## Expression of Interest for a Centre of Excellence



**C. Alexandrou** University of Cyprus and The Cyprus Institute



Edinburgh, April 14, 2014



# The Cyprus Institute: Computation-based Science and Technology research center (CaSToRC)

CaSToRC:

- Promotes High Performance Computing and Computational Sciences in Cyprus and through the EU infrastructure project LinkSCEEM, in the Eastern Mediterranean region.
- Activities include:
  - Operation of the national supercomputing facility of Cyprus
  - Representation of Cyprus to PRACE leads Lattice QCD applications in WP8 of PRACE-2IP and WP7 of PRACE-3IP, participates in prototyping in WP9 of PRACE-2IP and WP11 of PRACE-2IP
  - A PhD program in computational sciences
  - Training courses in HPC and code development
  - A Simulation Lab in Nuclear and Particle Physics with JSC and DESY-Zeuthen

Group at CaSToRC collaborates closely with the one at the University of Cyprus

#### Scientific areas of interest

- Hadron structure need exascale for attaining 1% precision at physical point
- Algorithms and code development
- Lattice Perturbation theory
- Topology and simulation of SU(N)

#### Systematic uncertainties

For precision hadron physics:

- Finite lattice spacing a take the continuum limit  $a \rightarrow 0$
- Finite volume L take infinite volume limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$
- Identification of hadron state of interest or excited state contributions  $g_A$ ,  $\langle x \rangle$  and nucleon  $\sigma$ -terms
- Simulation at physical quark masses now feasible
- Inclusion of quark loop contributions in hadronic observables now feasible

⇒ exascale computer resources

#### **Computational resources**

Report on Nuclear Physics, Extreme Computing, Washington D.C., USA, Jan. 26-28, 2009.



#### **Computational resources**

Report on Nuclear Physics, Extreme Computing, Washington D.C., USA, Jan. 26-28, 2009.



#### **Computational resources**

Report on Nuclear Physics, Extreme Computing, Washington D.C., USA, Jan. 26-28, 2009.





#### Axial charge g<sub>A</sub>

Axial-vector FFs:  $A^3_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 \frac{\tau^3}{2}\psi(x) \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}_N(\vec{p'}) \left[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 G_A(q^2) + \frac{q^{\mu}\gamma_5}{2m}G_P(q^2)\right] u_N(\vec{p})|_{q^2=0}$  $\rightarrow$  yields  $G_A(0) \equiv g_A$ : i) well known experimentally, & ii) no quark loop contributions



 $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted mass, a = 0.082 fm,  $m_{\pi} = 373$  MeV, 1200 confs

- No detectable excited states contamination
- Consistent results between summation and plateau methods

For this study we used PRACE Tier-0 resources (JUQUEEN): 1.7 Tflops-years sustained making use of EigCG  $\rightarrow$  about 500 Tflops-years at the physical point for the same calculation keeping *a* the same and doubling *L* 

#### Axial charge $g_A$

Axial-vector FFs:  $A^3_{\mu} = \bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 \frac{\tau^3}{2}\psi(x) \Longrightarrow \frac{1}{2}\bar{u}_N(\vec{p'}) \left[\gamma_{\mu}\gamma_5 G_A(q^2) + \frac{q^{\mu}\gamma_5}{2m}G_P(q^2)\right] u_N(\vec{p})|_{q^2=0}$  $\rightarrow$  yields  $G_A(0) \equiv g_A$ : i) well known experimentally, & ii) no quark loop contributions



We needed 5.5 Tflops-years for 6% in  $g_A$  at the physical point with L = 48 and  $a \sim 0.1$  fm  $\rightarrow \sim 200$  Tflop/s-years for 1% error at sink-source separation of 1.1 fm

Results from ETMC, C.A., M. Constantinou, S. Dinter, V. Drach, K. Jansen, C. Kallidonis, G. Koutsou, arXiv:1303.5979

- Results at physical pion mass are now becoming available → need a dedicated study with high statistics, a larger volume and 3 lattice spacings
- A number of collaborations are engaging in systematic studies, e.g.
  - N<sub>f</sub> = 2 + 1 Clover, J. R. Green *et al.*, arXiv:1209.1687
  - N'\_f = 2 Clover, R.Hosley *et al.*, arXiv:1302.2233
  - N'\_f = 2 Clover, S. Capitani et al. arXiv:1205.0180
  - N<sub>f</sub> = 2 + 1 Clover, B. J. Owen et al., arXiv:1212.4668
  - N<sub>f</sub> = 2 + 1 + 1 Mixed action (HISQ/Clover), T. Bhattacharya et al., arXiv:1306.5435

#### **Disconnected quark loop contributions**

Notoriously difficult

- L(x<sub>ins</sub>) = Tr [ΓG(x<sub>ins</sub>; x<sub>ins</sub>)] → need quark propagators from all x<sub>ins</sub> or L<sup>3</sup> more expensive as compared to the calculation of hadron masses
- Large gauge noise → large statistics



- Use special techniques that utilize stochastic noise on all spatial lattice sites  $\rightarrow N_r$  more expensive that hadron masses with  $N_r \ll L^3$
- Reduce noise by increasing statistics ⇒ take advantage of graphics cards (GPUs) → need to develop special multi-GPU codes

### **Disconnected quark loop contributions**

Notoriously difficult

- L(x<sub>ins</sub>) = Tr [ΓG(x<sub>ins</sub>; x<sub>ins</sub>)] → need quark propagators from all x̄<sub>ins</sub> or L<sup>3</sup> more expensive as compared to the calculation of hadron masses
- Large gauge noise  $\rightarrow$  large statistics



- Reduce noise by increasing statistics
  - $\Longrightarrow$  take advantage of graphics cards (GPUs)  $\rightarrow$  need to develop special multi-GPU codes



A Fermi card



Cluster of 8 nodes of Fermi GPUs at the Cyprus Institute

C. A., M. Constantinou, S. Dinter, V. Drach, K. Hadijyiannakou, K. Jansen, G. Koutsou, A. Strelchenko, A. Vaquero arXiv:1211.0126 C.A., K. Hadijyiannakou, G. Koutsou, A. O'Cais, A. Strelchenko, arXiv:1108.2473





#### Axial charge g<sub>A</sub>

To compute  $\Delta \Sigma^q$  we need also the isoscalar  $g_A^{u+d}$ 

#### Dedicated high statistics study

Choose one ensemble to perform a high statistics analysis for all disconnected contributions to nucleon observables

 $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  twisted mass, a = 0.082 fm,  $m_{\pi} = 373$  MeV,  $\sim 150,000$  statistics (on 2300 confs) For the light, strange and charm loops we needed: 1.2 M2070 GPU-years or about 1.4 Tflop/s-months



#### Methods

EigCG (A. Stathopoulos & K. Orginos, SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 32 (2010) 439-462)



Accumulate EVs with every new rhs

- Approximate, lowest eigenvectors
- Used to provide next CG starting vector
- Speed-up in number of iterations ~3.5
- Speed-up in runtime depends on total rhs, e.g.  $\sim$ 3 for  $N_{\rm rhs} = 150$

#### **Methods**

Further gains by using relaxed numerical precision

- Solver accuracy typically set much smaller than statistical accuracy
- Mixed precision
  - \* Compute  $N_{\rm HP}$  (expensive) correlation functions to full-precision  $C^{H}$
  - \* Compute  $N_{LP}$  (cheap) correlation functions to low-precision  $C^{L}$
  - Improved estimator:

$$C^{\mathrm{I}} = rac{1}{N_{\mathrm{HP}}}\sum_{i}^{N_{\mathrm{HP}}}(C^{\mathrm{H}}_{i}-C^{\mathrm{L}}_{i}) + rac{1}{N_{\mathrm{LP}}}\sum_{j}^{N_{\mathrm{LP}}}C^{\mathrm{L}}_{j}$$

• Error scales as 
$$\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{LP}}}$$
, namely  $e \propto \sqrt{2(1-r) + \frac{1}{N_{LP}}}$ 

with  $r \simeq 1$  the correlation between  $C_i^{\rm H}$ ,  $C_i^{\rm L}$ 

Truncated Solver Method:

G. Bali, S. Collins & A. Schafer, Comput. Phys. Commun. 181 (2010) 1570

 Covariant Approximation Averaging: T. Blum et al., Phys. Rev. D88 (2013) 094503

#### **Methods**



Combined EigCG and CAA

- Small number of full-precision correlators
- Relaxed-precision correlators 5× faster than full-precision EigCG
- Improvements due to combined EigCG+CAA  $\simeq \times 10$

As Simulation Lab we would have interest in the following WPs:

- WP1 Community software development
- WP2 New algorithms for large-scale simulations
- WP3 Languages and programming models for heterogeneous architectures
- Some hardware related
- Would like to see an application-focused WP related to Lattice QCD
- ...