Higgs Centre Workshop Celestial Sphere holography, CFT & amplitudes

Fun with Celestial Dressings

Harbingers of Chaos, Heralds of Symmetry

Sabrina Gonzalez Pastershi, PCTS 2012.03850+upcoming w/ H. Verlinde



Yesterday/@Confu: Celestial Diamonds



We have seen how a systematic treatment of global primary descendants connects the stories of null states, conformally soft dressings, and celestial EFTs.

Today: Revisit the HPS proposal, then merge w/ celestial perspective.



Let us now see how this merges with questions one can ask about how interesting bulk processes are encoded in CCFT.

Today: Revisit the HPS proposal, then merge w/ celestial perspective.



The CCF-T currents correspond to symmetry enhancements in the bulk. These ∞ -ly many symmetries should also constrain black hole evaporation.

Today: Revisit the HPS proposal, then merge w/ celestial perspective.



We will see that the dressings capture important aspects of the experience of an infalling observer.





HPS soft hair

IR physics points to an co-dim enhancement of Poincaré which should also constrain scattering in the presence of black holes.





To explore how soft hair affects the experience of an infalling observer we need to > understand the soft hair phase space

- > explore the entanglement between an observer 1 the soft hair
- D motivate that an infaller measures the soft hair
- D demonstrate how to apply existing quantum circuit tech to the HPS paradigm



We will want to motivate and then apply the following assertions ...

Soft hair degrees of freedom are

1. a classical, measureable feature of the global blackhole spacetime

2. invisible to a strictly asymptotic or near horizon observer

3. can be measured by an observer falling in from infinity

4. are exponentially sensitive probes of infalling matter

5. carry a large amount of entropy

6. are projected into a low entropy state upon measurement by an infaller

7. accumulate more entropy during the Hawking evaporation process.

Scattering processes are accompanied by radiation which induces dynamical vacuum transitions.



The soft phase space includes supertranslation goldstone/memory modes which we can write in terms of the early of late time vacua.

Introducing a horizon enlarges the soft sector of phase space.



(-2) BMS invariance: $\hat{f}_{\pm}^{I^-} \mapsto \hat{f}_{\pm}^{I^-} + f$, $\hat{f}_{\pm}^{I^+} \mapsto \hat{f}_{\pm}^{I^+} + f$, $\hat{f}_{\pm}^{H} \mapsto \hat{f}_{\pm}^{H} \mapsto \hat{f}_{\pm}^{H} + f$ (-2) CPT invariance: $\hat{f}_{\pm}^{I^+} = \hat{f}_{\pm}^{I^-}$ This hair is locally pure gauge but not globally.



By doing a supertranslation one can uniformize either the horizon \underline{or} the asymptotic hair in one coordinate patch.

Uniformizing both amounts to encoding the soft hair in a transition function between the near horizon and asymptotic coordinate patches.



Uniformizing both amounts to encoding the soft hair in a transition function between the near horizon and asymptotic coordinate putches.



Infalling matter will implant soft hair and shift the horizon.

$$T_{vv} = \left[\frac{\mu - \frac{1}{4}D^{2}(D^{2} + 2)f}{4\pi r^{2}} + \frac{T^{(1)}}{4\pi r^{3}}\right] S(v - v_{o}) \implies Sr_{5} = 2\mu + \frac{1}{2}D^{2}f$$



Supertranslation invariance gives a Ward identity $Cout [Q^{+}S - SQ^{-}]in = 0$

where Q^+ splits into \mathcal{H} and I^+ contributions $Q^+ = Q^\mathcal{H} + Q^{I^+}$

and further into soft and hard parts $Q^{i} = Q_{s}^{i} + Q_{H}^{i}$

which act on different tensor factors of the Hilbert space.

The hard charges act nontrivially on the matter while the soft charge induces inhomogeneous shifts of the Goldstone mode.

$$Q_{S} = \frac{1}{16\pi} D^{2} (D^{2} + 2) (\hat{f}_{+} - \hat{f}_{-}) \implies [Q_{S}(z), \hat{f}_{+}(z')] = i S^{(2)}(z - z')$$

One can construct operators invariant under supertranslations by dressing them $\mathcal{O}_{dress}(p,z) = \mathcal{O}_p(z) \mathcal{W}_p(z), \quad \mathcal{W}_p(z) = e^{-ip\hat{f}(z)}$

Which one can conveniently implement via operator valued coordinates $O_{in,dress}(v,z) = O_{in}(\hat{v},z), \quad \hat{v} = v - \hat{f}(z)$ However because $[\hat{J}_{\pm}, \hat{f}_{-}] \neq 0$ we need to decide where the diessing should be anchored. Defining $\hat{v}_{\pm} = v - \hat{f}_{\pm}$ $\hat{u}_{\pm} = u - \hat{f}_{\pm} - Y e^{(u-v)/4M} D^2 \hat{f}_{\pm}$

We see that

$$\left[\hat{v}_{-}(z),\hat{u}_{+}(z')\right] \simeq \forall e^{(u-v)/4M} \wedge, \qquad (D^{2}+2) \wedge (z,z') = -16\pi \delta^{(2)}(z-z')$$

So that the dressings account for the Lyapunov behavior associated to backreaction effects.

$$\frac{\left\langle \left[\mathcal{O}_{in}(\hat{v}_{-}, z, \overline{z}\right], \mathcal{O}_{out}(\hat{u}_{+}, \overline{z}', \overline{z}')\right]^{2} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \mathcal{O}_{in}\mathcal{O}_{in} \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{O}_{out}\mathcal{O}_{out} \right\rangle} \simeq \chi^{2} p_{in}^{2} p_{out}^{2} e^{(u-v)/2M} \wedge (z, z')$$

For an infalling trajectory we similarly use

$$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{in}(\hat{v},z) = e^{-i\omega_{in}\hat{\tau}_{-}(v,z)}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{out}(\hat{v},z') = e^{-i\omega_{out}\hat{\tau}_{+}(v,z')}$$

and see that the stretched horizon receds



 $\frac{\left< \left[\mathcal{O}_{in}, \mathcal{O}_{out} \right]^2 \right>}{\left< \mathcal{O}_{in} \mathcal{O}_{in} \right> \left< \mathcal{O}_{out} \mathcal{O}_{out} \right>} \sim 1$

Such Lyupanov behavior has been known to catalyze a quantum-to-classical transition



If we think of the observer as making a measurement of f_1 this reduction in entropy allows for consistent late time evaporation.

One can then use the <u>soft/hard Hilbert space tensor product structure</u> as well as the <u>observer/soft mode entanglement structure</u> to provide on HPS interpretation of quantum teleportation protocols used to avoid frewalls.



One can then use the <u>soft/hard Hilbert space tensor product structure</u> as well as the <u>observer/soft mode entanglement structure</u> to provide on HPS interpretation of quantum teleportation protocols used to avoid firewalls.



One can then use the <u>soft/hard Hilbert space tensor product structure</u> as well as the <u>observer/soft mode entanglement structure</u> to provide on HPS interpretation of quantum teleportation protocols used to avoid firewalls.



C = code subspace S = soft hair modes O = observer R = early radiation B = black hole b = late radiation

+ Yoshida's decoupling thm

One can then use the <u>soft/hard Hilbert space tensor product structure</u> as well as the <u>observer/soft mode entanglement structure</u> to provide on HPS interpretation of quantum teleportation protocols used to avoid frewalls.



C = code subspace S = soft hair modes O = observer R = early radiation B = black hole b = late radiation

The main takeaway for today is the fact that the dressing modes capture the Lyupanov behavior, they are harbingers of chaos.

However we also know that in celestial CFT these modes live at the top of our celestial diamonds and are <u>heralds of symmetry</u>.





Charges

