Renormalization and Improvement

Lecture 3

Martha Constantinou



EuroPLEx Summer School 2021 on Lattice Field Theory and Applications

University of Edinburgh

August 26 - September 3, 2021



Renormalization in lattice QCD

K. Wilson contributed significantly in the renormalization since the 1960s

"The origins of Lattice Gauge Theories", Lattice 2004, arXiv:hep-lat/0412043

Renormalization removed divergence as well as dependence on lattice regulator, so that the continuum limit ($a \rightarrow 0$) can be recovered



Perturbative Renormalization RI-MOM scheme

- Regularization Independent momentum subtraction (RI-MOM) schemes naturally defined in perturbation theory
- ★ Calculation of Green functions of operators at given off-shell external states with momentum p
- ★ A condition is applied on the Green functions to match them with their tree-level value
- **★** Examples of RI-type conditions:

For operator $\overline{\psi} \Gamma \psi$

For fermion field
$$Z_q^{\text{RI}} = \frac{1}{12} \text{Tr} \left[(S^L)^{-1}(p) S^{\text{tree}}(p) \right] \Big|_{p^2 = \mu^2}$$

$$(Z_q^{\mathrm{RI}})^{-1} Z_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{RI}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[G_{\Gamma}^{L}(p) G_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{tree}}(p) \right] \Big|_{p^2 = \mu^2} = \operatorname{Tr} \left[G_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{tree}}(p) G_{\Gamma}^{\mathrm{tree}}(p) \right] \Big|_{p^2 = \mu^2}$$

★ Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$S^{u}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle u(x)\overline{u}(y) \right\rangle , \qquad S^{d}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle d(x)\overline{d}(y) \right\rangle$$



Exercise: Calculation of Z_q

Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$S^{u}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle u(x)\overline{u}(y) \right\rangle , \qquad S^{d}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle d(x)\overline{d}(y) \right\rangle$$

martha_imac:prop martha_laptop\$ more p_propagator_p2_2_2_2.0500.dat d 0 0 0 0 2.601862e-02 -5.076038e-01 u 0 0 0 0 2.601862e-02 5.076038e-01 d 0 0 0 1 1.233905e-03 -1.207621e-03 u 0 0 0 1 -1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03 d 0 0 0 2 -1.310907e-03 -2.694817e-03 u 0 0 0 2 1.468996e-03 -4.242002e-03 d 0 0 1 0 -1.496412e-04 -3.169783e-03 u 0 0 1 0 1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03 d 0 0 1 1 2.437554e-02 -5.043610e-01 u 0 0 1 1 2.437554e-02 5.043610e-01 d 0 0 1 2 -1.302541e-03 1.948869e-03 u 0 0 1 2 -8.724107e-04 2.256440e-03 d 0 0 2 0 1.468996e-03 4.242002e-03 u 0 0 2 0 -1.310907e-03 2.694817e-03 d 0 0 2 1 -8.724107e-04 -2.256440e-03 u 0 0 2 1 -1.302541e-03 -1.948869e-03 d 0 0 2 2 2.405810e-02 -5.064780e-01 u 0 0 2 2 2.405810e-02 5.064780e-01 d 0 1 0 0 -3.482079e-03 5.214037e-04 u 0 1 0 0 1.664846e-03 -8.245727e-04 d 0 1 0 1 -4.658045e-04 2.461028e-05 u 0 1 0 1 -9.386779e-05 -6.597294e-04 d 0 1 0 2 -2.601649e-03 7.822767e-04 u 0 1 0 2 3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03 d 0 1 1 0 -1.063519e-03 1.658460e-03

Exercise: Calculation of Z_q

Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

★ Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$S^{u}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle u(x)\overline{u}(y) \right\rangle , \qquad S^{d}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle d(x)\overline{d}(y) \right\rangle$$
Conf

martha-imac:prop martha_laptop\$ more p_propagator_p2_2_2_2.0500.dat d 0 0 0 0 2.601862e-02 -5.076038e-01 u 0 0 0 0 2.601862e-02 5.076038e-01 d 0 0 0 1 1.233905e-03 -1.207621e-03 u 0 0 0 1 -1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03 d 0 0 0 2 -1.310907e-03 -2.694817e-03 u 0 0 0 2 1.468996e-03 -4.242002e-03 d 0 0 1 0 -1.496412e-04 -3.169783e-03 u 0 0 1 0 1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03 d 0 0 1 1 2.437554e-02 -5.043610e-01 u 0 0 1 1 2.437554e-02 5.043610e-01 d 0 0 1 2 -1.302541e-03 1.948869e-03 u 0 0 1 2 -8.724107e-04 2.256440e-03 d 0 0 2 0 1.468996e-03 4.242002e-03 u 0 0 2 0 -1.310907e-03 2.694817e-03 d 0 0 2 1 -8.724107e-04 -2.256440e-03 u 0 0 2 1 -1.302541e-03 -1.948869e-03 d 0 0 2 2 2.405810e-02 -5.064780e-01 u 0 0 2 2 2.405810e-02 5.064780e-01 d 0 1 0 0 -3.482079e-03 5.214037e-04 u 0 1 0 0 1.664846e-03 -8.245727e-04 d 0 1 0 1 -4.658045e-04 2.461028e-05 u 0 1 0 1 -9.386779e-05 -6.597294e-04 d 0 1 0 2 -2.601649e-03 7.822767e-04 u 0 1 0 2 3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03 d 0 1 1 0 -1.063519e-03 1.658460e-03

Exercise: Calculation of Z_a

Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$S^{u}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle u(x)\overline{u}(y) \right\rangle , \qquad S^{d}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle d(x)\overline{d}(y) \right\rangle$$
Conf

martha-imac:prop martha_laptop\$ more p_propagator_p2_2_2_2.0500.dat d 0 0 0 0 2.601862e-02 -5.076038e-01 momentum indices u 0 0 0 0 2.601862e-02 5.076038e-01 d 0 0 0 1 1.233905e-03 -1.207621e-03 u 0 0 0 1 -1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03 d 0 0 0 2 -1.310907e-03 -2.694817e-03 u 0 0 0 2 1.468996e-03 -4.242002e-03 d 0 0 1 0 -1.496412e-04 -3.169783e-03 u 0 0 1 0 1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03 d 0 0 1 1 2.437554e-02 -5.043610e-01 u 0 0 1 1 2.437554e-02 5.043610e-01 d 0 0 1 2 -1.302541e-03 1.948869e-03 u 0 0 1 2 -8.724107e-04 2.256440e-03 d 0 0 2 0 1.468996e-03 4.242002e-03 u 0 0 2 0 -1.310907e-03 2.694817e-03 d 0 0 2 1 -8.724107e-04 -2.256440e-03 u 0 0 2 1 -1.302541e-03 -1.948869e-03 d 0 0 2 2 2.405810e-02 -5.064780e-01 u 0 0 2 2 2.405810e-02 5.064780e-01 d 0 1 0 0 -3.482079e-03 5.214037e-04 u 0 1 0 0 1.664846e-03 -8.245727e-04 d 0 1 0 1 -4.658045e-04 2.461028e-05 u 0 1 0 1 -9.386779e-05 -6.597294e-04 d 0 1 0 2 -2.601649e-03 7.822767e-04 u 0 1 0 2 3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03 d 0 1 1 0 -1.063519e-03 1.658460e-03

 $ap = 2\pi \left(\frac{n_t + \frac{1}{2}}{T}, \frac{n_x}{L}, \frac{n_y}{L}, \frac{n_z}{L} \right)$

Here: $n_t = 2, n_x = 2, n_y = 2, n_z = 2$ $L^3 \times T = 24^3 \times 48$ $N_{f} = 4$

	$\beta = 1.726, \ a = 0.093 \text{ fm}$	
$a\mu$	am_{PS}	lattice size
0.0060	0.1680	$24^3 \times 48$

Exercise: Calculation of Z_q

Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

★ Starting point: fermion propagators in momentum space

$$S^{u}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle u(x)\overline{u}(y) \right\rangle , \qquad S^{d}(p) = \frac{a^{8}}{V} \sum_{x,y} e^{-ip(x-y)} \left\langle d(x)\overline{d}(y) \right\rangle$$
Conf

Έ

d 0 0 0 0 2.601862e-02 -5.076038e-01 p propagator p2 2 2 2 0500.dat u 0 0 0 0 2.601862e-02 5.076038e-01 d 0 0 0 1 1.233905e-03 -1.207621e-03 u 0 0 0 1 -1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03 d 0 0 0 2 -1.310907e-03 -2.694817e-03 u 0 0 0 2 1.468996e-03 -4.242002e-03 d 0 0 1 0 -1.496412e-04 -3.169783e-03 u 0 0 1 0 1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03 d 0 0 1 1 2.437554e-02 -5.043610e-01 u 0 0 1 1 2.437554e-02 5.043610e-01 d 0 0 1 2 -1.302541e-03 1.948869e-03 u 0 0 1 2 -8.724107e-04 2.256440e-03 d 0 0 2 0 1.468996e-03 4.242002e-03 u 0 0 2 0 -1.310907e-03 2.694817e-03 d 0 0 2 1 -8.724107e-04 -2.256440e-03 u 0 0 2 1 -1.302541e-03 -1.948869e-03 d 0 0 2 2 2.405810e-02 -5.064780e-01 u 0 0 2 2 2.405810e-02 5.064780e-01 d 0 1 0 0 -3.482079e-03 5.214037e-04 u 0 1 0 0 1.664846e-03 -8.245727e-04 d 0 1 0 1 -4.658045e-04 2.461028e-05

u 0 1 0 1 -9.386779e-05 -6.597294e-04 d 0 1 0 2 -2.601649e-03 7.822767e-04 u 0 1 0 2 3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03 d 0 1 1 0 -1.063519e-03 1.658460e-03

Exercise: Calculation of Z_q

Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

S ₁	,	S ₂ ,	C	1,	C ₂
		Ļ	1		
d	0	0	0	0	2.601862e-02 -5.076038e-01
u	0	0	0	0	2.601862e-02 5.076038e-01
d	0	0	0	1	1.233905e-03 -1.207621e-03
u	0	0	0	1	-1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03
d	0	0	0	2	-1.310907e-03 -2.694817e-03
u	0	0	0	2	1.468996e-03 -4.242002e-03
d	0	0	1	0	-1.496412e-04 -3.169783e-03
u	0	0	1	0	1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03
d	0	0	1	1	2.437554e-02 -5.043610e-01
u	0	0	1	1	2.437554e-02 5.043610e-01
d	0	0	1	2	-1.302541e-03 1.948869e-03
u	0	0	1	2	-8.724107e-04 2.256440e-03
d	0	0	2	0	1.468996e-03 4.242002e-03
u	0	0	2	0	-1.310907e-03 2.694817e-03
d	0	0	2	1	-8.724107e-04 -2.256440e-03
u	0	0	2	1	-1.302541e-03 -1.948869e-03
d	0	0	2	2	2.405810e-02 -5.064780e-01
u	0	0	2	2	2.405810e-02 5.064780e-01
d	0	1	0	0	-3.482079e-03 5.214037e-04
u	0	1	0	0	1.664846e-03 -8.245727e-04
d	0	1	0	1	-4.658045e-04 2.461028e-05
u	0	1	0	1	-9.386779e-05 -6.597294e-04
d	0	1	0	2	-2.601649e-03 7.822767e-04
u	0	1	0	2	3.717451e-03 1.340265e-03
d	0	1	1	0	-1.063519e-03 1.658460e-03
	S dudududududududududududud	5 d 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	S1, S2, d 0 0 u 0 0 d 0 0 u 0 0 0 u 0 0 0 0	S1, S2, C d 0 0 0 u 0 0 1 u 0 0 2 u 0 0 1 u 0 u 0 u 0 1 u 0 u 0 u 0 u 0 u 0 u 0 u 0 u 0	S1, S2, C1, d 0 0 0 0 0 u 0 0 0 0 0 d 0 0 0 1 u 0 0 0 1 u 0 0 0 2 u 0 0 0 2 u 0 0 0 2 u 0 0 0 2 d 0 0 1 0 u 0 0 1 0 d 0 0 1 1 u 0 0 1 1 u 0 0 1 2 u 0 0 2 0 u 0 0 2 1 u 0 0 1 0 1 u 0 1 0 0 u 0 1 0 0 1 u 0 1 0 0 u 0 1 0 0 1 u 0 1 0 0 u 0 1 0 u 0 1 u 0 1 0 u 0 1 0 u 0 1 u

T

Exercise: Calculation of Z_q

Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

	S ₁	,	S 2,	C 1	,	Ç 2
			↓	+		Real Imaginary
p_propagator_p2_2_2_2_0500.dat	d	0	0	0	0	2.601862e-02 -5.076038e-01
	u	0	0	0	0	2.601862e-02 5.076038e-01
	d	0	0	0	1	1.233905e-03 -1.207621e-03
	u	0	0	0	1	-1.496412e-04 3.169783e-03
	d	0	0	0	2	-1.310907e-03 -2.694817e-03
	u	0	0	0	2	1.468996e-03 -4.242002e-03
	d	0	0	1	0	-1.496412e-04 -3.169783e-03
	u	0	0	1	0	1.233905e-03 1.207621e-03
	d	0	0	1	1	2.437554e-02 -5.043610e-01
	u	0	0	1	1	2.437554e-02 5.043610e-01
	d	0	0	1	2	-1.302541e-03 1.948869e-03
	u	0	0	1	2	-8.724107e-04 2.256440e-03
	d	0	0	2	0	1.468996e-03 4.242002e-03
	u	0	0	2	0	-1.310907e-03 2.694817e-03
	d	0	0	2	1	-8.724107e-04 -2.256440e-03
	u	0	0	2	1	-1.302541e-03 -1.948869e-03
	d	0	0	2	2	2.405810e-02 -5.064780e-01
	u	0	0	2	2	2.405810e-02 5.064780e-01
	d	0	1	0	0	-3.4820/9e-03 5.21403/e-04
	u	0	1	0	0	1.664846e-03 -8.245/2/e-04
	d	0	1	0	1	-4.658045e-04 2.461028e-05
	u	0	1	0	1	-9.386//9e-05 -6.59/294e-04
	a	0	T	0	2	-2.001049e-03 $/.822/6/e-04$
	u	0	T	0	2	3./1/4510-03 1.3402650-03
	a	0	T	T	0	-1.0035198-03 1.0584008-03

T

★ Each value of momentum is analyzed independently, e.g., p"="(2,2,2,2) for all the configurations (10)

★ Use the data for up-quark or down-quark separately. Z_a is extracted from either of these

★ Propagator is a 12 x 12 matrix (spin-color space). You can use two unique indices instead of 4: 3*s1+c1,3*s2+c2

 \star A jackknife analysis is applied on the propagators to find the "bins"



Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



- ★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)
- ★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

 \star Calculate the average of the bins

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



- ★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)
- ★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

★ Calculate the average of the bins

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)

$$N_{\text{data}} = 4, \ N_{\text{omit}} = 1, \ N_{\text{bin}} = 4$$

★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

★ Calculate the average of the bins

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)

$$N_{\text{data}} = 4, \ N_{\text{omit}} = 1, \ N_{\text{bin}} = 4$$

★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$D_{\rm i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{N_{\rm data} - N_{\rm omit}}$$

★ Calculate the average of the bins

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)

$$N_{\text{data}} = 4, \ N_{\text{omit}} = 1, \ N_{\text{bin}} = 4$$

★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$D_{\rm i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{N_{\rm data} - N_{\rm omit}}$$

★ Calculate the average of the bins

$$\bar{D} = \sum_{i} \frac{D_{i}}{N_{\text{bin}}}$$

Results MUST be accompanied by uncertainties



★ Choose the number of omitted data in each bin (defines # bins)

$$N_{\text{data}} = 4, \ N_{\text{omit}} = 1, \ N_{\text{bin}} = 4$$

★ Calculate the average over remaining data in each bin

$$D_{\rm i} = \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{d_j}{N_{\rm data} - N_{\rm omit}}$$

★ Calculate the average of the bins

$$\bar{D} = \sum_{i} \frac{D_{i}}{N_{\text{bin}}}$$

$$d\bar{D} = \sqrt{\sum_{i} (D_i - \bar{D})^2} \sqrt{\frac{N_{\text{bin}} - 1}{N_{\text{bin}}}}$$

★ On each bin, take the inverse of the propagator

 \star On each bin, apply the renormalization prescription

$$Z_q = \frac{1}{12} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\frac{-i \sum_{\rho} \gamma_{\rho} \sin(p_{\rho})}{\sum_{\rho} \sin(p_{\rho})^2} S_q^{-1}(p)(p) \right] \Big|_{p^2 = \mu^2}$$

Tree-level (diagonal in color space)

where
$$ap = 2\pi \left(\frac{n_t + \frac{1}{2}}{T}, \frac{n_x}{L}, \frac{n_y}{L}, \frac{n_z}{L} \right)$$



Exercise: Calculation of Z_q

Non-perturbatively in RI scheme

★ Matrices basis

		[[m	art	hac@ela	a3	beta_1	.72	6]\$ mo	re	matric	ces.	txt		
	ſ	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0
Row 1	J	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
	1	0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1		0	0
	L	0	0	0	0	0	-1	-1	0	0	0		0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0
		0	0	0	0	0	-1	1	0	0	0		0	0
		0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	0
		0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0		0	0
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-1	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0		0	0
		0	0	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-1		0	0
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		-1	0
		1	,	Ŷ	0,	γ	1,	γ	2,		γ 3,			γ5



- \bigstar Once you have the bin values of Z_q calculate the average and the jackknife error.
- ★ The estimate for Z_q corresponds to a renormalization scale (ap)². Therefore, different estimate Z_q is expected for each momentum



- \bigstar Once you have the bin values of Z_q calculate the average and the jackknife error.
- ★ The estimate for Z_q corresponds to a renormalization scale (ap)². Therefore, different estimate Z_q is expected for each momentum



★ The scheme and scale dependence in the physical matrix elements, e.g. $\langle N | \bar{\Psi} \Gamma \Psi | N \rangle$ is introduced through the renormalization $Z_{\Gamma}^{S}(\mu) \langle N | \bar{\Psi} \Gamma \Psi | N \rangle$

★ To compare with experimental data, one needs to convert to a convenient scheme and renormalization scale. The necessary conversion and evolution is applied on $Z_{\Gamma}^{S}(\mu)$ (by definition, the scheme & scale are introduced during the renormalization procedure)

 \bigstar Typically one chooses the \overline{MS} scheme at a scale μ of 2 GeV



★ For the scheme conversion it is convenient to use the intermediate Renormalization Group Invariant (RGI) scheme, which is scale independent and relates the RI' and $\overline{\rm MS}$ results

 $Z^{\mathrm{RGI}}_{\mathcal{O}} = Z^{\mathrm{RI}'}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mu) \, \Delta Z^{\mathrm{RI}'}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mu) = Z^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}_{\mathcal{O}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV}) \, \Delta Z^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}_{\mathcal{O}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV})$



★ For the scheme conversion it is convenient to use the intermediate Renormalization Group Invariant (RGI) scheme, which is scale independent and relates the RI' and $\overline{\rm MS}$ results

$$Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RGI}} = Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}'}(\mu) \, \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}'}(\mu) = Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \,\mathrm{GeV}) \, \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \,\mathrm{GeV})$$

★ The conversion factor can be read from the above relation

$$Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV}) \equiv C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}',\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu, 2\,\mathrm{GeV}) \, Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}'}(\mu) \,, \qquad C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}',\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu, 2\,\mathrm{GeV}) = \frac{\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}'}(\mu)}{\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV})}$$



★ For the scheme conversion it is convenient to use the intermediate Renormalization Group Invariant (RGI) scheme, which is scale independent and relates the RI' and $\overline{\rm MS}$ results

$$Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RGI}} = Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}'}(\mu) \, \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}'}(\mu) = Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \,\mathrm{GeV}) \, \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2 \,\mathrm{GeV})$$

★ The conversion factor can be read from the above relation

 $Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV}) \equiv C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}',\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu, 2\,\mathrm{GeV}) \, Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}'}(\mu) \,, \qquad C_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}',\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu, 2\,\mathrm{GeV}) = \frac{\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathrm{RI}'}(\mu)}{\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV})}$

★ The scheme dependent quantity ΔZ can be expressed in terms of the β-function and the anomalous dimension, γ_S of the operator

$$\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu) = \left(2\beta_0 \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^2}{16\pi^2}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_0}{2\beta_0}} \exp\left\{\int_0^{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)} \mathrm{d}g'\left(\frac{\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(g')}{\beta^{\mathcal{S}}(g')} + \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0 g'}\right)\right\}$$



★ The scheme dependent quantity ΔZ can be expressed in terms of the β-function and the anomalous dimension, γ_S of the operator/field

$$\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu) = \left(2\beta_0 \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^2}{16\pi^2}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_0}{2\beta_0}} \exp\left\{\int_0^{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)} \mathrm{d}g'\left(\frac{\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(g')}{\beta^{\mathcal{S}}(g')} + \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0 g'}\right)\right\}$$

Here



★ The scheme dependent quantity ΔZ can be expressed in terms of the β-function and the anomalous dimension, γ_S of the operator/field

$$\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu) = \left(2\beta_0 \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^2}{16\pi^2}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_0}{2\beta_0}} \exp\left\{\int_0^{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)} \mathrm{d}g'\left(\frac{\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(g')}{\beta^{\mathcal{S}}(g')} + \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0 g'}\right)\right\}$$



Here

45

★ The scheme dependent quantity ΔZ can be expressed in terms of the β-function and the anomalous dimension, γ_S of the operator/field

$$\Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu) = \left(2\beta_0 \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^2}{16\pi^2}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_0}{2\beta_0}} \exp\left\{\int_0^{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)} \mathrm{d}g'\left(\frac{\gamma^{\mathcal{S}}(g')}{\beta^{\mathcal{S}}(g')} + \frac{\gamma_0}{\beta_0 g'}\right)\right\}$$

★ To 3-loop approximation:

$$\begin{split} \Delta Z_{\mathcal{O}}^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu) &= \left(2\beta_0 \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^2}{16\pi^2}\right)^{-\frac{\gamma_0}{2\beta_0}} \left(1 + \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{\beta_1 \gamma_0 - \beta_0 \gamma_1^S}{2\beta_0^2} + \frac{g^{\mathcal{S}}(\mu)^4}{(16\pi^2)^2} \frac{-2\beta_0^3 \gamma_2^S + \beta_0^2 (\gamma_1^S (2\beta_1 + \gamma_1^S) + 2\beta_2 \gamma_0) - 2\beta_0 \beta_1 \gamma_0 (\beta_1 + \gamma_1^S) + \beta_1^2 \gamma_0^2}{8\beta_0^4}\right) \end{split}$$

$$\frac{g^{\overline{\text{MS}},\text{RI}'}(\mu)^2}{16\pi^2}\Big|_{3-\text{loop}} = \frac{1}{\beta_0 L} - \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0^3} \frac{\log L}{L^2} + \frac{1}{\beta_0^5} \frac{\beta_1^2 \log^2 L - \beta_1^2 \log L + \beta_2 \beta_0 - \beta_1^2}{L^3} \right), \quad L = \log \frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^2} \qquad \qquad \text{Here}$$

$$\Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 294 \quad (\text{Nf=4})$$

 \bigstar Each value is converted to the \overline{MS} scheme and evolved to a common scale (2 GeV)

$$Z_q^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV}) = C^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}},\,\mathrm{RI}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV},\mu_0)\,\mathscr{Z}_q^{\mathrm{RI}}(\mu_0)$$

\bigstar Each value is converted to the $\overline{\rm MS}$ scheme and evolved to a common scale (2 GeV)

$$Z_q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(2\,\text{GeV}) = C^{\overline{\text{MS}},\,\text{RI}}(2\,\text{GeV},\mu_0)\,\mathscr{Z}_q^{\text{RI}}(\mu_0)$$

R	l-s	ca	le	$C^{\mathrm{MS, RI}}(2\mathrm{GeV}, \mu_0)$
2	2	2	2	0.99100206897122278
3	2	2	2	0.99294282316067028
3	3	3	3	1.0032896646629899
3	4	4	4	1.0091758085180254
4	2	2	2	0.99510205432872045
4	3	3	3	1.0040829703706433
4	4	4	4	1.0095521548715289
4	5	5	5	1.0132348431953588
5	2	2	2	0.99730459096929835
5	3	3	3	1.0049781204593131
5	4	4	4	1.0099952827090808
5	5	5	5	1.0134870227807959
6	3	3	3	1.0059351309588331
6	4	4	4	1.0104912752396640
6	5	5	5	1.0137760840862191
7	3	3	3	1.0069205185317565
7	4	4	4	1.0110265994346921
7	5	5	5	1.0140961806241273
8	3	3	3	1.0079082825459709
8	4	4	4	1.0115888346131423
8	5	5	5	1.0144414892330362
9	4	4	4	1.0121671115875919
9	5	5	5	1.0148064367797194

1.00



\star Each value is converted to the \overline{MS} scheme and evolved to a common scale (2 GeV)

$$Z_q^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV}) = C^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}},\,\mathrm{RI}}(2\,\mathrm{GeV},\mu_0)\,\mathscr{Z}_q^{\mathrm{RI}}(\mu_0)$$



R	l-s	ca	le	$C^{\mathrm{MS, RI}}(2\mathrm{GeV}, \mu_0)$
2	2	2	2	0.99100206897122278
3	2	2	2	0.99294282316067028
3	3	3	3	1.0032896646629899
3	4	4	4	1.0091758085180254
4	2	2	2	0.99510205432872045
4	3	3	3	1.0040829703706433
4	4	4	4	1.0095521548715289
4	5	5	5	1.0132348431953588
5	2	2	2	0.99730459096929835
5	3	3	3	1.0049781204593131
5	4	4	4	1.0099952827090808
5	5	5	5	1.0134870227807959
6	3	3	3	1.0059351309588331
6	4	4	4	1.0104912752396640
6	5	5	5	1.0137760840862191
7	3	3	3	1.0069205185317565
7	4	4	4	1.0110265994346921
7	5	5	5	1.0140961806241273
8	3	3	3	1.0079082825459709
8	4	4	4	1.0115888346131423
8	5	5	5	1.0144414892330362
9	4	4	4	1.0121671115875919
9	5	5	5	1.0148064367797194



\star Each value is converted to the \overline{MS} scheme and evolved to a common scale (2 GeV)

$$Z_q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(2\,\text{GeV}) = C^{\overline{\text{MS}},\,\text{RI}}(2\,\text{GeV},\mu_0)\,\mathscr{Z}_q^{\text{RI}}(\mu_0)$$



★ Lattice artifacts lead to large slopes

R	l-s	ca	le	$C^{\mathrm{MS, RI}}(2\mathrm{GeV}, \mu_0)$
2	2	2	2	0.99100206897122278
3	2	2	2	0.99294282316067028
3	3	3	3	1.0032896646629899
3	4	4	4	1.0091758085180254
4	2	2	2	0.99510205432872045
4	3	3	3	1.0040829703706433
4	4	4	4	1.0095521548715289
4	5	5	5	1.0132348431953588
5	2	2	2	0.99730459096929835
5	3	3	3	1.0049781204593131
5	4	4	4	1.0099952827090808
5	5	5	5	1.0134870227807959
6	3	3	3	1.0059351309588331
6	4	4	4	1.0104912752396640
6	5	5	5	1.0137760840862191
7	3	3	3	1.0069205185317565
7	4	4	4	1.0110265994346921
7	5	5	5	1.0140961806241273
8	3	3	3	1.0079082825459709
8	4	4	4	1.0115888346131423
8	5	5	5	1.0144414892330362
9	4	4	4	1.0121671115875919
9	5	5	5	1.0148064367797194

1.00



- 1. Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
- 2. Renormalization of new operators for which non-perturbative prescriptions are not available
- 3. Qualitative understanding of the renormalization pattern of operators
- 4. Operator improvement
- 5. Synergy between perturbative and non-perturbative results helps improve final estimates for renormalization functions







Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem

Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem

\star Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x \rangle_{q,g}$



- Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
- **\star** Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x \rangle_{q,g}$





connected

quark disconnected

gluon disconnected



- Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
- **\star** Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x \rangle_{q,g}$





connected

quark disconnected



gluon disconnected

$$\sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{R} = Z_{qq} \sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{B}$$
$$\langle x \rangle_{q}^{R} = Z_{gg} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{B}$$

Absence of mixing: multiplicative renormalization



- Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
- **\star** Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x \rangle_{q,g}$





X

connected

quark disconnected

gluon disconnected

$$\sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{R} = Z_{qq} \sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{B} + Z_{qg} \langle x \rangle_{g}^{B}$$
$$\langle x \rangle_{g}^{R} = Z_{gg} \langle x \rangle_{g}^{B} + Z_{gq} \sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{B}$$

Absence of mixing: multiplicative renormalization



- Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
- **\star** Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x \rangle_{q,g}$



connected

quark disconnected

 $\langle x \rangle_g^R = Z_{gg} \langle x \rangle_g^B + Z_{gq} \sum_q \langle x \rangle_q^B$

 $\sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{R} = Z_{qq} \sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{B} + Z_{qg} \langle x \rangle_{g}^{B}$

gluon disconnected

Absence of mixing: multiplicative renormalization

Presence of mixing: contributions from multiple matrix elements





- Mixing coefficients can be difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to signal-noise problem
- \star Prominent example: quark, gluon momentum fraction $\langle x \rangle_{q,g}$



connected

ר'

quark disconnected

gluon disconnected

$$\sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{R} = Z_{qq} \sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{B} + Z_{qg} \langle x \rangle_{g}^{B}$$
$$\langle x \rangle_{g}^{R} = Z_{gg} \langle x \rangle_{g}^{B} + Z_{gq} \sum_{q} \langle x \rangle_{q}^{B}$$

Absence of mixing: multiplicative renormalization

Presence of mixing: contributions from multiple matrix elements

Mixing coefficients Z_{gq} , Z_{qg} :

- subleading compared to Z_{qq}, Z_{gg}

- difficult to extract non-perturbatively due to gauge noise





★ Analytic expressions

$$\begin{split} &Z_{gg} = 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{e_{11}^{(1)}}{N_c} + e_{11}^{(2)} N_f - \frac{2N_f}{3} \log(a^2 \bar{\mu}^2) \right) \\ &Z_{gq} = 0 + \frac{g^2 C_f}{16\pi^2} \left(e_{12}^{(1)} + e_{12}^{(2)} c_{\rm SW} + \frac{8}{3} \log(a^2 \bar{\mu}^2) \right). \end{split}$$

★ Non-perturbative calculations unsuccessful for Z_{gq} and Z_{qg}

Quark and gluon momentum fraction mixing in LPT



★ Analytic expressions

$$\begin{split} &Z_{gg} = 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{e_{11}^{(1)}}{N_c} + e_{11}^{(2)} N_f - \frac{2N_f}{3} \log(a^2 \bar{\mu}^2) \right) \\ &Z_{gq} = 0 + \frac{g^2 C_f}{16\pi^2} \left(e_{12}^{(1)} + e_{12}^{(2)} c_{\rm SW} + \frac{8}{3} \log(a^2 \bar{\mu}^2) \right). \end{split}$$

★ Non-perturbative calculations unsuccessful for Z_{gq} and Z_{qg}

Quark and gluon momentum fraction mixing in LPT



 Mixing coefficients can be isolated

★ Analytic expressions

$$\begin{split} Z_{gg} &= 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{e_{11}^{(1)}}{N_c} + e_{11}^{(2)} N_f - \frac{2N_f}{3} \log(a^2 \bar{\mu}^2) \right) \\ Z_{gq} &= 0 + \frac{g^2 C_f}{16\pi^2} \left(e_{12}^{(1)} + e_{12}^{(2)} c_{\rm SW} + \frac{8}{3} \log(a^2 \bar{\mu}^2) \right). \end{split}$$

★ Non-perturbative calculations unsuccessful for Z_{gq} and Z_{qg}

Quark and gluon momentum fraction mixing in LPT



 Mixing coefficients can be isolated

★ Calculation is very taxing! Many millions of terms...

★ Analytic expressions

$$\begin{split} &Z_{gg} = 1 + \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} \left(\frac{e_{11}^{(1)}}{N_c} + e_{11}^{(2)} N_f - \frac{2N_f}{3} \log(a^2 \bar{\mu}^2) \right) \\ &Z_{gq} = 0 + \frac{g^2 C_f}{16\pi^2} \left(e_{12}^{(1)} + e_{12}^{(2)} c_{\rm SW} + \frac{8}{3} \log(a^2 \bar{\mu}^2) \right). \end{split}$$

★ Non-perturbative calculations unsuccessful for Z_{gq} and Z_{qg}