -\frac{\partial F}{\partial V} \xrightarrow{V \to \infty} -f$$

energy density

$$\epsilon = -\frac{1}{V} \frac{\partial \log \mathcal{Z}}{\partial (1/T)} = f + Ts$$

interaction measure

$$I = {
m tr} \ T_{\mu
u} = \epsilon - 3p$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  all we need is  $\log \mathcal{Z}$ 

### **Quantum mechanics**

• reminder: QM path integral for transition amplitude x(t)

$$\langle x_f | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | x_i 
angle = \int_{\substack{x(0)=x_i \ x(t)=x_f}} \mathcal{D}x \ e^{i\mathcal{S}_M[x]}$$

implying (usually used for  $t o \infty$ )

٠

$$\int \mathrm{d}x \langle x | e^{-i\hat{H}t} | x 
angle = \int_{x(0)=x(t)} \mathcal{D}x \, e^{i\mathcal{S}_M[x]}$$

• similarly, finite T partition function  $x(\tau)$ 

$$\mathcal{Z} = \operatorname{tr} e^{-\hat{H}/T} = \int_{x(0)=x(1/T)} \mathcal{D}x \ e^{-S[x]}$$

differences

• argument of x: imaginary time  $\tau$ 

- over compact interval  $0 \le \tau \le 1/T$
- Euclidean action  $S = -S_M(t \rightarrow \tau = it)$  all terms in it positive!

## Scalar quantum field theory

partition function for real scalars

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{\phi(x,0)=\phi(x,1/T)} \mathcal{D}\phi \, e^{-\mathcal{S}[\phi]}$$

over commuting numbers  $\phi(x, \tau)$ 

partition function for complex scalars

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{\substack{\phi(x,0) = \phi(x,1/T) \\ \phi^*(x,0) = \phi^*(x,1/T)}} \mathcal{D}\phi^* \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{-S[\phi^*,\phi]}$$

for quadratic actions (free case)

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{-rac{1}{2}\phi M\phi} = C \cdot [\det(M)]^{-1/2}$$
  
 $\int \mathcal{D}\phi^* \mathcal{D}\phi \ e^{-\phi^* M\phi} = C' \cdot [\det(M)]^{-1}$ 

## Fermionic quantum field theory

partition function for fermions

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{\psi(x,0)=-\psi(x,1/T)} \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \, \mathcal{D}\psi \, e^{-S[\bar{\psi},\psi]}$$

over Grassmann numbers  $\psi(x, \tau)$ 

for bilinear actions (not just free case!)

$$\int {\cal D} ar{\psi} \, {\cal D} \psi \, {
m e}^{-ar{\psi} {
m {\it M}} \psi} = {
m {\it C}}'' \cdot {
m det}({
m {\it M}})$$

Euclidean Dirac operator

$$M = \partial \!\!\!/ + m = \gamma_{\mu} \partial_{\mu} + m \qquad \{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2\delta_{\mu\nu}$$