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## Renormalization in lattice QCD

K. Wilson contributed significantly in the renormalization since the 1960s

> "The origins of Lattice Gauge Theories", Lattice 2004, arXiv:hep-lat/0412043

Renormalization removed divergence as well as dependence on lattice regulator, so that the continuum limit ( $a \rightarrow 0$ ) can be recovered

## Perturbative Renormalization

## RI-MOM scheme

* Regularization Independent momentum subtraction (RI-MOM) schemes naturally defined in perturbation theory
* Calculation of Green functions of operators at given off-shell external states with momentum $p$
* A condition is applied on the Green functions to match them with their tree-level value

太 Examples of RI-type conditions:
For fermion field

$$
Z_{q}^{\mathrm{RI}}=\left.\frac{1}{12} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(S^{L}\right)^{-1}(p) S^{\mathrm{tree}}(p)\right]\right|_{p^{2}=\mu^{2}}
$$

For operator $\bar{\psi} \Gamma \psi$

$$
\left.\left(Z_{q}^{\mathrm{RI}}\right)^{-1} Z_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{RI}} \operatorname{Tr}\left[G_{\Gamma}^{L}(p) G_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{tree}}(p)\right]\right|_{p^{2}=\mu^{2}}=\left.\operatorname{Tr}\left[G_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{tree}}(p) G_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{tree}}(p)\right]\right|_{p^{2}=\mu^{2}}
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$

## Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

* Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$
S^{u}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle u(x) \bar{u}(y)\rangle, \quad S^{d}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle d(x) \bar{d}(y)\rangle
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

## Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$
S^{u}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle u(x) \bar{u}(y)\rangle, \quad S^{d}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle d(x) \bar{d}(y)\rangle
$$

|martha-imac:prop martha_laptop\$ more p_propagator_p2_2_2_2.0500.dat d $00002.601862 \mathrm{e}-02-5.076038 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00002.601862 \mathrm{e}-025.076038 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $00011.233905 e-03-1.207621 e-03$
u 0001 -1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03
d $0002-1.310907 e-03-2.694817 e-03$
u $00021.468996 e-03-4.242002 \mathrm{e}-03$
d $0010-1.496412 \mathrm{e}-04-3.169783 \mathrm{e}-03$
u 0010 1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03
d $00112.437554 \mathrm{e}-02-5.043610 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00112.437554 \mathrm{e}-025.043610 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $0012-1.302541 \mathrm{e}-031.948869 \mathrm{e}-03$
u 0012 -8.724107e-04 2.256440e-03
d 0020 1.468996e-03 4.242002e-03
u $0020-1.310907 \mathrm{e}-032.694817 \mathrm{e}-03$
d $0021-8.724107 \mathrm{e}-04-2.256440 \mathrm{e}-03$
u $0021-1.302541 \mathrm{e}-03-1.948869 \mathrm{e}-03$
d $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-02-5.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-025.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $0100-3.482079 \mathrm{e}-035.214037 \mathrm{e}-04$
u $01001.664846 e-03-8.245727 e-04$
d $0101-4.658045 \mathrm{e}-042.461028 \mathrm{e}-05$
u $0101-9.386779 \mathrm{e}-05-6.597294 \mathrm{e}-04$
d $0102-2.601649 \mathrm{e}-03$ 7.822767e-04
u 0102 3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03
d $0110-1.063519 \mathrm{e}-031.658460 \mathrm{e}-03$
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## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

## Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$
S^{u}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle u(x) \bar{u}(y)\rangle, \quad S^{d}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle d(x) \bar{d}(y)\rangle
$$

|martha-imac:prop martha_laptop\$ more p_propagator_p2_2_2_2.0500.dat d $00002.601862 \mathrm{e}-02-5.076038 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00002.601862 \mathrm{e}-025.076038 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $00011.233905 e-03-1.207621 e-03$
u 0001 -1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03
d $0002-1.310907 e-03-2.694817 e-03$
u $00021.468996 e-03-4.242002 e-03$
d $0010-1.496412 \mathrm{e}-04-3.169783 \mathrm{e}-03$
u 0010 1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03
d $00112.437554 \mathrm{e}-02-5.043610 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00112.437554 \mathrm{e}-025.043610 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $0012-1.302541 \mathrm{e}-031.948869 \mathrm{e}-03$
u 0012 -8.724107e-04 2.256440e-03
d $00201.468996 \mathrm{e}-034.242002 \mathrm{e}-03$
u $0020-1.310907 e-03$ 2.694817e-03
d $0021-8.724107 \mathrm{e}-04-2.256440 \mathrm{e}-03$
u $0021-1.302541 \mathrm{e}-03-1.948869 \mathrm{e}-03$
d $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-02-5.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-025.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $0100-3.482079 \mathrm{e}-035.214037 \mathrm{e}-04$
u $01001.664846 e-03-8.245727 e-04$
d $0101-4.658045 \mathrm{e}-042.461028 \mathrm{e}-05$
u $0101-9.386779 \mathrm{e}-05-6.597294 \mathrm{e}-04$
d $0102-2.601649 \mathrm{e}-03$ 7.822767e-04
u 0102 3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03
d $0110-1.063519 \mathrm{e}-031.658460 \mathrm{e}-03$
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## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

## Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$
S^{u}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle u(x) \bar{u}(y)\rangle, \quad S^{d}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle d(x) \bar{d}(y)\rangle
$$

Conf
martha-imac:prop martha_laptop\$ more p_propagator_p2_2_2_2.0500.dat d $00002.601862 \mathrm{e}-02-5.076038 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00002.601862 \mathrm{e}-025.076038 \mathrm{e}-01$
momentum indices
d $00011.233905 e-03-1.207621 e-03$
u 0001 -1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03
d $0002-1.310907 e-03-2.694817 e-03$
u $00021.468996 e-03-4.242002 \mathrm{e}-03$
d $0010-1.496412 \mathrm{e}-04-3.169783 \mathrm{e}-03$
u $00101.233905 \mathrm{e}-031.207621 \mathrm{e}-03$
d $00112.437554 \mathrm{e}-02-5.043610 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00112.437554 \mathrm{e}-025.043610 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $0012-1.302541 \mathrm{e}-031.948869 \mathrm{e}-03$
u $0012-8.724107 \mathrm{e}-042.256440 \mathrm{e}-03$
d $00201.468996 \mathrm{e}-034.242002 \mathrm{e}-03$
u $0020-1.310907 \mathrm{e}-03$ 2.694817e-03
d $0021-8.724107 \mathrm{e}-04-2.256440 \mathrm{e}-03$
u $0021-1.302541 \mathrm{e}-03-1.948869 \mathrm{e}-03$
d $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-02-5.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$
u $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-025.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $0100-3.482079 \mathrm{e}-035.214037 \mathrm{e}-04$
u $01001.664846 e-03-8.245727 e-04$
d $0101-4.658045 \mathrm{e}-042.461028 \mathrm{e}-05$
u $0101-9.386779 \mathrm{e}-05-6.597294 \mathrm{e}-04$
d $0102-2.601649 \mathrm{e}-03$ 7.822767e-04
u 0102 3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03
d $0110-1.063519 \mathrm{e}-031.658460 \mathrm{e}-03$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a p=2 \pi\left(\frac{n_{t}+\frac{1}{2}}{T}, \frac{n_{x}}{L}, \frac{n_{y}}{L}, \frac{n_{z}}{L}\right) \\
\text { Here: } \\
n_{t}=2, n_{x}=2, n_{y}=2, n_{z}=2 \\
L^{3} \times T=24^{3} \times 48 \\
N_{f}=4
\end{gathered}
$$

| $\beta=1.726, \quad a=0.093 \mathrm{fm}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a \mu$ | $a m_{P S}$ | lattice size |
| 0.0060 | 0.1680 | $24^{3} \times 48$ |
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## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

## Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$
S^{u}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle u(x) \bar{u}(y)\rangle, \quad S^{d}(p)=\frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x, y} e^{-i p(x-y)}\langle d(x) \bar{d}(y)\rangle
$$

Conf

u $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-025.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$
d $0100-3.482079 \mathrm{e}-035.214037 \mathrm{e}-04$
u $01001.664846 e-03-8.245727 e-04$
d $0101-4.658045 \mathrm{e}-042.461028 \mathrm{e}-05$
u $0101-9.386779 \mathrm{e}-05-6.597294 \mathrm{e}-04$
d $0102-2.601649 \mathrm{e}-037.822767 \mathrm{e}-04$
u 0102 3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03
d $0110-1.063519 \mathrm{e}-031.658460 \mathrm{e}-03$

| $\beta=1.726, \quad a=0.093 \mathrm{fm}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a \mu$ | $a m_{P S}$ | lattice size |
| 0.0060 | 0.1680 | $24^{3} \times 48$ |

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ Non-perturbatively in RI scheme



## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{s}_{1}, \mathbf{s}_{2}, \mathbf{c}_{1}, \mathbf{c}_{2} \\ \downarrow \downarrow \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| p_propagator_p2_2_2_2_0500.dat | d $00002.601862 \mathrm{e}-02-5.076038 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
|  | u $00002.601862 \mathrm{e}-025.076038 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
|  | d $00011.233905 \mathrm{e}-03-1.207621 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | u 0001 -1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03 |
|  | d $0002-1.310907 \mathrm{e}-03-2.694817 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | u $00021.468996 \mathrm{e}-03-4.242002 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | d $0010-1.496412 \mathrm{e}-04-3.169783 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | u 0010 1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03 |
|  | d $00112.437554 \mathrm{e}-02-5.043610 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
|  | u $00112.437554 \mathrm{e}-025.043610 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
|  | d $0012-1.302541 \mathrm{e}-031.948869 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | u $0012-8.724107 \mathrm{e}-042.256440 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | d $00201.468996 \mathrm{e}-034.242002 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | u $0020-1.310907 \mathrm{e}-03 \mathrm{2} .694817 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | d $0021-8.724107 \mathrm{e}-04-2.256440 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | u $0021-1.302541 \mathrm{e}-03-1.948869 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | d $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-02-5.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
|  | u $00222.405810 \mathrm{e}-025.064780 \mathrm{e}-01$ |
|  | d $0100-3.482079 \mathrm{e}-035.214037 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
|  | u $01001.664846 \mathrm{e}-03-8.245727 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
|  | d $0101-4.658045 \mathrm{e}-042.461028 \mathrm{e}-05$ |
|  | u $0101-9.386779 \mathrm{e}-05-6.597294 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
|  | d $0102-2.601649 \mathrm{e}-037.822767 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
|  | u $01023.717451 \mathrm{e}-031.340265 \mathrm{e}-03$ |
|  | d $0110-1.063519 \mathrm{e}-031.658460 \mathrm{e}-03$ |

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ Non-perturbatively in RI scheme



## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

* Each value of momentum is analyzed independently, e.g., p"=" $(2,2,2,2)$ for all the configurations (10)
* Use the data for up-quark or down-quark separately. $Z_{q}$ is extracted from either of these
$\star$ Propagator is a $12 \times 12$ matrix (spin-color space). You can use two unique indices instead of $4: 3 * s 1+c 1,3^{*} s 2+c 2$
* A jackknife analysis is applied on the propagators to find the "bins"


## Error estimation

## Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties

Jackknife resampling for variance and bias estimation


* Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines \# bins)
* Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin
$\star$ Calculate the average of the bins
* Calculate the statistical error of the above average


## Error estimation

## Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties

Jackknife resampling for variance and bias estimation


* Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines \# bins)
* Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin
$\star$ Calculate the average of the bins
* Calculate the statistical error of the above average


## Error estimation

## Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties

Jackknife resampling for variance and bias estimation


* Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines \# bins)

$$
N_{\text {data }}=4, \quad N_{\text {omit }}=1, N_{\text {bin }}=4
$$

* Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin
$\star$ Calculate the average of the bins
$\star$ Calculate the statistical error of the above average


## Error estimation

## Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties

Jackknife resampling for variance and bias estimation


* Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines \# bins)

$$
N_{\text {data }}=4, \quad N_{\text {omit }}=1, N_{\text {bin }}=4
$$

* Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$
D_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_{j}}{N_{\mathrm{data}}-N_{\mathrm{omit}}}
$$

$\star$ Calculate the average of the bins

* Calculate the statistical error of the above average


## Error estimation

## Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties

Jackknife resampling for variance and bias estimation

$\star$ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines \# bins)

$$
N_{\text {data }}=4, N_{\text {omit }}=1, N_{\text {bin }}=4
$$

* Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$
D_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_{j}}{N_{\mathrm{data}}-N_{\mathrm{omit}}}
$$

$\star$ Calculate the average of the bins

$$
\bar{D}=\sum_{i} \frac{D_{\mathrm{i}}}{N_{\text {bin }}}
$$

$\star$ Calculate the statistical error of the above average

## Error estimation

## Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties

Jackknife resampling for variance and bias estimation


* Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines \# bins)

$$
N_{\text {data }}=4, \quad N_{\text {omit }}=1, \quad N_{\text {bin }}=4
$$

* Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$
D_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_{j}}{N_{\mathrm{data}}-N_{\mathrm{omit}}}
$$

$\star$ Calculate the average of the bins

$$
\bar{D}=\sum_{i} \frac{D_{\mathrm{i}}}{N_{\mathrm{bin}}}
$$

* Calculate the statistical error of the above average

$$
d \bar{D}=\sqrt{\sum_{i}\left(D_{i}-\bar{D}\right)^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{N_{\mathrm{bin}}-1}{N_{\mathrm{bin}}}}
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

$\star$ On each bin, take the inverse of the propagator
$\star$ On each bin, apply the renormalization prescription

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\qquad Z_{q}=\left.\frac{1}{12} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\frac{-i \sum_{\rho} \gamma_{\rho} \sin \left(p_{\rho}\right)}{\sum_{\rho} \sin \left(p_{\rho}\right)^{2}} S_{q}^{-1}(p)(p)\right]\right|_{p^{2}=\mu^{2}} \\
\text { Tree-level (diagonal } \\
\text { in color space) }
\end{array}\right] \begin{aligned}
& \text { where } \mathrm{ap}=2 \pi\left(\frac{n_{t}+\frac{1}{2}}{T}, \frac{n_{x}}{L}, \frac{n_{y}}{L}, \frac{n_{z}}{L}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

Matrices basis

| Row 1 | [marthac@ela3 beta_1.726]\$ more matrices.txt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
|  | 1, |  | Yo, |  | Y 1 , |  | Y2, |  | Ү3, |  |  | ${ }_{5}$ |

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

* Once you have the bin values of $Z_{q}$ calculate the average and the jackknife error.
$\star$ The estimate for $Z_{q}$ corresponds to a renormalization scale (ap) ${ }^{2}$. Therefore, different estimate $Z_{q}$ is expected for each momentum


## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

* Once you have the bin values of $Z_{q}$ calculate the average and the jackknife error.
* The estimate for $Z_{q}$ corresponds to a renormalization scale (ap) ${ }^{2}$. Therefore, different estimate $Z_{q}$ is expected for each momentum



## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

$\star$ The scheme and scale dependence in the physical matrix elements,

$$
\text { e.g. }\langle N| \bar{\Psi} \Gamma \Psi|N\rangle
$$

is introduced through the renormalization $\quad Z_{\Gamma}^{S}(\mu)\langle N| \Psi \bar{\Psi} \Gamma \Psi|N\rangle$

* To compare with experimental data, one needs to convert to a convenient scheme and renormalization scale. The necessary conversion and evolution is applied on $Z_{\Gamma}^{S}(\mu)$ (by definition, the scheme \& scale are introduced during the renormalization procedure)
* Typically one chooses the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme at a scale $\mu$ of 2 GeV


## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

For the scheme conversion it is convenient to use the intermediate Renormalization Group Invariant (RGI) scheme, which is scale independent and relates the $\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ results

$$
Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RGI}}=Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu) \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu)=Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV}) \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV})
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

For the scheme conversion it is convenient to use the intermediate Renormalization Group Invariant (RGI) scheme, which is scale independent and relates the $\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ results

$$
Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\left.\mathrm{RGI}=Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu) \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu)=Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV}) \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV}), ~()^{2}\right)}
$$

The conversion factor can be read from the above relation

$$
z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV}) \equiv C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{R} \mathrm{I}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu, 2 \mathrm{GeV}) Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu), \quad C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}, \overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu, 2 \mathrm{GeV})=\frac{\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}(\mu)}{\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV})}
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

For the scheme conversion it is convenient to use the intermediate Renormalization Group Invariant (RGI) scheme, which is scale independent and relates the $\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ results

$$
Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RGI}}=Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu) \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu)=Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV}) \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV})
$$

The conversion factor can be read from the above relation

$$
Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV}) \equiv C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{R} \mathrm{I}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu, 2 \mathrm{GeV}) Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu), \quad C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{R} \mathrm{I}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu, 2 \mathrm{GeV})=\frac{\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}(\mu)}{\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV})}
$$

* The scheme dependent quantity $\Delta Z$ can be expressed in terms of the $\beta$-function and the anomalous dimension, $\gamma$ s of the operator

$$
\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)=\left(2 \beta_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2 \rho_{0}}} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{g^{s}(\mu)} \mathrm{d} g^{\prime}\left(\frac{\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)}{\beta^{\mathcal{S}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\beta_{0} g^{\prime}}\right)\right\}
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> \section*{Non-perturbatively in RI scheme}

The scheme dependent quantity $\Delta Z$ can be expressed in terms of the $\beta$-function and the anomalous dimension, $\gamma$ s of the operator/field

$$
\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)=\left(2 \beta_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\tau_{0}}{2 \mathcal{P}_{0}}} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{g^{s}(\mu)} \mathrm{d} g^{\prime}\left(\frac{\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)}{\beta^{\mathcal{S}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\beta_{0} g^{\prime}}\right)\right\}
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

The scheme dependent quantity $\Delta Z$ can be expressed in terms of the $\beta$-function and the anomalous dimension, $\gamma$ s of the operator/field

$$
\begin{array}{clr}
\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)=\left(2 \beta_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2 \beta_{0}}} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)} \mathrm{d} g^{\prime}\left(\frac{\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)}{\beta^{\mathcal{S}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\beta_{0} g^{\prime}}\right)\right\} & \\
\beta^{\mathcal{S}}=\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu} g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)=-\beta_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{3}}{16 \pi^{2}}-\beta_{1} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{5}}{\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2}}-\beta_{2}^{\mathcal{S}} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{7}}{\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3}}+\cdots & \beta_{0}=11-\frac{2}{3} N_{f}, & \gamma_{0}=0, \\
\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}=-\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu} \log Z_{\mathcal{S}}=\gamma_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}+\gamma_{1}^{\mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{\mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{3}+\cdots & \beta_{1}=102-\frac{38}{3} N_{f}, & \gamma_{1}=\frac{134}{3}-\frac{8}{3} N_{f}, \\
\gamma_{2}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}=\frac{20729}{18}-79 \zeta_{3}-\frac{1100}{9} N_{f}+\frac{40}{27} N_{f}^{2} \\
& \beta_{2}=\frac{2857}{2}-\frac{5033}{18} N_{f}+\frac{325}{54} N_{f}^{2} & \gamma_{2}^{\mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}=\frac{52321}{18}-79 \zeta_{3}-\frac{1100}{9} N_{f}+\frac{40}{27} N_{f}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

* The scheme dependent quantity $\Delta Z$ can be expressed in terms of the $\beta$-function and the anomalous dimension, $\gamma$ s of the operator/field

$$
\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)=\left(2 \beta_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2 \beta_{0}}} \exp \left\{\int_{0}^{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)} \mathrm{d} g^{\prime}\left(\frac{\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)}{\beta^{\mathcal{S}}\left(g^{\prime}\right)}+\frac{\gamma_{0}}{\beta_{0} g^{\prime}}\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\beta^{\mathcal{S}}=\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu} g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)=-\beta_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{3}}{16 \pi^{2}}-\beta_{1} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{5}}{\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2}}-\beta_{2}^{\mathcal{S}} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{7}}{\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{3}}+\cdots
$$

$$
\beta_{0}=11-\frac{2}{3} N_{f}
$$

$$
\gamma_{0}=0
$$

$$
\beta_{0}=11-\frac{-}{3} N_{f}, \quad \gamma_{1}=\frac{104}{3}-\frac{0}{3} N_{f},
$$

$$
\beta_{1}=102-\frac{38}{3} N_{f}
$$

$$
\gamma_{2}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}=\frac{20729}{18}-79 \zeta_{3}-\frac{1100}{9} N_{f}+\frac{40}{27} N_{f}^{2}
$$

$$
\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}=-\mu \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \mu} \log Z_{\mathcal{S}}=\gamma_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}+\gamma_{1}^{\mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{2}^{\mathcal{S}}\left(\frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{3}+\cdots \quad \beta_{2}=\frac{2857}{2}-\frac{5033}{18} N_{f}+\frac{325}{54} N_{f}^{2}
$$

$$
\gamma_{2}^{\mathrm{R}^{\prime}}=\frac{52321}{18}-79 \zeta_{3}-\frac{1100}{9} N_{f}+\frac{40}{27} N_{f}^{2}
$$

To 3-loop approximation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)= & \left(2 \beta_{0} \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_{0}}{2 \beta_{0}}}\left(1+\frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}} \frac{\beta_{1} \gamma_{0}-\beta_{0} \gamma_{1}^{S}}{2 \beta_{0}^{2}}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^{4}}{\left(16 \pi^{2}\right)^{2}} \frac{-2 \beta_{0}^{3} \gamma_{2}^{S}+\beta_{0}^{2}\left(\gamma_{1}^{S}\left(2 \beta_{1}+\gamma_{1}^{S}\right)+2 \beta_{2} \gamma_{0}\right)-2 \beta_{0} \beta_{1} \gamma_{0}\left(\beta_{1}+\gamma_{1}^{S}\right)+\beta_{1}^{2} \gamma_{0}^{2}}{8 \beta_{0}^{4}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left.\left.\frac{g^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}, \mathrm{RI}^{\prime}}(\mu)^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\right|_{3-\text { loop }}=\frac{1}{\beta_{0} L}-\frac{\beta_{1}}{\beta_{0}^{3}} \frac{\log L}{L^{2}}+\frac{1}{\beta_{0}^{5}} \frac{\beta_{1}^{2} \log ^{2} L-\beta_{1}^{2} \log L+\beta_{2} \beta_{0}-\beta_{1}^{2}}{L^{3}}\right), \quad L=\log \frac{\mu^{2}}{\Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}} \quad \Lambda_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}=294 \quad$ Here $\quad(\mathrm{Nf}=4)$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$

## Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

* Each value is converted to the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme and evolved to a common scale ( 2 GeV )

$$
Z_{q}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV})=C^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}, \mathrm{RI}}\left(2 \mathrm{GeV}, \mu_{0}\right) \mathscr{E}_{q}^{\mathrm{RI}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)
$$

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

Each value is converted to the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme and evolved to a common scale ( 2 GeV )

$$
Z_{q}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \mathrm{GeV})=C^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}, \mathrm{RI}}\left(2 \mathrm{GeV}, \mu_{0}\right) \mathscr{E}_{q}^{\mathrm{RI}}\left(\mu_{0}\right)
$$

| RI-scale |  |  | $C^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}, \mathrm{RI}}\left(2 \mathrm{GeV}, \mu_{0}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.99100206897122278 |
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.99294282316067028 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.0032896646629899 |
| 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1.0091758085180254 |
| 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.99510205432872045 |
| 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.0040829703706433 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1.0095521548715289 |
| 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.0132348431953588 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0.99730459096929835 |
| 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.0049781204593131 |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1.0099952827090808 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.0134870227807959 |
| 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.0059351309588331 |
| 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1.0104912752396640 |
| 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.0137760840862191 |
| 7 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.0069205185317565 |
| 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1.0110265994346921 |
| 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.0140961806241273 |
| 8 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.0079082825459709 |
| 8 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1.0115888346131423 |
| 8 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.0144414892330362 |
| 9 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1.012167115875919 |
| 9 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 1.0148064367797194 |

## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

* Each value is converted to the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme and evolved to a common scale ( 2 GeV )



## Exercise: Calculation of $Z_{q}$ <br> Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

* Each value is converted to the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme and evolved to a common scale ( 2 GeV )



## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory

1. Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
2. Renormalization of new operators for which non-perturbative prescriptions are not available
3. Qualitative understanding of the renormalization pattern of operators
4. Operator improvement
5. Synergy between perturbative and non-perturbative results helps improve final estimates for renormalization functions

## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory (1)
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## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory (1)

* Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
* Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x\rangle_{q, g}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{R} & =Z_{q q} \sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{B} \\
\langle x\rangle_{g}^{R} & =Z_{g g}\langle x\rangle_{g}^{B}
\end{aligned}
$$



Absence of mixing: multiplicative renormalization

## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory (1)

* Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
$\star$ Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x\rangle_{q, g}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{R} & =Z_{q q} \sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{B}+Z_{q g}\langle x\rangle_{g}^{B} \\
\langle x\rangle_{g}^{R} & =Z_{g g}\langle x\rangle_{g}^{B}+Z_{g q} \sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{B}
\end{aligned}
$$



Absence of mixing: multiplicative renormalization

## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory (1)

* Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
* Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x\rangle_{q, g}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{R} & =Z_{q q} \sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{B}+Z_{q g}\langle x\rangle_{g}^{B} \\
\langle x\rangle_{g}^{R} & =Z_{g g}\langle x\rangle_{g}^{B}+Z_{g q} \sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{B}
\end{aligned}
$$



Absence of mixing: multiplicative renormalization

Presence of mixing: contributions from multiple matrix elements

## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory (1)

* Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
* Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x\rangle_{q, g}$


$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{R} & =Z_{q q} \sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{B}+Z_{q g}\langle x\rangle_{g}^{B} \\
\langle x\rangle_{g}^{R} & =Z_{g g}\langle x\rangle_{g}^{B}+Z_{g q} \sum_{q}\langle x\rangle_{q}^{B}
\end{aligned}
$$



Absence of mixing: multiplicative renormalization

Presence of mixing: contributions from multiple matrix elements

Mixing coefficients $Z_{g q}, Z_{q g}$ :

- subleading compared to $Z_{q q}, Z_{g g}$
- difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to gauge noise


## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory (1)


(d) $Z_{g g}$

## * Analytic expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{g g}=1+\frac{g^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{e_{11}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}+e_{11}^{(2)} N_{f}-\frac{2 N_{f}}{3} \log \left(a^{2} \bar{\mu}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& Z_{g q}=0+\frac{g^{2} C_{f}}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(e_{12}^{(1)}+e_{12}^{(2)} c_{\mathrm{SW}}+\frac{8}{3} \log \left(a^{2} \bar{\mu}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Non-perturbative calculations unsuccessful for $Z_{g q}$ and $Z_{q g}$

[Y.B Yang et al., PRD 98, 074506 (2018), arXiv:1805.00531]
[C. Alexandrou et al., PRD 101, 094513 (2020), arXiv:2003.08486]

## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory (1)

## Quark and gluon momentum fraction mixing in LPT


(a) $Z_{q q}$

(b) $Z_{q g}$

(c) $\mathbb{Z}_{g q}$

(d) $Z_{g g}$

## * Analytic expressions
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\begin{aligned}
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\begin{aligned}
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## Contributions of Lattice Pert. Theory (1)

## Quark and gluon momentum fraction mixing in LPT


(a) $Z_{q q}$

(b) $Z_{q g}$

(d) $Z_{g g}$

* Mixing coefficients can be isolated
$\star$ Calculation is very taxing! Many millions of terms...
* Analytic expressions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{g g}=1+\frac{g^{2}}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(\frac{e_{11}^{(1)}}{N_{c}}+e_{11}^{(2)} N_{f}-\frac{2 N_{f}}{3} \log \left(a^{2} \bar{\mu}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& Z_{g q}=0+\frac{g^{2} C_{f}}{16 \pi^{2}}\left(e_{12}^{(1)}+e_{12}^{(2)} c_{\mathrm{SW}}+\frac{8}{3} \log \left(a^{2} \bar{\mu}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Non-perturbative calculations unsuccessful for $Z_{g q}$ and $Z_{q g}$
[Y.B Yang et al., PRD 98, 074506 (2018), arXiv:1805.00531]
[C. Alexandrou et al., PRD 101, 094513 (2020), arXiv:2003.08486]

